

Bureau radiogram 4/25/58 to Oklahoma City and San Francisco requesting identity of individuals to whom letters of appreciation should be sent and any recommendation for commendation to Agents.

Oklahoma City replied 4/25/58. San Francisco reply not received as yet.

Investigative Division Recommends:

Replied 4/25/58

→ A letter of commendation be sent to each of the following SAs: Robert L. Hamilton, San Francisco; Robert O. French, and Charles W. Sizemore, both of Oklahoma City.

→ A general letter to SAC, Oklahoma City commending the Agents mentioned and ASAC Tully.

+ Letters of appreciation be sent to each of the following: Dr. R. D. Miller; Mrs. Omer Holcomb; and Mr. Boyd Collard, all of Okmulgee, Oklahoma.

gaw RB m R

FBI

Date: APRIL 25, 1958

PLAIN

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)TO: DIRECTOR, FBI 7-8804
FROM: SAC, OKLAHOMA CITYb6
b7c[redacted] was, et al
[redacted] VIKID.

ReBurd April 25, 1958. | Edmund R. Tully |

Following are Agents who participated in the investigation which located and identified victim [redacted] at Okmulgee, Okla., and resulted in the apprehension of subject [redacted].

67-771-1730

SAs ROBERT O. FRENCH and CHARLES W. SIZEMORE initiated the investigation in this matter at Okmulgee. They made preliminary inquiries, initiated surveillances and showed considerable ingenuity and foresight in their planning. They were part of the over-all planning in the entire matter. They interviewed subject [redacted]. This interview did not produce a confession, but Dr. R. D. MILLER, who has had occasion to treat [redacted] on a number of occasions over a period of years, advised she has a psychopathic personality and may never admit that victim [redacted] is not her child. Agents FRENCH and SIZEMORE apprehended subject [redacted] in the City Hospital at Okmulgee and conducted themselves in such a manner that subject raised no protest. She readily went with Agents to a room in the hospital set aside for the interview. They also assisted in the interviews of other suspects in the case and transported [redacted] to Muskogee, Okla., for arraignment. When subject [redacted] feigned illness during the course of the arraignment, both Agents had the presence of mind to seek medical assistance and have [redacted] examined before the hearing was continued.

CD/ms
(6)

ENCLOSURE

3 - Bureau (7-449)

2 - San Francisco (7-449)

1 - Okla. City (7-525)

7-8804 (AM)

APR 28 1958

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 5-8-58 M Per

Cc M. A. Jones
cc Inquest Dir.

F B I

Date: .

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

-2-

SAs CLEMENT J. ~~X~~HOOD, CHARLES E. ~~X~~BRUNNER and CHARLES F. ~~X~~BULLARD conducted surveillances of suspects in this case, which resulted in their prompt location when these suspects were needed for identification by witnesses. They conducted searches of the residences of subject [] and her sister, []. These searches resulted in the location of articles of clothing, which were subsequently identified by [] as those articles worn by victim at the time of her abduction. These Agents also made certain that photographs of subject and suspects were taken during the course of interviews.

SAs J. CALVIN ~~X~~RICE and BYRON E. ~~X~~McFALL were assigned to meet the witnesses upon their arrival in Tulsa, Okla. They brought the witnesses to Okmulgee, Okla., promptly arriving at a pre-arranged time, in order to have the witnesses admitted to the hospital when the least possible notice of them would be taken. Their timing in this regard was excellent. It should be noted that it was necessary to bring the witnesses to the Okmulgee City Hospital in order to view both the victim, [], and subject []. Agents RICE and McFALL also participated in the surveillance of suspect [] upon their arrival in Okmulgee. These Agents footprinted the victim, [] after she had been identified by her mother, [] and obtained very readable footprints. Agents RICE and McFALL thereafter took the witnesses and victim, [], back to Tulsa, in order that they might be ready for their plane departure with the least possible delay.

ASAC EDWIN R. ~~X~~TULLY, during my absence from headquarters city, correlated the developments in this case, maintained liaison with the San Francisco Division and kept the Bureau advised of developments. He was helpful in offering suggestions concerning the investigation of this matter and prior to my return to headquarters handled press inquiries concerning this case in a very capable manner.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

FBI

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

-3-

It is recommended that the Bureau send letters of commendation to the foregoing Agents for ~~their~~ participation in this operation, which was carried out with a considerable amount of precision, with every Agent performing the tasks assigned to him in a most capable manner. It should be noted that the planning was such that the witnesses had to be in a position to identify subject, suspects and victim at prescribed times.

It is also recommended that the Bureau commend SA ROBERT HAMILTON, of the San Francisco Division, for the excellent manner in which he interviewed subject [] and interviewed witnesses. He was precise in the manner in which he made sure that identifications were effected on the basis of facts rather than emotions. His knowledge of the case contributed a great deal to the smooth operation concerning the recovery of the victim in this case and the apprehension of subject []

It is recommended that the Bureau forward letters of appreciation to the following:

Dr. R. D. MILLER
1340 E. 12th St.
Okmulgee, Okla.

b6
b7c

It was the alertness of Dr. MILLER which was responsible for bringing the attention of this office to the fact that the victim was possibly in Okmulgee, Okla. Dr. MILLER noticed the marks on the victim, [] and recalled the circular sent out by the San Francisco Office to physicians on February 4, 1958. Dr. MILLER immediately contacted this office. He was most cooperative and provided rooms in the County Welfare Building for conferences and interviews of suspects. He made possible the cooperation of []

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

FBI

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

-4-

nurse. Dr. MILLER ordered subject [] confined in the Okmulgee City Hospital on the night of April 22, 1958. He also urged [] sister of subject [] to bring victim, [] to the Okmulgee City Hospital on the night of April 23rd and was successful in getting [] to do so. Dr. MILLER was completely cooperative throughout the investigation and retained information concerning this matter in complete confidence.

[]
Okmulgee, Okla.

b6
b7C

[]
visited subject [] personally on April 22nd, and instructed her to report to the hospital the same night. She used the pretext that subject [] might have tuberculosis, in order to make certain that [] entered the hospital. She brought subject [] and victim [] to the County Welfare Office on April 22nd, in order that both might be observed by Agents who were in the nearby vicinity. On April 23rd [] used the pretext of victim [] needing an X-ray and personally visited [] instructing her to bring the baby to the Okmulgee City Hospital to stay over night. [] was the person who brought the victim in the hospital to a pre-arranged room for identification by witnesses. She also brought subject [] to a location where she could be observed by []. She also brought subject [] to a location where she could be taken into custody by Agents in a very quiet manner and ushered into a room for interview. [] thereafter stayed with victim, [] until the victim departed from Okmulgee with her mother. [] maintained in complete confidence the information concerning this case and her activities in connection therewith.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

FBI

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)

Via _____
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

-5-

Mr. BOYD COLLARD
Administrator
Okmulgee City Hospital
Okmulgee, Okla.

b6
b7C

When no rooms were available in the colored ward of the Okmulgee City Hospital, [] found a space and a crib so that victim, [] could be placed in the hospital on the night of April 23rd. He made available a room where the baby could be identified by witnesses and where an interview with subject [] could be conducted. He personally escorted [] to a location where she could observe subject [] in the hospital. He also made available a place where surveilling Agents would be most unobtrusive. Mr. COLLARD also made available a matron to sit with subject [] after she had been interviewed by Agents and to accompany subject [] to Muskogee, Okla., for arraignment before the U. S. Commissioner.

Attached are clippings from the April 25, 1958, issue of the Oklahoma City Times and the April 25, 1958, issue of the Tulsa Daily World.

*Done
5/2/58
[Signature]*

*Being handled
by [unclear]
[unclear]
[unclear]*

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

June 20, 1958

PERSONAL

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Dear Mr. Tully:

Attention has been directed to an instance of atrocious judgment on your part in the performance of your official duties. On June 13, 1958, you received information concerning the planned kidnaping of a certain prominent individual but you did not immediately notify your Special Agent in Charge or the Bureau and you were seriously at fault for failing to do this.

Accordingly, you are being demoted from Grade GS 14 at \$40,535 per annum to Grade GS 13 at \$39,150 per annum, effective June 22, 1958, and you are being placed on probation. You must understand that it will be incumbent upon you to carry out your future assignments with greater alertness and you will be expected to demonstrate better judgment so that there will be no recurrence of such a delinquency.

TJN:pmd (10)

- 1 - Movement
- 1 - Personnel Actions
- 1 - Statistical Section
- 1 - Mr. Tamm (Inspector's Aide)
- 1 - SOG Oklahoma City Field Office File

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Based on memo Price
Rosen 6-17-58 EHH:jlp.

- 1 - SAC, Oklahoma City (Personal Attention)
- 1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

NOTE: SA Tully should be supervised closely and in 90 days a special performance rating should be submitted together with an appropriate recommendation regarding his removal from probation.

By separate communication SA Tully is being ordered on general assignment to the Cincinnati Division.

MAIL ROOM ☐

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Nease _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
Clayton _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

COMM - FBI
JUN 20 1958
MAILED 23

JUN 19 3 59 PM '58
REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

JUN 20 12 56 PM '58
REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

7/15/58
7/15/58

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUN 15 1958

TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Boardman	✓
Mr. Belmont	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Nease	✓
Mr. Parsons	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Tamm	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Mr. Clayton	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Mr. Holloman	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

URGENT

6-15-58

2-05 PM CST DJS

TO- DIRECTOR , FBI

FROM- SAC, OKLAHOMA CITY P

[REDACTED] WAS ETAL, MRS. HARRY L. CROSBY- VIKID.

REBUTEL JUNE FIFTEEN. ASAC E. R. TULLY RECEIVED ORIGINAL

CALL FROM SHERIFF BILL NICHOLSON, WEWOKA, OKLA. AT FOUR THIRTY

PM JUNE THIRTEEN. NICHOLSON STATED [REDACTED] CLAIMED

[REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] HAD SOME PLAN TO KIDNAP MRS. BING CROSBY

BUT HE DID NOT KNOW HOW MUCH CREDENCE TO PLACE IN [REDACTED]

INFORMATION. ASAC TULLY IMMEDIATELY ASSIGNED TWO AGENTS TO

INTERVIEW [REDACTED] BUT DID NOT SEND THEM TO WEWOKA SINCE NICHOLSON

ASKED THAT AGENTS NOT BE SENT UNTIL HE COULD LOCATE [REDACTED]

STATED SHE WOULD PROBABLY ONLY TALK IN HIS PRESENCE. ASAC TULLY

DID NOT SEND THE AGENTS UNTIL SATURDAY MORNING SINCE NICHOLSON

SAID HE HAD ARRANGED INTERVIEW FOR ELEVEN AM THAT DAY. I

LEARNED ABOUT THIS CASE AT ELEVEN THIRTY AM SATURDAY JUNE

FOURTEEN AFTER I RETURNED TO THE OFFICE. I HAD BEEN CONFERRING WITH

THE USA RE CASE ENTITLED GEORGE KELLY BARNES WAS ETAL CHARLES

F. URSCHER- VIKID. AS BUREAU IS AWARE A NUMBER OF UNUSUAL

PROBLEMS HAVE ARISEN IN CONNECTION WITH HEARING CURRENTLY BEING

HELD OC AND I HAVE BEEN SPENDING A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT

END PAGE ONE

Mr. Rosen

Mr. Mohr

JUN 24 1958

PAGE TWO

OF TIME ON MATTERS PERTAINING TO THIS HEARING . AS SOON AS
I RECEIVED INFO REGARDING THIS CASE I CALLED SA CLEMENT
J. HOOD WHO WAS IN WEWOKA TRYING TO RENDEZVOUS WITH SHERIFF
NICHOLSON. I INSTRUCTED HOOD NOT TO DELAY INTERVIEW WITH
NICHOLSON EVEN THOUGH THE LATTER WAS WORKING ON A MURDER CASE.

I TOLD HOOD TO IMMEDIATELY CONSUMATE ^{THE} ~~THE~~ INTERVIEW WITH
[] AND TO CALL IN THE RESULTS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

ASAC TULLY HAS ADVISED ME THAT HE KNOWS THE BUREAU SHOULD
HAVE BEEN ADVISED ON JUNE THIRTEEN AND THAT HE SHOULD HAVE
ADVISED ME ON THAT DATE ALSO. STATES HE KNEW I WAS SPENDING A
CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF TIME ON GEORGE KELLY BARNES CASE AND
FELT HE WOULD ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR PRELIMINARY PHASES
THIS MATTER. THE BUREAU SHOULD HAVE BEEN ADVISED CONCERNING
THIS MATTER ON JUNE THIRTEEN. IT IS RECOMMENDED ASAC TULLY BE
GIVEN LETTER OF CENSURE FOR HIS FAILURE TO MAKE PROPER
NOTIFICATION THIS MATTER. MEMO OF EXPLANATION AND COVER LETTER
BEING SUBMITTED.

END AND ACK PLS

4-11 PM OK FBI WA WS

THRU DISC

30. MR. ROSEN
ASAC-SUPERVISOR
INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Mr. Mohr

*Prepay summary
on Tully as I doubt
his fitness to be
an Asac.*

*Mem. P. to
Rosen, 6/12/68 EAF:jt*

b6
b7c

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Mohr *JMM*

DATE: 6-16-58

FROM : H. L. Edwards *HL*

SUBJECT: ASAC EDWIN R. TULLY
 Oklahoma City Office
 EOD 8-20-41 Clk.; 1-4-43 SA
 GS-14, \$10,535; Non-Veteran
 Non-Reservist; Not on Probation

Tolson _____
 Nichols _____
 Boardman _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 Nease _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

Referford

The following is a brief and concise summary of his record for the Director's use.

Mr. Tully has been serving as Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Oklahoma City Office since 7-16-56. On 7-5-56 the Director saw him and discussed with him some of the general problems facing the Bureau in the operations of the field and in particular the problems of the Oklahoma City Division. The Director stated he made a good personal appearance, seemed to be interested in his work and rated him above average.

Since his assignment as Assistant Special Agent in Charge he has been commended through his SAC on two occasions and was censured 2-13-58 for approving a report dated 12-30-57, concerning an accident involving an official automobile which occurred on 12-19-57, and he did not discover delinquencies in the report.

On 10-4-57 Mr. Nease interviewed him and stated it was believed he was definitely SAC material and he was an above average ASAC at this time. On 10-7-57 the Director advised him he was sorry his (the Director's) absence from the city prevented his seeing this Agent while this Agent was attending In-Service Training.

During an Inspection of the Oklahoma City Office in November, 1957, Inspector Fletcher stated everything indicated he had the confidence and respect of the assigned personnel. He impressed the Inspector as being SAC material capable at this time of discharging the duties and responsibilities of an SAC in offices equal in size to Oklahoma City.

On 3-31-58 SAC Doyle rated him EXCELLENT and said he handled an exceptionally large volume of work, which required a minimum of supervision. There were no limitations on his availability. He had very capably demonstrated his ability along supervisory, administrative and executive lines. He was interested in and available for administrative advancement. He was a capable leader and had shown his ability to handle and direct personnel.

FDH: fac

(2)

Enclosure (Permanent Brief)

5 JUL 1 1958 41

3/20

His daily average overtime for the past six months was approximately 2 hours 17 minutes.

His offices of preference as of January, 1958, are Denver, Miami, and Washington Field Office.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM: SAC, OKLAHOMA CITY (67-210)

DATE: JUNE 15 1958

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] was.;

**MRS. HARRY L. CROSBY - VICTIM;
KIDNAPING**

ATTENTION - INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

ReButel and mytel June 15, 1958.

Enclosed is the memorandum of explanation (in duplicate) submitted by ASAC E. R. TULLY concerning his failure to advise the Bureau and Los Angeles Office of information developed in captioned matter on June 13, 1958.

Recommendations for a letter of censure to ASAC TULLY are set forth in mytel dated June 15, 1958.

Enclosures - 2

CD/ms
(3)

AIR MAIL

2-ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE
(10/11)
2 Hrs cement probator
to July 6-19-58
75 H. Paid

b6
b7C

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Boardman _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Nease _____
Mr. Parsons _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tamm _____
Mr. Trotter _____
Mr. Clayton _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

W. C. Sullivan _____

~~EXP. PROC.~~

~~JUN 17 1958~~

4 JUL 2 1957

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : SAC, OC

DATE: 6-15-58

FROM : ASAC E. R. TULLY

SUBJECT:

[redacted] was.;

[redacted]
[redacted]
Mrs. HARRY L. CROSBY
VIKID Victim-Kidnapingb6
b7C

ReButel 6-15-58, requesting explanations as to why the Bureau and LA were not promptly advised of the alleged kidnaping plot upon receipt of information 6-13-58, from Sheriff BILL NICHOLSON.

I received the original call from Sheriff NICHOLSON about 4:30 p.m., on Friday, 6-13-58. He said he had talked to [redacted] in Wewoka, Oklahoma, on that date and she told him she had information that [redacted] and [redacted] had planned to kidnap Mrs. BING CROSBY. I asked if it was a hoax and he said he did not know but [redacted] had indicated the kidnap plot was in the past, and [redacted] was in jail in Los Angeles. I asked NICHOLSON where we could locate [redacted] as we would have to interview her immediately. He said she lived in Cromwell, Oklahoma. NICHOLSON advised that [redacted] would not talk if interviewed at her residence, explaining that she had been interviewed before by himself and Agent [redacted] but she had not mentioned the kidnaping story. I asked NICHOLSON to get [redacted] immediately in his office and I would have two Agents proceed to Wewoka immediately. NICHOLSON requested some time to get [redacted] as Cromwell was about 14 miles from Wewoka. He asked that we call him back about 5:30 p.m. to be sure she was located. I immediately instructed Agents CLEMENT J. HOOD and HARLEY E. GYLFE to get ready to go to Wewoka, but to call NICHOLSON at 5:30 to be sure he had located [redacted]

I did not advise the SAC of this information since he has been tied up in the KELLY-SHANNON appeal and I assumed the responsibility for the preliminary handling of this matter. My feeling was to locate [redacted] and interview her immediately, thus obtaining the facts firsthand in time to notify the SAC and the Bureau. I did not prepare a teletype to the Bureau at that time since I felt the facts were incomplete and there was some question in my mind as to whether it was a hoax or not. I felt we could locate and interview [redacted] within a matter of hours and thus have the facts available for the Bureau.

ERT:mb
(4)

43 54 61 195

At 5:30 p.m., Agent HOOD called Sheriff NICHOLSON and was advised that [] could not be located at Cromwell and the possibility existed she had gone to Oklahoma City or to visit relatives in surrounding towns. NICHOLSON said he was continuing efforts to locate [] and would advise Agent HOOD as soon as he found her. NICHOLSON later located [] at about 11:00 p.m., on Friday night, June 13th, and an interview was arranged with her for 11:00 a.m., Saturday morning, June 14th, in the Sheriff's Office at Wewoka.

Agents HOOD and GYLFE arrived in Wewoka the morning of June 14th only to find Sheriff NICHOLSON had gone to Bowlegs, Oklahoma, on a murder case. When [] did not show up at 11:00 a.m., the Agents drove to Bowlegs to locate NICHOLSON. Through NICHOLSON, [] was finally located at about 1:00 p.m. She was interviewed and the facts furnished the Bureau and Los Angeles by teletype immediately. Thereafter a detailed signed statement was obtained from [] She is now residing in Cromwell, Oklahoma.

b6
b7c

Sheriff NICHOLSON said that [] first told the kidnap story to Attorney [] on June 13th and [] advised her to tell the Sheriff. NICHOLSON called the Sheriff's Office in Los Angeles on Saturday morning, June 14th, to have [] interviewed in an effort to verify [] allegations. NICHOLSON indicated that the press probably got the story from [] Attorney []. It will be noted, however, that NICHOLSON is running for re-election and the possibility exists that the press got the story from him.

I considered advising the Bureau and LA when NICHOLSON called me on Friday night but I thought we could get the facts that night and give the Bureau and Los Angeles firsthand information and such details so that we could determine if the story was a hoax or not. This was an error of judgment on my part and it is obvious to me that the Bureau and Los Angeles should have been notified immediately. Also in spite of the fact that the SAC was busy with the KELLY-SHANNON appeal, I should have immediately advised him. I sincerely regret this error of judgment as I alone had the responsibility to handle this notification and it was clearly my job to see that the Bureau and Los Angeles, and SAC were immediately notified.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Rosen *R*

DATE: June 17, 1958

FROM : F. L. Price *FLP*b6
b7cSUBJECT: [REDACTED] WAS., ET AL.;
MRS. HARRY L. CROSBY - VICTIM;
KIDNAPING

Tolson ☒
 Nichols ☒
 Boardman ☒
 Belmont ☒
 Mohr ☒
 Parsons ☒
 Rosen ☒
 Tamm ☒
 Trotter ☒
 Nease ☒
 Tele. Room ☒
 Holloman ☒
 Gandy ☒

The purpose of this memorandum is to recommend (1) a letter of censure and probation for ASAC E. R. Tully, Oklahoma City, for his failure to make prompt notification of this matter to the Bureau and (2) that the attached letters be forwarded to Oklahoma City and Los Angeles pointing out the bad cooperation on the part of the Sheriff's Office in the respective areas in not furnishing prompt information regarding this plot.

W. C. Sullivan

BACKGROUND: Information was received 6/14/58, from the Reno, Nevada, Sheriff's Office, who were advised by the United Press, that [REDACTED] and an unidentified woman were planning to kidnap Mrs. Crosby and hold her for \$100,000 ransom. [REDACTED] is in custody of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office in connection with the \$25,000 robbery of a supermarket in Los Angeles on 5/29/58. [REDACTED] is wanted for the same robbery and is a fugitive under the Unlawful Flight to Avoid Prosecution Statute. Los Angeles is origin in this case and the fugitive investigation was begun 6/2/58. [REDACTED] is the unidentified woman. She furnished information on 6/14/58, when interviewed by the Oklahoma City Office and gave the facts concerning the alleged plot. [REDACTED] admits the plot but claims it was indefinite and they did not intend to go through with it because he had read Mrs. Crosby was pregnant. Salt Lake City teletype 6/14/58, furnished the original information regarding this plot. The Oklahoma City Division was aware of this information on 6/13/58, at 4:30 P. M. Oklahoma City did not immediately notify the Bureau and Agents were not sent out to [REDACTED] until Saturday morning, 6/14/58, since Sheriff Bill Nicholson, Wewoka, Oklahoma, could arrange the interview with [REDACTED] at 11:00 A. M., 6/14/58. It has not been determined how long Nicholson had this information before advising the Oklahoma City Division.

Los Angeles Division, after contacting Lieutenant [REDACTED], Los Angeles Sheriff's Office, on 6/14/58, determined that [REDACTED] had been advised telephonically on the morning of 6/14/58, by Sheriff Nicholson regarding the plot. [REDACTED] was interviewing [REDACTED] and answering press inquiries at time Los Angeles became cognizant through the press and established contact with [REDACTED].

Enclosures (2)

1 - Mr. Mohr

EHH:jlp

(7)

211-196

1 ante copy 3/10/7
 7-2-53

Memorandum for Mr. Rosen

EXPLANATION ASAC E. R. TULLY, OKLAHOMA CITY: ASAC Tully received the original call from Sheriff Nicholson at 4:30 P. M., 6/13/58. Nicholson advised of the plot but did not know how much credence to place in the information of [redacted]. Tully immediately assigned two Agents to interview [redacted] but did not send the Agents until Saturday morning since Nicholson indicated such an interview was arranged for 11:00 A. M., 6/14/58. He did not advise the SAC until 11:30 A. M., 6/14/58.

EXPLANATION OF SAC C. DOYLE, OKLAHOMA CITY: SAC, Doyle advised he learned about the case at 11:30 A. M., 6/14/58, after he had returned to the Oklahoma City Office. He had been conferring with the U. S. Attorney in the George Kelly Barnes, Wa., Et Al.; Charles F. Urschel - Victim; Kidnaping matter. Doyle indicated that Sheriff Nicholson was working on a murder case and the interview of [redacted] was being delayed. He instructed the Agents to immediately interview Nicholson even though he was working on a murder case and immediately effect the interview with [redacted] and advise the Bureau. Doyle states ASAC Tully advised that he knows the Bureau should have been advised on 6/13/58, and that he should have informed the SAC on that date. He indicates Tully knew Doyle was spending considerable time on the George Kelly Barnes matter and felt he, Tully, would assume the responsibility for the preliminary phases in this matter. SAC, Doyle recommends ASAC Tully be given a letter of censure for his failure to make prompt notification of this matter. The Director requested a summary on ASAC Tully, indicating doubt as to Tully's fitness to be an ASAC.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The Investigative Division recommends that ASAC Tully be given a letter of censure for his failure to make prompt notification to the Bureau.

I agree
6/17
I agree
6/18
I agree
6/17
referred as
an above.

2. The attached letters be sent to Oklahoma City and Los Angeles respectively, pointing out the indication of bad cooperation on the part of Sheriff Nicholson, Oklahoma, and Lieutenant [redacted] Los Angeles.

I agree
6/17
I agree
6/18
Transfer to
Cincinnati
6/18

A summary of ASAC Tully's record is being submitted by the Administrative Division. A permanent brief and summary of ASAC Tully are attached.

f
6/17
6/18
2 -

June 20, 1958

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

Dear Mr. Tully:

Your headquarters are changed from ~~Oklahoma City, Oklahoma,~~
to **Cincinnati, Ohio,**
effective upon your arrival there on or after this date. This change is made for official reasons and you will be allowed transportation expenses and per diem at the rate of \$12.00 per day within the U. S., \$6.00 per day for air travel, rail travel, and ocean travel by steamship outside the continental limits of the U.S., transportation expenses for your immediate family, and transportation cost of household goods and personal effects as provided for in Public Law 600 dated August 2, 1946, and Executive Order 9805, dated November 25, 1946, as amended. You are authorized to use your privately owned automobile and you will be reimbursed at the rate of ten cents per mile plus incidental expenses, not to exceed the cost by common carrier over the most direct route for all persons officially traveling therein. Should your dependents travel separate and apart from you, expenses will be allowed under the same conditions as above.

Enclosure


Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director

1 - SAC, Cincinnati
1 - SAC, Oklahoma City Expedite transfer and advise by airtel within 48 hours departure date and arrival date.

1 - Mr. Nease
1 - Mr. Tamm
1 - Mr. Clayton
1 - Mr. Newman
1 - Mrs. Axtell
1 - Miss Usilton

clg
(10)



Tolson _____
Nichols _____
Boardman _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
Nease _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

W. C. Sullivan

JUN 19 1958
REC'D-READING ROOM

JUN 20 12 56 PM '58
REC'D-READING ROOM

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Handwritten: 517 and [unclear]

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY

Where Assigned: OKLAHOMA CITY
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

Rating Period: from APRIL 1, 1958 to JUNE 27, 1958

ADJECTIVE RATING: SATISFACTORY
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Handwritten initials: ERT

Rated by: *Carroll Doyle* SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE JUNE 27, 1958
Signature Title Date

Reviewed by: *J. F. Mohr* Assistant Director JUL 2 1958
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: _____
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

() Official
() Annual

Handwritten: 211900-198
32

(X) Administrative
() 60-Day
(X) Transfer
() Separation from Service
() Special

Handwritten: 211900-198
32

Handwritten signature: [unclear]

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLY

**ASSISTANT SPECIAL
AGENT IN CHARGE**

Title 4-1-58 6-27-58
Rating Period: from _____ to _____

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
 - E Excellent.
 - ✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
 - Unsatisfactory.
 - O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (1) Personal appearance. <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). <u>✓</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. <u>✓</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. <u>✓</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. <u>✓</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. <u>✓</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. <u>+</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>+</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>O</u> (e) Accounting cases <u>E</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (17) Firearms ability. <u>O</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. <u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>+</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) <u>E</u> (20) Performance as a witness. <u>+</u> (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>E</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>E</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results <u>E</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (a) As leader <u>+</u> (b) As participant <u>+</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. <u>E</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> Dictation ability _____ |
|---|---|

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.): _____


Assistant Special Agent in Charge

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): _____
Desk Man

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
(2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
- D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave during rating period than earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)
- E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? X Yes ☐ No
If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: **SATISFACTORY**
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS



Oklahoma City, Okla.
June 27, 1958

EDWIN R. TULLY
Assistant Special Agent in Charge

This performance rating is occasioned by the transfer of Edwin R. Tully to the Cincinnati Division.

During the rating period Tully has been ASAC of the Oklahoma City Division. Since the date of his last performance rating Tully has continued to represent the Bureau very favorably as a speaker and in contacts with law enforcement officers. He is poised, a good conversationalist, aggressive and persevering. He has handled an exceptionally large volume of work and has demonstrated his ability to participate in complicated investigative matters. There are no limitations on his availability and no physical limitations affecting the performance of his work.

By letter dated May 2, 1958, the Director commended Special Agents of the Oklahoma City Division and Edwin R. Tully, who so ably performed in connection with the apprehension of [REDACTED] the subject of a kidnaping case. The Director pointed out that the employees displayed admirable teamwork, competence and resourcefulness.

By letter dated June 20, 1958, the Director censured Mr. Tully for his lack of judgment in not immediately notifying the Special Agent in Charge or the Bureau of information concerning a planned kidnaping of a certain prominent individual. Mr. Tully was removed from his position as Assistant Special Agent in Charge and transferred to the Cincinnati Division as a Special Agent.

Rating: SATISFACTORY

Carroll Doyle
CARROLL DOYLE
SAC

CD/ms

I have read the above. *EST*

F B I

Date: JUNE 23, 1958

Transmit the following in PLAIN TEXT

(Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO:

DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM:

SAC, OKLAHOMA CITY

EDWIN R. TULLY
SPECIAL AGENT

ReBulet June 20, 1958, transferring SA EDWIN R. TULLY
from Oklahoma City to the Cincinnati Division.

TULLY is currently on annual leave and will continue
in this status, UACB, for the balance of this week. He intends
to depart by plane from Oklahoma City on June 29, 1958, and
will arrive Cincinnati the same date.

CD/ms
(5)

1 cc Cincinnati

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M

Per _____

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI
Attention: Training & Inspection Division

DATE: July 10, 1958

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY, SA
INSPECTORS' MANUAL
No. 375

Transmitted herewith is Inspectors' Manual No. 375, which was issued to Mr. TULLY, who recently arrived in the Cincinnati Office on transfer from Oklahoma City.

Duplicate property record here being changed to reflect return of this Manual to the Bureau.

2 - Bureau (Encl.) (RM - RRR)
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:ESG
(3)

ENCLOSURE Detached (1) Property Section
7-14-58
da

200
JUL 13 1958

22

TWO
FILE

3-M

Please file in personnel file
of SA Edwin R. Tully

da

1. LAST NAME-FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME TULLY, EDWIN RAYMOND			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION S/A FBI		3. IDENTIFICATION NO. -
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 3000 NW 69th Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual FBI		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 17 Jun 58
7. SEX Male	8. RACE Caucasian	9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY 0 CIVILIAN 16		10. AGENCY FBI	11. ORGANIZATION UNIT -
12. DATE OF BIRTH 30 Nov 19(37)		13. PLACE OF BIRTH New York City, New York		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> (Wife) Same as item # 4	
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER. AND ADDRESS USAF HOSPITAL TINKER AF BASE OKLAHOMA			16. OTHER INFORMATION -		
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY -			TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total) -		LAST SIX MONTHS -

CLINICAL EVALUATION

NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated.)	ABNOR- MAL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES	
	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 59, 60 and 67)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements nystagmus)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulae) (Prostate, if indicated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS SCARS, TATTOOS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL	

NOTES (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

21. Tonsils enucleated.

31. 4 cm. scar, RLE; well healed, asymptomatic.

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)

O—Restorable teeth
, —Nonrestorable teeth

X—Missing teeth
 \overline{X} —Replaced by dentures

(6X8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments

REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES

Type 3 examination

Space between #4 & #5 closed
Class 2

[illegible]

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY		1.018		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result)	
B. ALBUMIN		D. MICROSCOPIC		Tinker AF Base Oklahoma 17 Jun 58 Film # G - 4153 - Negative	
C. SUGAR		Negative			
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result)		48. EKG	49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR	50. OTHER TESTS	
VDRL - Negative		Attached EKG - Nor	-	-	

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

51. HEIGHT 71.4	52. WEIGHT 163	53. COLOR HAIR Brown	54. COLOR EYES Blue	55. BUILD: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE	56. TEMPERATURE 98.4																											
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)			58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)																													
A. SITTING SYS. 132 DIAS. 78	B. RECUMBENT SYS. - DIAS. -	C. STANDING (3 min.) SYS. - DIAS. -	A. SITTING 76	B. AFTER EXERCISE 100	C. 2 MIN. AFTER 84																											
59. DISTANT VISION			60. REFRACTION																													
RIGHT 20/20 CORR. TO 20/ -			BY - S. - - OX -																													
LEFT 20/20 CORR. TO 20/ -			BY - S. - - OX -																													
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)																																
ES°	EX°	R. H.	L. H.	PRISM DIV.	PRISM. CONV. CT. ortho																											
-	-	-	-	-	-																											
63. ACCOMMODATION		64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)		65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)																												
RIGHT 5.0	LEFT 5.0	Passes 17 Plate AOC (Abg)		-																												
66. FIELD OF VISION Normal		67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)		68. RED LENS TEST -																												
		-		69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION Normal																												
70. HEARING		71. AUDIOMETER																														
RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV - /15		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250 256</td> <td>500 512</td> <td>1000 1024</td> <td>2000 2048</td> <td>3000 2896</td> <td>4000 4096</td> <td>6000 6144</td> <td>8000 8192</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>+5</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>-5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td>15</td> <td>15</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </table>					250 256	500 512	1000 1024	2000 2048	3000 2896	4000 4096	6000 6144	8000 8192	RIGHT	5	5	5	+5	-	0	-	-5	LEFT	15	15	5	0	-	5	-	5
	250 256	500 512	1000 1024	2000 2048	3000 2896	4000 4096	6000 6144	8000 8192																								
RIGHT	5	5	5	+5	-	0	-	-5																								
LEFT	15	15	5	0	-	5	-	5																								
LEFT WV 15 /15 SV - /15																																
72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)																																

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

Pertussis in childhood; no sequelae.

Appendectomy in 1935, good recovery, no complications.

Tonsillectomy in childhood, no complications.

Bursitis in 1951, medically treated with good results, no complications.

Treated for Flu in 1958, good recovery, no complications.

Wears glasses for reading purposes only, Rx satisfactory, no complications.

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

No significant abnormality noted on examination

75. RECOMMENDATIONS-FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)		76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE					
		P	U	L	H	E	S
77. EXAMINEE (Check) A. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS QUALIFIED FOR B. <input type="checkbox"/> IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR General Service		B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY					
		-					
78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER		A	B	C	E		
79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN S. ROSENBERG, Captain, USAF (MC) FS		SIGNATURE					
80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN		SIGNATURE					
81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)		SIGNATURE					
82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY W.D. MEYER, Captain, USAF (MC) FS		SIGNATURE				NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS	

ATTACHMENT TO STANDARD FORM 88, REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION.

FOR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE OF MEDICAL EXAMINER

Name of Examinee: Tully, Edwin Raymond
 (Type or print) Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	62
3	65
11	67
14	68
17	69
46	71
48	72
49	

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable..
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

FOR ALL EXAMINEES, WHETHER CLERICAL OR SPECIAL AGENT APPLICANTS
OR EMPLOYEES:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

TO BE ANSWERED IN THE CASE OF ALL MALE EMPLOYEES AND MALE APPLICANTS:

- Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?
☒ No ☐ Yes. If "yes" please specify defects. _____
- Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?
☒ No ☐ Yes. If "yes" please specify defects. _____

GRT

62-111100-201

Weights for Males

Height Feet-Inches	SMALL FRAME		MEDIUM FRAME		LARGE FRAME	
	Desirable	Maximum	Desirable	Maximum	Desirable	Maximum
5 4	121-131	143	129-139	152	136-148	162
5 5	124-134	146	132-142	155	140-152	166
5 6	128-138	151	136-146	160	144-157	172
5 7	131-142	155	140-151	165	148-161	176
5 8	135-146	160	144-155	170	152-165	181
5 9	139-150	164	148-159	174	156-170	186
5 10	143-154	168	152-163	178	160-175	192
5 11	147-159	174	156-168	184	164-180	197
6 0	152-164	179	161-173	189	169-185	203
6 1	158-170	186	166-179	196	174-191	209
6 2	163-175	192	171-184	201	179-197	216
6 3	168-180	197	176-189	207	184-202	221
6 4	174-186	204	182-195	214	190-208	228
6 5	180-191	209	188-201	220	196-214	234

3. Examinee's frame is ☒ small ☐ medium ☐ large

4. Considering above weight table the examinee's frame and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose _____ pounds

N/A

☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: None

E. A. Sauertieg
E. A. SAUERTIEG, CAPT., USAF(MC) FS

(Signature of Medical Examiner)

17 June 1958

(Date)

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

b6
b7c

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME TULLY, EDWIN RAYMOND				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Asst Agent in Charge		3. IDENTIFICATION NO. -			
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 3000 NW 69th St., Oklahoma City, Oklahoma				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual FBI		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 17 June 58			
7. SEX Male		8. RACE Cauc		9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY 0 CIVILIAN 16		10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT -	
12. DATE OF BIRTH 30 Nov 19(38)		13. PLACE OF BIRTH New York City, N.Y.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN (W) Same as para 4.					
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS USAF HOSPITAL TINKER AFB, OKLAHOMA				16. OTHER INFORMATION -					

0. STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists)

Good.

18. FAMILY HISTORY					19. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE:			
RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	YES	NO	(Check each item)	RELATION(S)
FATHER	65	Good				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD TUBERCULOSIS	
MOTHER		Deceased	pneumonia	37		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD SYPHILIS	
SPOUSE	35	Good				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD DIABETES	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD CANCER	
BROTHERS	40	Good				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	
AND						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD HEART TROUBLE	
SISTERS						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD STOMACH TROUBLE	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)	
CHILDREN	4	Good				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, HIVES	
	9	"				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)	
	11	"				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	COMMITTED SUICIDE	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BEEN INSANE	

20. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Place check at left of each item)								
YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SCARLET FEVER, ERYSIPELAS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		GOITER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DIPHTHERIA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		TUBERCULOSIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RUPTURE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RHEUMATIC FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SOAKING SWEATS (Night sweats)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		APPENDICITIS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		ASTHMA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PILES OR RECTAL DISEASE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		MUMPS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SHORTNESS OF BREATH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		WHOOPING COUGH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PAIN OR PRESSURE IN CHEST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		KIDNEY STONE OR BLOOD IN URINE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CHRONIC COUGH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DIZZINESS OR FAINTING SPELLS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PALPITATION OR POUNDING HEART	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		BOILS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		EYE TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		VENEREAL DISEASE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		EAR, NOSE OR THROAT TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CRAMPS IN YOUR LEGS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RUNNING EARS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		FREQUENT INDIGESTION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		ARTHRITIS OR RHEUMATISM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CHRONIC OR FREQUENT COLDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		STOMACH, LIVER OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		BONE, JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SEVERE TOOTH OR GUM TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		GALL BLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LAMENESS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SINUSITIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		JAWDICE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HAY FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		ANY REACTION TO SERUM, DRUG OR MEDICINE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PAINFUL OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)				22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER— B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		WORN GLASSES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		ATTEMPTED SUICIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>		BEEN PREGNANT		AGE AT ONSET OF MENSTRUATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		BEEN A SLEEP WALKER	<input type="checkbox"/>		HAD A VAGINAL DISCHARGE		INTERVAL BETWEEN PERIODS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		WORN HEARING AIDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LIVED WITH ANYONE WHO HAD TUBERCULOSIS	<input type="checkbox"/>		BEEN TREATED FOR A FEMALE DISORDER		DURATION OF PERIODS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		STUTTERED OR STAMMERED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		COUGHED UP BLOOD	<input type="checkbox"/>		HAD PAINFUL MENSTRUATION		DATE OF LAST PERIOD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		BLED EXCESSIVELY AFTER INJURY OR TOOTH EXTRACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>		HAD IRREGULAR MENSTRUATION	QUANTITY: <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SCANTY	
23. HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS? one		24. WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? MONTHS 16 yrs.		25. WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? FBI		26. ARE YOU (Check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIGHT HANDED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT HANDED				

67-211100-201

YES	NO	CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED "YES" MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCE?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details) <i>Bursitis 1951</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details) <i>Flu 1958 OK</i>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COLDS? (If yes, which illnesses)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge: whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE

EDWIN R. Tully

SIGNATURE

Edwin R. Tully

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in items 20 thru 39)

Pertussis in childhood, no sequelae.
Appendectomy in 1955, good recovery, no complications.
Tonsillectomy in childhood, no complications.
Bursitis in 1951, medically treated with good results, no complications.
Treated for Flu in 1958, good recovery, no complications.
Wears glasses for reading purposes only, Rx satisfactory, no complications.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

S. ROSENBERG, CAPT USAF

DATE

17 Jun 58

SIGNATURE

S. Rosenberg

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

CLINICAL RECORD					ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD					PREVIOUS ECG <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
CLINICAL IMPRESSION Routine (FBI)					MEDICATION none					<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY <input type="checkbox"/> BEDSIDE <input type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE <input type="checkbox"/> AMBULANT	
AGE 38	SEX Male	RACE C auc	HEIGHT 71 $\frac{1}{4}$	WEIGHT 163	B. P. 132/78	SIGNATURE OF WARD PHYSICIAN E2A. SAUERTIEG CAPT., USAF (MC)				DATE 26 June 58	
RHYTHM Sinus					AXIS DEVIATION (QRS) + 60			RATES AURIC. 68 VENT 68			
INTERVALS PR .18 QRS .07 QT .32					P WAVES ---						
QRS COMPLEXES ---											
RS-T SEGMENT ---					T WAVES ---						
UNIPOLAR EXTREMITY LEADS (Specify) ---											

PRECORDIAL LEADS (Specify)

V1 - r3, inverted T	V4 - Rs, upright T
V2 - rS, upright T	V5 - Rs, upright T
V3 - RS, upright T	V6 - Rs, upright T

SUMMARY, SERIAL CHANGES, AND IMPLICATIONS:

EKG within normal limits.

NO. ECG	SIGNATURE G. H. DECKERT	TITLE CAPT, USAF (MC)	DATE 2 Jul 58
PATIENT'S LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME TULLY, EDWIN R. CIV, ANNUAL FBI		REGISTER NO.	WARD NO. 10

3000 NW 69th Street, Oklahoma

ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD

Standard Form 520

(NAME OF HOSPITAL OR OTHER MEDICAL FACILITY)

6111700 201

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: July 28, 1958

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ANNUAL LEAVE
SA EDWIN R. TULLY
CINCINNATI DIVISION

SA TULLY arrived in the Cincinnati Office on transfer from Oklahoma City on June 29, 1958. He is on probation. His wife and three children are still in Oklahoma City. He desires the annual leave requested to return to Oklahoma City to bring his family to Cincinnati, Ohio, and make arrangements to move into a house in Cincinnati.

I recommend his annual leave be approved and it will be so considered, UACB.

Standard Form 71, in duplicate, transmitted herewith. It will be noted 48 hours requested, beginning 8:30 A.M., 8/1, and ending 5:30 P.M., 8/8/58.

- 2 - Bureau (Encls.-2)
1 - Cincinnati

RECORDED - 137

202

EDM:ESG
(3)

ADDENDUM OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION 7-30-58. MCF:ems

On 6-20-58 SA Tully was censured, placed on probation, and demoted from Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Grade GS 14 at \$11,595 per annum, to Grade GS 13 at \$10,850 as a Special Agent, due to the fact that he did not immediately notify his Special Agent in Charge that he had received information concerning the planned kidnaping of a certain prominent individual.

The SAC, Oklahoma rated Tully Satisfactory on the transfer performance rating submitted 6-27-58. SA Tully's maximum accumulation of annual leave is 77 days and 7 hours; he has taken a total of 7 days thus far this year, and his balance of annual leave is 83 days and 7 hours.

Bureau policy requires Bureau approval of annual leave exceeding 2 days at a time where an employee is on probation.

RECOMMENDATION:

That approval be granted for SA Tully to take the requested annual leave.

40

OK
7/31

5/100

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: 8-22-58

FROM : H. L. Edwards *HLE*

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
 GS-13, \$10, 850
 Cincinnati Division
 Entered on Duty 8-20-41 (Clerk)
 1-4-43 (Special Agent)
 Non-Veteran; Not in Reserves
 ON PROBATION SINCE 6-20-58

SA MYRON E. LIGHT
 GS-13, \$10, 130
 Cincinnati Division
 Entered on Duty 12-1-41
 (Clerk); 2-16-48 (Special Agent)
 Veteran; Air Force Standby Inactive
 Reserve
 Not on Probation

Tolson _____
 Boardman _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Nease _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 Clayton _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

SA [REDACTED]
 GS-10, \$6, 655
 Cincinnati Division
 Entered on Duty 11-26-56
 Veteran; Not on Probation

b6
 b7C

In the Deserter case involving [REDACTED] the synopsis of SA [REDACTED] reported dated 7-24-58 omitted a required statement concerning the armed and dangerous character of the subject. The report was approved by Relief Supervisor Myron E. Light. SA [REDACTED] explained that the omission was due to inadvertence and he pointed out that copies of the report were designated only for the Bureau and the office of origin, both of which had been advised previously concerning the subject's dangerous character. No copies of the report were designated for auxiliary offices other than Cincinnati. SA Light also stated that the omission was the result of inadvertence.

In the Deserter case involving [REDACTED] SA Tully prepared a report, cover memorandum and letterhead memorandum requesting investigation by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. These three communications were dated 7-22-58. The report and cover memorandum contained the required caution statement concerning the dangerous character of the subject, Haas; however, the letterhead memorandum when received at the Bureau did not contain this information. The delinquency was discovered at the Bureau before dissemination and the necessary correction was made. SA Tully explained that he had no independent recollection of the communications prepared by him under date of 7-22-58 but he pointed out that the report and cover memorandum contained the required caution statement and there

TJN:pmd (7)

- 1 - Mr. Edwards (sent direct) 1 - Mr. Tamm (sent direct)
- 1 - SOG Cincinnati Office Personnel File
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Myron E. Light
- 1 - Personnel File of SA [REDACTED]

67-211900-203

5 AUG 27 1958

was no reason not to include it when dictating the letterhead memorandum. Apparently SA Tully said that the caution statement was inadvertently omitted from the letterhead memorandum. SA Light advised that the omission was the result of an oversight and he said that steps would be taken to avoid similar delinquencies in the future.

SAC Mason has charged form errors against SAs Tully, Light and [] and recommended that no further action be taken. The Investigative Division pointed out that the Inspector's Manual cites the omission of required caution statements as a substantive error and it recommended that SAs [] Light and Tully be censured.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION:

1. In the Deserter case involving [] omission of the caution statement from the letterhead memorandum was discovered before dissemination was made and no harm was done in this instance. SAs Tully and Light have been charged with form errors and it is recommended that no further action be taken.

2. In the [] case the report of SA [] dated 7/24/58 omitting the required caution statement was transmitted only to the office of origin and the Bureau, both of which had been advised previously of the dangerous character of the subject. Form errors were scored and no further administrative action is recommended.

abs
7/27/58

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

1. NAME (LAST [CAPS]—First—Middle—Mr.—Miss—Mrs.) TULLY, EDWIN R. (MR.)		2. DATE OF BIRTH 11-30-10	3. IDENTIFICATION (optional) 05143
4. THIS IS AN OFFICIAL NOTICE OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION DESCRIBED BELOW, WHICH AFFECTS YOUR EMPLOYMENT. GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING YOUR EMPLOYMENT APPEARS ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM.			
5. NATURE OF ACTION (standard terminology must be used) REASSIGNMENT AND CHANGE TO LOWER GRADE		6. EFFECTIVE DATE OF ACTION 6-20-58	7. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW
FROM— Special Agent (ASAC) GS 14 \$11,595 per annum		8. POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER 9. SERIES, GRADE, SALARY 10. NAME AND LOCATION OF OFFICE BY WHICH EMPLOYED 11. DUTY STATION	TO— Special Agent FBI 54-T-183 Series 1811, GS 13 \$10,850 per annum RECEIVED 1961 SERIES 1811 FBI OFF 48
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		12. APPORTIONED POSITION	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Apportionment Waived STATE: <input type="checkbox"/> Proved
13. VETERAN PREFERENCE No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5-pt. <input type="checkbox"/> 10-pt. Disab. <input type="checkbox"/> 10-pt. Other <input type="checkbox"/>		14. TENURE GROUP	
15. POSITION OCCUPIED IS IN THE: <input type="checkbox"/> Competitive Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excepted Service		16. APPROPRIATION From: S. & E., FBI To: Same	
17. PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS CSR <input type="checkbox"/> FICA <input type="checkbox"/> FEGLI <input type="checkbox"/>		18. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVITS (accessions only)	
19. REMARKS: <input type="checkbox"/> a. Subject to completion of 1 year probationary (or trial) period commencing _____ <input type="checkbox"/> b. Service counting toward career (or permanent) tenure from: _____ Separations: Show reasons below, as required. Check, if applicable: <input type="checkbox"/> c. During probation <input type="checkbox"/> d. From appointment of 6 months or less			
20. EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY U. S. Department of Justice Federal Bureau of Investigation		22. SIGNATURE (or other authentication) AND TITLE J. E. Hoover Director 6-27-58	
21. OFFICE MAINTAINING OFFICIAL PERSONNEL FOLDER (if different than item 10, above) Federal Bureau of Investigation Washington 25, D. C.		23. DATE: 6-27-58	

SAC, Cincinnati

9-11-53

Director, FBI

Credential Card
Edwin R. Tully


Enclosed is the completed credential card for issuance to SA Tully.

The enclosed property receipt should be signed and returned together with his old credential card.

Enclosures (2)

WLT/hbe *hbe*
(3)

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Nease _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____



MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

9/6/58

I deliberately did not have a witness to this sworn statement inasmuch as no other employee of the Cincinnati Division knows of this allegation and I do not feel it wise to bring anyone else into it.

The copy of the statement of 8/15/58 by SA RAWLS has been retained for the field personnel file of SA TULLY along with one yellow of SA TULLY's sworn statement.


E. D. MASON
Special Agent in Charge

Cincinnati, Ohio
September 6, 1958

I, Edwin R. Tully, being duly sworn, do hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to E. D. Mason, Special Agent in Charge of the Cincinnati FBI Office.

I have read a statement dated August 15, 1958, at Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, wherein William G. Rawls alleges hearsay information to the effect that in my former capacity as Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Oklahoma City Field Division, I accused Special Agent Bill Rupp of not being ill on Monday mornings but recovering from a hangover. I have given careful consideration to this allegation. This is false. I have never accused Mr. Rupp or any other agent of taking sick leave on Monday mornings to recover from a hangover. I would not hesitate to accuse an employee of such a violation if I had a suspicion of abuse of sick leave privileges and had facts which would support such suspicions. In any such event, I would have immediately brought it to the attention of the Special Agent in Charge and to the attention of the Bureau.

While I was Assistant Special Agent in Charge in Oklahoma City, it was my habit to carefully scrutinize sick leave and particularly sick leave which was joined to a weekend for it was my duty as Assistant Special Agent in Charge to assure that there was no abuse of sick leave by any employee.

I do not recall accusing anyone of abusing sick leave privileges. I deny the allegation of SA Rawls and categorically state he is in error. I am confident I would have remembered any such important matter and would have fulfilled my duty to promptly bring any suspicion on my part to the attention of the Special Agent in Charge and higher authority.

I have carefully read the above statement and assert that it is accurate in all respects.

Edwin R. Tully

EDWIN R. TULLY
Special Agent
Cincinnati Division

Sworn and subscribed before me on September 6, 1958,
at Cincinnati, Ohio

E. D. Mason
E. D. MASON
Special Agent in Charge
Cincinnati Division

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 9/6/58

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT:

Attached are:

b7D

1. One copy of substantive write-up dated 8/21/58, prepared during Oklahoma City inspection.

2. Explanation of former ASAC EDWIN R. TULLY prepared in addendum form.

We have placed in SA TULLY's personnel file, one copy of the write-up and his answer.

In view of the Bureau's desire that SACs be forthright in offering recommendations to the Bureau where possible administrative action is involved, I wish to herewith set forth my recommendations and evaluations.

I do not recall, if I ever knew, SA WILLIAM G. RAWLS. I do know that the attitude of Mr. TULLY in this matter has been sincere, forthright, and truthful, and I place complete confidence in his answer.

I know from experience how a field supervisor can in the press of business instruct an agent to make notations on a serial or take particular action and I believe the field supervisor has a right to rely on an agent to carry out instructions given to him by the field supervisor. It certainly appears to me that SA TULLY was alert in detecting the fact that the memo of SA RAWLS was in error because it placed in the past tense the statement that

SA TULLY was certainly correct in immediately calling RAWLS to account as he did. It is TULLY's recollection that he placed a notation on the serial or that he instructed RAWLS to do so. I can certainly understand that

2 - Bureau
1 - Cincinnati
EDM:pat
(3)

his memory would not be precise as to this point which would have been minor at the time. The honest intent and good judgment of TULLY are apparent in his instructions to RAWLS that the original memorandum not be destroyed or be re-written but that notation be placed thereon which would make the original memo then entirely accurate.

There is certainly no question in TULLY's mind at this time as to the correct procedure and there is no reason for me to believe there was any question at the time of the incident for he has openly, dispassionately, and sincerely presented his explanation which I recommend the Bureau accept.

It does not appear to me in the light of his explanation that a substantive weakness can be attached to Mr. TULLY in this instance and the most with which he could be charged is not having followed up on RAWLS to make certain that RAWLS put the notation on the memo as instructed, and this seems to be a relatively minor omission; the important thing is that TULLY prevented an incorrect action on the part of RAWLS; avoided a serious violation of Bureau regulations which apparently RAWLS intended to culminate; and TULLY recollects intent to have the file show the facts as they existed.

If the whole story exists in the substantive write-up and in TULLY's explanation, then I recommend no administrative action.

2-26-58

TO SAC [REDACTED]
FROM SA WILLIAM G. RAWLS
SUBJECT [REDACTED]

on 2-26-58, efforts were being made by the reporting agent to locate and interview [REDACTED] who would be in a position to furnish information of value to the FBI concerning [REDACTED] as well as information of value in regard to WSTA matters.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] has never provided any information of any type to an officer and on interview 2-26-58 indicated he would assist the SA Rawls and furnish information to the FBI.

b6
b7C
b7D

[REDACTED]
rather than to in anyway disclose that [REDACTED] might be assisting the FBI
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

In view of the above mentioned it is suggested that [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

3/13/58
R/S Rawls.
Ident. rec
JR

Kategan - card made
Ad and made
3-14-58
TK

SEARCHED [X] INDEXED [X]
SERIALIZED [X] FILED [X]
FEB 28 1958
FBI - OKLAHOMA CITY
Rawls
Hank

Name: EDWIN R. TULLY

Title: Special Agent

Payroll No: 05143

Grade: GS-13 @ \$10,850

EOD: Clerk, 8/20/41
SA, 1/4/43

On Probation

Nonveteran

JH
JL
INSPECTOR JAMES H. GALE: SA Tully was Assistant Special Agent (Joseph L. Kissiah, SOG) in Charge of the Oklahoma City Field Division from 7/16/56 until 6/20/58 when Bulet transferred him to Cincinnati as a Special Agent. In his capacity as ASAC in Oklahoma City Office, he was involved in substantive write-up in case entitled [redacted]

[redacted] supervised by Tully and assigned to SA William G. Rawls. Memo by Rawls 2/26/58, copy attached, indicated [redacted]

[redacted] Former ASAC Tully was requested to explain if instant case opened by him (based on memo reporting [redacted] by Rawls) and, if so, why SA Rawls was not challenged on information in memo re [redacted] and why appropriate explanation not obtained from Rawls.

b6
b7C
b7D

SA Tully advised he had ordered [redacted] be opened, that Rawls had presented him with memorandum dated 2/26/58, referred to above, at which time Tully said he told Rawls [redacted] and asked him why he had done so. Tully said Rawls told him [redacted]

Tully said he told Rawls that [redacted]

[redacted] Tully also said he thought he had crossed out paragraph three in the memorandum 2/26/58 or told Rawls to do so, [redacted]

[redacted] and was told not to do so by Tully. Tully said, as he recalled,

OKLAHOMA CITY INSPECTION
8/27/58
JLK/

67-NOT RECORDED
3 SEP 16 1958

2 JH
3 JIE

he did not want the memo destroyed, but wanted it to show that

[redacted] that through some inadvertence it was not crossed out and the proper notation was not inserted.

b6
b7C
b7D

OBSERVATIONS: Rawls, in explanation submitted separately, advised

[redacted]
[redacted] He said that in his memo 2/26/58 through inadvertence the statement was made that [redacted] and that this statement is incorrect. (Action concerning SA Rawls' involvement in this and other matters handled separately.) Since it appears [redacted] and SA Tully indicates he detected this matter at the time the memorandum dated 2/26/58 was submitted, questioned [redacted] and believed he had crossed out the statement concerning [redacted] no harm has been done and it is not believed SA Tully should be censured.

It was further noted during this inspection that in a statement dated August 15, 1958, at Oklahoma City by SA Rawls, he alleges SA WILLIAM C. RUPP told him that he (RUPP) had been accused by former ASAC Tully to the effect that "it was his (TULLY's) belief that Rupp had not been actually ill on Monday mornings but recovering from a hangover." (This pertains to anonymous allegation that some agent for some time had made habit of staying home on Friday or Monday allegedly ill, but actually on extended drinking sprees, and Rawls in his statement advised he assumed this referred to SA Rupp.) SA Tully has advised in sworn statement 9/6/58 (attached) that this allegation is false; that he has never accused Mr. Rupp or any other agent of taking sick leave on Monday mornings to recover from a hangover. Tully said he would not hesitate to accuse an employee of such a violation if he had a suspicion of abuse of sick leave privileges and had facts which would support such suspicions; that in any such event he would have immediately brought it to the attention of the Special Agent in Charge and the Bureau.

(Inspector specifically questioned SA Rupp re this matter and he said former ASAC Tully had never discussed with him anything re hangovers on Mondays; also that he had never made such a statement to SA Rawls.)

OBSERVATIONS: Both former ASAC Tully and SA Rupp deny Tully ever accused Rupp of not actually being ill on Monday mornings but recovering from a hangover, as alleged by SA Rawls, and it appears that SA Rawls was in error in making this allegation concerning SA Tully.

RECOMMENDATIONS: 1. That SA Tully not be censured in connection with the reported [redacted] [redacted] since apparently [redacted] by SA Rawls, and since he states he detected this point in SA Rawls' memorandum 2/26/58, and believed he had eliminated the statement concerning [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

2. That SA Tully not be censured in connection with the allegation by SA Rawls that he accused SA Rupp of not being actually ill on Monday mornings but recovering from a hangover when Rupp took leave, since both SA Tully and SA Rupp deny that this accusation ever took place.

OLL
JAN
9/15

SUBSTANTIVE WRITE-UP

[Redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

INSPECTOR GALE: This Pending file was opened 2/28/58 and assigned to SA WILLIAM G. RAWLS. It was supervised on the desk of ASAC EDWIN R. TULLY.

A memorandum was submitted by SA RAWLS dated 2/26/58 reflecting that [Redacted]

[Redacted] This memorandum reflected further that [Redacted]

[Redacted] An undated memorandum block stamped 4/10/58 from SA RAWLS reflects [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Explanations Requested

SA RAWLS is requested to explain why [Redacted]

[Redacted] He is further requested to advise [Redacted]

[Redacted] He should advise if [Redacted]

[Redacted] as reflected above, or if [Redacted]

SA TULLY is requested to advise if instant case was opened by him, it being noted [Redacted]

[Redacted]

Oklahoma City Inspection

8/21/58

HWG:PM

4-H

BUREAU PERSONNEL
FILE OF SA EDWIN
R. TULLY

[redacted] explanations are requested as to why SA RAWLS was not challenged on the information contained in the memorandum [redacted] and why appropriate explanation not obtained from RAWLS.

Comments of SAC requested.

SAC DOYLE: I was not aware that SA RAWLS [redacted]

[redacted] Had I been aware of such arrangements, I certainly would have questioned RAWLS' method in this regard.

SA RAWLS: The information was received from local Police Department that [redacted]

[redacted] However, SA RAWLS did state that he would convey the information to [redacted] The information was telephonically furnished to [redacted]

[redacted] SA RAWLS at that time stated [redacted]

[redacted] At that time the memorandum dated 2/26/58 was submitted, believing that [redacted]

[redacted] All indications are at this time that [redacted]

[redacted] The incident in question occurred on only one occasion, that being 2/26/58, and the date 3/26/58 was inadvertently entered on the undated memorandum block stamped 4/10/58. It is to be noted that [redacted]

[redacted] In the memorandum dated 2/26/58 through inadvertence the statement was made that [redacted]

[redacted] This is incorrect; [redacted]

[redacted] It is to be further noted that [redacted]

[redacted] The only information furnished was to the effect that [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

SA TULLY: The handwriting [redacted] is mine. The facts in this matter as I recall them are as follows:

SA RAWLS presented me with the memo dated 2/26/58 telling me that [redacted]

I told RAWLS, after reading the memo, that [redacted]

[redacted] I told RAWLS that while we [redacted]

[redacted] He said he understood. I told him, however, I wanted [redacted] opened [redacted]

[redacted] I thought I had crossed out paragraph three or told RAWLS to do so, with a notation that [redacted]

[redacted] As I recall, I did not want the memo destroyed, but wanted it to show that RAWLS [redacted]

[redacted] Through some inadvertence, it was not crossed out and proper notation was not inserted.

b6
b7C
b7D

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

CC-5a

Sept. 12, 1958

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:
~~returned~~

New Commission Card with case # 771

RETURNED

Old Commission Card with case # 171
ASAC ✓

R E A D

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

FILE

3-M

PER da

Very truly yours,

Edwin R. Tully

Edwin R. Tully

Special Agent

67-NOV 10 1958 147
SEP 19 1958

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: September 8, 1958

FROM : G. A. Nease

SUBJECT: OKLAHOMA CITY OFFICE
FORMER SAC CARROLL DOYLE

NO COMMENT
With reference to my memorandum earlier today in captioned matter wherein replies were given to an Oklahoma City "Times" reporter by the Oklahoma City Office and Wick in my office, the following is set forth:

The "former SAC 'busted' to a field man" referred to by the reporter is in all probability former ASAC Edwin R. Tully. Tully was transferred to Cincinnati as an Agent 6-29-58 when, as ASAC of the Oklahoma City Office, he failed to notify the Bureau or the SAC of the receipt by his office of a plan to kidnap Mrs. Harry L. Crosby. The Director doubted he had the fitness to be an ASAC.

ASAC Mansfield, in talking with Wick this morning prior to the newspaper reporter's call to the Bureau, stated that the reporter did not have the name of the "SAC" who was "busted" but it was Mansfield's belief he referred to Edwin R. Tully. Mansfield stated no names were mentioned in his conversation with the reporter.

It should be noted that Tully received information of the kidnap plot at 4:30 p. m., 6-13-58 and did not send out Agents to conduct interviews until the following day nor did he notify the SAC until the following day at 11:30 a. m.

ACTION:

For the information of the Director.

- 1 - Mr. Mohr
- 1 - Mr. Holloman
- 1 - Mr. Jones

REW:sak
(5)

67-200 560-318	
Searched	Numbered 62
3 SEP 15 1958	

THREE

JK

1. Agency and organizational designations F.B.I., U.S. Dept. of Justice					2. Pay period		3. Block No.		4. Slip No.	
5. Employee's name (and social security account number when appropriate) 00143 MR. EDWIN D. TOLLY SA					6. Grade and salary GS 13 \$11,000					
PAY ROLL CHANGE DATA										
	BASE PAY	OVERTIME		GROSS PAY	RET.	TAX	BOND	F. I. C. A.		NET PAY
7. Previous normal										
8. New normal										
9. Pay this period										
10. Remarks:						11. Appropriation(s) <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">27</div>			12. Prepared by	
									13. Audited by	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Periodic step-increase <input type="checkbox"/> Pay adjustment <input type="checkbox"/> Other step-increase										
14. Effective date 9-21-58	15. Date last equivalent increase 3-24-57	16. Old salary rate \$10,850	17. New salary rate \$11,030	18. Performance rating is satisfactory or better. <div style="text-align: right; font-family: cursive; font-size: 1.2em;">J. E. Hoover</div> (Signature or other authentication)						
19. LWOP data (Fill in appropriate spaces covering LWOP during following periods): Period(s):				(Check applicable box in case of excess LWOP) <input type="checkbox"/> In pay status at end of waiting period. <input type="checkbox"/> In LWOP status at end of waiting period						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No excess LWOP. Total excess LWOP:				JA/KSV Initials of Clerk						
STANDARD FORM NO. 1126d—Revised Form prescribed by Comp. Gen., U. S. Nov. 8, 1950, General Regulations No. 102				PAY ROLL CHANGE SLIP—PERSONNEL COPY						

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: October 14, 1958

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
Grade GS-13
Cincinnati Division
ON PROBATION

Attached is a favorable performance rating on SA EDWIN R. TULLY. He should be immediately removed from probation.

This man is a former ASAC, who is definitely possessed of extreme loyalty to the FBI and qualities unmatched in other Agent personnel of this division, which equip him for further consideration for administrative advancement.

Weight and attendance satisfactory; availability complete. Performance excellent.

Prompt removal from probation recommended.

2 - Bureau (Encl.)
2 - Cincinnati

EDM:ESG
(4)

107-211,111-204
OCT 20 1958

74
OCT 23 1958

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

*Not Recommended
EJL
OK*

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY

Where Assigned: Cincinnati
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Special Agent, GS-13

Rating Period: from 6/29/58 to 10/14/58

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

EAT

Rated by: *E. Mason* Special Agent 10/15/58
Signature Title Date

Reviewed by: *J. F. Mohr* Assistant Director OCT 21 1958
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: *J. F. Mohr* Assistant Director OCT 21 1958
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

() Official
() Annual

(X) Administrative
() 60-Day
(X) 90-Day
() Transfer
() Separation from Service
() Special

67-2111-205
OCT 20 1958

NOV 3 1958

[Signature]

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLYTitle Special Agent, GS-13Rating Period: from 6/29/58 to 10/14/58

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
 - E Excellent.
 - ✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
 - Unsatisfactory.
 - O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. <u>E</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. <u>E</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. <u>E</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. <u>O</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. <u>+</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>O</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>O</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>O</u> (e) Accounting cases <u>E</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>E</u> (17) Firearms ability. <u>✓</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. <u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>+</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) <u>E</u> (20) Performance as a witness. <u>O</u> (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results <u>+</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) As leader <u>+</u> (b) As participant <u>+</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. <u>E</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> Dictation ability _____ |
|---|---|

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.): Special Agent.B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): Executive.

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No. 2. Has employee used more sick leave during rating period than earned during such period? No. (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

EXCELLENT

ADJECTIVE RATING: _____
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS



RE: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
90-DAY PERFORMANCE RATING

Mr. TULLY is currently on probation for a situation which occurred prior to this rating period and in another division. His services in the Cincinnati Division have been marked by extremely high-level performance and competent handling of all duties, coupled with a superbly favorable attitude.

Mr. TULLY's work has been primarily in the Top Hoodlum field and he has also had assigned to him several complex criminal matters, including certain fugitive and deserter-fugitive investigations. He has assisted the Special Agent in Charge in certain delicate inquiries of extreme importance conducted in accord with Bureau wishes. His perspective of FBI policy is very broad indeed and his knowledge of FBI procedures is outstanding. His judgment is sound; his approach courageous; his performance judicious.

No limitations as to availability or physical condition.
Weight satisfactory.

Mr. TULLY definitely is interested in administrative advancement in the service of the FBI and his prior service, his know-how, and his appearance equip him for further consideration and arm him with a potential of noteworthy quality.

Rating: Excellent.

Employee's Initials: ERT

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: 10-27-58

FROM : W. S. Tavel

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
 Special Agent
 Cincinnati Office
 EOD 1-4-43, GS-13, \$11,090
 Non-Veteran - Not in Reserve
 RE: REMOVAL FROM PROBATION

Tolson _____
 Boardman _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Nease _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 Clayton _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

On 6-20-58, While assigned to the Oklahoma City Office as Assistant Special Agent in Charge, he was CENSURED, PLACED ON PROBATION AND ORDERED UNDER TRANSFER on general assignment to Cincinnati, and advised that effective 6-29-58 his salary would be reduced to Grade GS-13, \$10,850, in view of an instance of atrocious judgment on his part in the performance of his official duties. On 6-13-58, he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby, but did not immediately notify his SAC or the Bureau and he was seriously at fault for failing to do this.

On 6-29-58 he arrived under transfer to the Cincinnati Office.

On 10-15-58 SAC Mason rated him EXCELLENT and said his services in the Cincinnati Division had been marked by extremely high-level performance and competent handling of all duties, coupled with a superbly favorable attitude. He worked primarily in the Top Hoodlum field and he had also had assigned to him several complex criminal matters, including certain fugitive and deserter-fugitive investigations. His judgment was sound, his approach courageous, and his performance judicious. He was available for general or special assignment. He was interested in administrative advancement in the service of the FBI and his prior service, his know-how, and his appearance equipped him for further consideration and armed him with a potential of noteworthy quality. By a separate communication his SAC recommended that he be removed from probation.

RECOMMENDATION:

That SA Tully be continued on probation for an additional 60 day period.

67-2117-206
 Number
 12 OCT 29 1958
 3-4-58
 9747

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 10/24/58

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
 Special Agent
 Cincinnati Division;
 ANNUAL LEAVE MATTER,
 ON PROBATION

Miss J. Lee
my

Mr. TULLY, currently on probation, requests three days annual leave commencing start of business 11/26/58.

He will be in New York City at that time, UACB.

- 2 - Bureau
- 1 - Cincinnati

11900-207

EDM:pat
 (3)

R/SB See 10-21-58.
Adv whether he desires
2 days 10/26-28/58
or 3 days 11-26 thru
12-1-58
gms

ms

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: 11-4-58

FROM : W. S. Tavel

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
 Cincinnati Division
 EOD 1-4-43; GS-13, \$11,090
 Nonveteran, Not in Reserves
 On Probation
 REQUEST FOR ANNUAL LEAVE

Tolson _____
 Boardman _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Nease _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

SAC, Cincinnati submitted a request of SA Tully for 3 days of annual leave from 11-26-58 through 12-1-58, and stated he will be in New York City at that time unless advised to the contrary by the Bureau.

On 6-20-58, Tully was censured, placed on probation, ^{demoted} and ordered under transfer from Oklahoma City where he was Assistant Special Agent in Charge, to Cincinnati, because he failed to immediately notify the SAC or Bureau that he had received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby.

SA Tully was rated Excellent on 10-15-58 by SAC Mason. By separate communication he recommended that Tully be removed from probation. A recommendation was made 10-27-58 and approved that he be continued on probation for an additional 60 days.

His maximum accumulation of annual leave is 77 days; he has taken a total of 13 days and 3 hours thus far this year, and his balance is 84 days and 4 hours.

Bureau policy requires Bureau approval of annual leave exceeding two days at a time where an employee is on probation.

RECOMMENDATION:

That SA Tully's request be approved.

WEE

See adv
 57-71
 EMS
 (2)
 11/5

OK
 TPA
 11/5

11 90 - 208
 3 NOV 6 1958

3
 gmo

Date 10-30-58

To

☒ Director

FILE #

Att.

☐ SAC

Title Re: ANNUAL LEAVE

☐ ASAC

SA EDWIN R. TULLY

☐ Supv.

☐ Agent

☐ SE

☐ CC

☐ Steno

☐ Clerk

ACTION DESIRED

- ☐ Acknowledge
- ☐ Assign Reassign
- ☐ Bring file
- ☐ Call me
- ☐ Correct
- ☐ Deadline
- ☐ Deadline passed
- ☐ Delinquent
- ☐ Discontinue
- ☐ Expedite
- ☐ File
- ☐ Initial & return
- ☐ Leads need attention
- ☐ Open Case
- ☐ Return with explanation or notation as to action taken.

- ☐ Prepare lead cards
- ☐ Prepare tickler
- ☐ Recharge serials
- ☐ Return assignment card
- ☐ Return file
- ☐ Return serials
- ☐ Search and return
- ☐ See me
- ☐ Send Serials
- to
- ☐ Submit new charge-out
- ☐ Submit report by
- ☐ Type

Re attached R/S. SA Tully desires 3 days
A/L, 11-26-58 through 12-1-58.

ENCLOSURE
Det
gms

☐ See reverse side

SAC E. D. MASON

Office Cincinnati

3
gms

Memorandum 11-4-58
gms

78
67-NOT RECORDED
3 NOV 6 1958



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

RE: SA

EDWIN R. TULLY
(Type or print plainly)

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of \$10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	WIFE	Date	11-3-58
Address	5754 EULA AVE CINCINNATI OHIO				

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty.

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	WIFE	Date	11-3-58
Address					

Very truly yours,

Edwin R. Tully
Special Agent

NOT RECORDED
DEC 9 1958

JACK Ewing

WEBSTER 1-0923

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: Dec. 9, 1958

FROM : *Edm/1913*
SAC, CincinnatiSUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
ON PROBATION
REQUEST FOR ANNUAL LEAVE

SA TULLY, presently on probation, has requested annual leave for the period beginning 8:15 A.M., 12/15/58, ending 5:00 A.M., 12/19/58, a total of 40 hours. (*5 days*)

It is recommended that this leave be approved by the Bureau.

SF 71, in duplicate, forwarded herewith.

ADDENDUM: (over)

(2) - Bureau (Encl. 2)
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:ESG
(3)

*Detached in Leave
Unit 12/12/58.*

209

3/11/58

ADDENDUM OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION 12-11-58. *mcf* MCF:ksp *keep*
month

On 6-20-58 SA Tully was censured, placed on probation, demoted from Grade GS 14 at \$10,535 per annum to Grade GS 13 at \$9850 per annum, removed from the position of Assistant Special Agent in Charge, and transferred from Oklahoma City to Cincinnati. This action was taken when he failed to immediately advise the Bureau when he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby.

He was rated Satisfactory on the transfer performance rating submitted 6-27-58, and was rated Excellent on the administrative performance rating submitted 10-14-58 by the SAC, Cincinnati.

By memo 10-27-58 he was continued on probation for 60 days.

SA Tully's maximum accumulation is 77 days and 7 hours; he has taken 15 days and 5 hours of annual leave thus far this year, and his balance of annual leave is 85 days and 2 hours. He must take 10 days and 3 hours of annual leave by 1-10-59, or lose this leave.

Bureau policy requires Bureau approval for annual leave exceeding two days at a time when an employee is on probation.

RECOMMENDATION:

That annual leave as requested be approved, and the SAC advised to submit future requests in UACB terminology.

with
proposed
use

OK
JP
1/11

SAC adv. 12-12-58
mmmc

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 12/4/58

FROM : SAC CINCINNATI (66-2777)

SUBJECT: PERSONNEL MATTERS
CLERICAL ELIGIBILITY LIST
CINCINNATI DIVISION*Edmund R. Tully*
Re SAC Letter #58-25 dated 4/8/58.

There is set forth herewith the status of the Clerical Eligibility List in the Cincinnati Division:

CLERKS[REDACTED]
BUAP - CLERKApproved for Cincinnati Eligibility List by
Bulet dated 7/18/58.
(Last contacted 11/3/58.)[REDACTED]
BUAP - CLERKApproved for Cincinnati Eligibility List by
Bulet dated 7/24/58.
(Last contacted 11/28/58.)[REDACTED]
BUAP - CLERKApproved for Cincinnati Eligibility List by
Bulet dated 8/21/58.
(Last contacted 10/22/58.)[REDACTED]
BUAP - CLERKApproved for Cincinnati Eligibility List by
Bulet dated 8/28/58.)
(Last contacted 12/4/58)2-Bureau
1-CincinnatiETC:fba
(3)

67-14000-2105

Searched

Numbered

5 DEC 16 1958

CI 66-2777

BUAP - CLERK

Approved for Cincinnati Eligibility List by
Bulet dated 11/6/58.

TYPISTSBUAP - TYPIST

Approved for Cincinnati Eligibility List by
Bulet dated 11/26/58.

STENOGRAPHERS

Efforts are continuing to obtain applicants
for the eligibility list as stenographers.

There have been two clerical resignations in
the Cincinnati Division during the month of November,
1958. They are as follows:

Stenographer, GS-4,
who resigned effective 11/14/58. Not replaced.

Clerk, GS-4,
resigned effective 11/22/58. Not replaced.

The Cincinnati Division has had a turnover of
eight stenographers, four typists, and sixteen clerks
in the past eleven months. The monthly turnover average
is as follows:

STENOGRAPHERS	.729
TYPISTS	.363
CLERKS	1.454

For the Bureau's information, FBI recruiting has heretofore been handled on the Security-Applicant Squad in accord with thoughts growing out of the most recent inspection. In recent weeks, as the Bureau knows, we found a gross situation of delay and disappointment attributable to the agent to whom recruiting matters were assigned. Consequently, I have transferred supervision of the entire recruiting program to the SAC's Desk and have fixed responsibility on SA EDWIN R. TULLY for the coordinating and leg work under SAC's personal supervision.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI
Attention: Administrative Division

DATE: December 16, 1958

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
ON PROBATION
ANNUAL LEAVE REQUEST

*me
m... 12/16/58*

32 hours (4 days)
Transmitted herewith are Standard Forms 71 (original and duplicate), for 32 hours, beginning 8:15 A.M., 12/29/58 and ending 5:30 P.M., 1/2/59, for captioned Agent.

SA Tully is presently on probation.

UACB, this leave is being approved.

2 - Bureau (Encls.-2)
1 - Cincinnati

ADDENDUM: (over)

EDM:ESG
(3)

*Det. in
Lvi. Unit.
mmmm*

*211 900-210
32*

ENCLOSURE
G

3/...

ADDENDUM OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION 12-19-58. MCF:ksp *mcf* *W. J. M. M.*

On 6-20-58 SA Tully was censured, placed on probation, demoted from Grade GS-14 ~~at \$12,500 per annum~~ to Grade GS-13, ~~at \$10,500 per annum~~, removed from the position of Assistant Special Agent in Charge, and transferred from Oklahoma City to Cincinnati. This action was taken when he failed to immediately advise the Bureau when he received information concerning the planned kidnapping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby.

He was rated Satisfactory on the transfer performance rating submitted 6-27-58, and was rated Excellent on the administrative performance rating submitted 10-14-58 by the SAC, Cincinnati. By memo 10-27-58 he was continued on probation for 60 days.

It is noted that leave was approved for SA Tully for 3 days, 11-26-58 through 12-1-58, and for 5 days, 12-15-58 through 12-19-58.

SA Tully's maximum accumulation is 77 days and 7 hours; he has taken 21 days and 5 hours of annual leave thus far this year, and his balance of annual leave is 80 days and 2 hours. He must use 4 days and 3 hours of annual leave by 1-10-59 or lose this leave.

Bureau policy requires Bureau approval for annual leave exceeding two days at a time when an employee is on probation.

RECOMMENDATION:

That annual leave as requested be approved.

WCE

ST

WCE
12/19

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI DATE: 12/17/58
 (Attention: Administrative Division)

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
 Special Agent
 Cincinnati Division
RECOMMENDATION FOR REMOVAL FROM PROBATION

Remylet 10/14/58, and the Excellent Performance Rating submitted at that time on Mr. TULLY.

Mr. TULLY continues to do an excellent job, and it is recommended that he be immediately removed from probation. There are no weaknesses in his performance; weight and attendance satisfactory. Prompt removal from probation is recommended.

2 - Bureau
 2 - Cincinnati

EDM:pat
 (4)

*Removal from Probation
 12-23-58*

211700-211

130
 DEC 10 1958

December 29, 1958

PERSONAL

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Tully:

The Bureau is pleased to advise that you are
being removed from a probationary status.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

1-SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)
1-Mr. Tamm
1-Movement
1-Personnel Actions
1-Usilton (direct)

FDH:jae
(7) jae

67-211900-212
SEARCHED INDEXED
4 DEC 30 1958

Based on memo W. S. Tavel to Mr. Mohr 12-24-58 FDH:hgo.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Nease _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 8
DEC 29 1958
COMM-FBI

2 JAN 2 1959
MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: 12-24-58

FROM : W. S. Tavel

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
 Cincinnati Office
 EOD 1-4-43 (SA); GS-13, \$11,090
 Non-Veteran; Not in Reserve
 RE: REMOVAL FROM PROBATION

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Nease _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

On 6-20-58, while assigned to the Oklahoma City Office as Assistant Special Agent in Charge, he was CENSURED, PLACED ON PROBATION AND ORDERED UNDER TRANSFER on general assignment to Cincinnati, and advised that effective 6-29-58 his salary would be reduced to Grade GS-13, \$10,850 in view of an instance of atrocious judgment on his part in the performance of his official duties. On 6-13-58 he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby, but did not immediately notify his SAC or the Bureau and he was seriously at fault for failing to do this.

On 6-29-58 he arrived under transfer to the Cincinnati Office.

On 10-15-58 SAC Mason rated him EXCELLENT and said his services in the Cincinnati Division had been marked by extremely high-level performance and competent handling of all duties, coupled with a superbly favorable attitude. He was available for general or special assignment. He was interested in administrative advancement in the service of the FBI and his prior service, his know-how, and his appearance equipped him for further consideration and armed him with a potential of noteworthy quality. By a separate communication his SAC recommended that he be removed from probation. By memorandum dated 10-27-58, he was considered for removal from probation, however, it was recommended and approved that he be passed over to be reconsidered at a later date.

By memorandum dated 12-17-58, his SAC recommended his prompt removal from probation.

His daily average overtime for June, 1958, was 1 hour 59 minutes; July, 4 hours 12 minutes; August, 2 hours 46 minutes; September, 2 hours 45 minutes; October, 2 hours 36 minutes; and November, 2 hours 39 minutes.

Since January, 1958, his offices of preference have been Denver, Miami, and Washington Field.

RECOMMENDATION:

That SA Tully be removed from probation at this time.

FDH:hgo J(2)

61-211900-213
 DEC 30 1958

Let adv. removal from probation prepared 12-24-58. [initials]

ST

3-4-58

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI
(Attention: Administrative Division) DATE: 2/5/59

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
Special Agent
RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL AS RELIEF
SUPERVISOR

It is requested that Mr. TULLY be approved as Relief Supervisor in the Cincinnati Division. We have carefully perused the Manual of Rules and Regulations, Part 1, Section 7A. It appears that Mr. TULLY qualifies under these provisions. He certainly has adequate experience, full capability, maturity, loyalty, and those other qualifications listed as essential in the manual. As the Bureau is aware, Mr. TULLY was formerly ASAC at Oklahoma City. He was removed 6/29/58 for bad judgment in not notifying SAC or the Bureau of an intended kidnapping. It appears that he has overcome the weakness which prompted this action and his services in the Cincinnati Division have been excellent. Mr. TULLY has the poise, personality, experience, and other attributes which should make possible ultimate consideration for administrative advancement, and it is recommended that he be approved as Relief Supervisor at this time inasmuch as his services are needed in that capacity in this division. It is further believed that successful performance as a Relief Supervisor will provide further opportunity to prove the quality of his judgment.

If for any reason the Bureau does not approve Mr. TULLY as a Relief Supervisor, it is requested that he be approved as a weekend supervisor.

2 - Bureau
2 - Cincinnati
EDM:pat
(4)

Memo to Cincinnati
2-11-59
NEA: [signature]

36-211-214

[Handwritten signature]
THRE [signature]

SAC, Cincinnati

2/11/59

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

EDWIN R. TULLY
SPECIAL AGENT
PERSONNEL MATTER


Reurlet 2/5/59.

SA Tully is not approved as a Relief Supervisor in your office in view of the severe administrative action taken against him on 6/20/58. You may utilize service of SA Tully as a Weekend Supervisor.

NEM:ksa *ksa*
(5)

1 - SOG Cincinnati Field Office File

NOTE: SA Edwin R. Tully EOD as SA 1/4/43 and is in grade GS-13, \$11,090. On 6/20/58, while assigned to Oklahoma City Office as ASAC, he was censured, placed on probation and ordered under transfer on general assignment to Cincinnati, and advised that effective 6/29/58 his salary would be reduced to grade GS-13, \$10,850 in view of an instance of atrocious judgment on his part in the performance of his official duties. On 6/13/58 he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby, but did not immediately notify his SAC or the Bureau and he was seriously at fault for failing to do this. Removed from probation 12/24/58.



33-11

Tolson	_____
Belmont	_____
DeLoach	_____
McGuire	_____
Mohr	_____
Parsons	_____
Rosen	_____
Tamm	_____
Trotter	_____
W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Homan	_____
Gandy	_____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

J. Edgar Hoover

WS/100-13

RE COMMENDATIONS:

1. Continue in present assignment.

afk

2. Consider for further administrative advancement.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: 2/26/59

FROM : Q. Tamm

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
CINCINNATI DIVISION
USE AS POLICE INSTRUCTOR

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
DeLoach _____
McGuire _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

By letter 2/20/59, SAC Mason, Cincinnati, inquires whether the experiences and services of SA Edwin R. Tully are sufficient to qualify him for use as a general police instructor. SAC Mason points out that SA Tully was formerly ASAC of the Oklahoma City Office in which capacity he participated in a number of police schools; he makes an excellent personal appearance; has an excellent knowledge of FBI policies and procedures; handles himself well on his feet; and SAC Mason feels SA Tully could adequately represent Bureau as a general police instructor.

SA Tully entered on duty as an Agent on 1/4/43. He served as a supervisor at Seat of Government from 1952 to 7/16/56 when he was transferred to Oklahoma City as ASAC.

(No information was located in SA Tully's personnel file to indicate he has been qualified as a general police instructor; however, by memorandum 6/1/56 it was indicated SA Tully had been designated to be the alternate for SA Emory M. Gregg in presenting training material to New Agent classes, Accounting In-Service classes, and Two-Year In-Service classes. It was pointed out SA Tully has had considerable experience in handling espionage matters; he makes an excellent personal appearance and has a fine speaking voice; and he is alert and quick-thinking on his feet.)

On 6/20/58 SA Tully was censured, placed on probation, reduced in grade from GS-14 to GS-13, and transferred on general assignment to the Cincinnati Division for his atrocious judgment in performance of his official duties. On 6/13/58 he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. (Bing) Crosby but did not immediately notify his SAC or the Bureau.

Enclosure

EDS:sms

(2)

67-211900

67-211900-215
148

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: SA Edwin R. Tully
67-211900

He arrived in the Cincinnati Office 6/29/58. On 12/29/58 he was removed from probation. By letter 2/5/59, SAC Mason requested that SA Tully be approved as a Relief Supervisor, and if Bureau did not approve his being used in that capacity, that he be approved as a Weekend Supervisor. Bureau did not approve SA Tully as a Relief Supervisor in view of the severe administrative action taken against him 6/20/58, but did approve his being used as a Weekend Supervisor.

In view of SA Tully's experience and personal qualifications, it appears he could serve capably as a general police instructor; however, it does not appear he should be used as such at present.

RECOMMENDATION:

That attached letter be forwarded advising that SA Tully should not be used as a police instructor at this time, and that this request may be resubmitted in July, 1959, if so desired.

Keene
3/5

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 2/20/59

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
POLICE INSTRUCTOR MATTER

TULLY is a former ASAC at Oklahoma City, and I am informed that in such capacity he participated in a number of police schools. He makes an excellent personal appearance, handles himself well on his feet, and it is my belief that he could adequately represent the Bureau as a general police instructor. He has an excellent knowledge of FBI policies and procedures.

The question arises as to whether the Bureau can see its way clear to count TULLY's former experiences and services as sufficiently qualifying to permit the use of TULLY in the future as a general police instructor. Please advise.

2 - Bureau
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:pat
(3)

memo to Tamm
7:00 PM 2-26-59
file to CE 3-3-59
info: none

7-11900-216

2 MAR 4 1959

142

[Handwritten signature]

TWO

SAC, Cincinnati

3/3/59

Director, FBI (67-211900)

SA EDWIN R. TULLY
POLICE INSTRUCTOR MATTER

Reurlet 2/20/59.


SA Tully should not be used as a general
police instructor at this time.

If you desire to resubmit, in July, 1959,
the request that he be used in that capacity, the matter
will be considered at that time.

Based on memo Q. Tamm to Mr. Tolson dated 2/26/59 re "SA Edwin R.
Tully, Cincinnati Division, Use as Police Instructor," EDS:sms

EDS:sms

(4)



Tolson _____
Belmont _____
DeLoach _____
McGuire _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Hamilton
Director
Adm. Serv.
John
15

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY

Where Assigned: Cincinnati

(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Special Agent, GS-13

Rating Period: from 4/1/58 to 3/31/59

ADJECTIVE RATING: SATISFACTORY
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

ERT

Rated by:

E. Mason

Signature

Special Agent
in Charge

Title

3/31/59

Date

Reviewed by:

Signature

Title

Date

Rating Approved by:

J. P. Mohr

Signature

Assistant Director

Title

APR 16 1959

Date

TYPE OF REPORT

(X) Official

(X) Annual

() Administrative

() 60-Day

() 90-Day

() Transfer

() Separation from Service

() Special

36
MAY 7 1959

43

211760-217
53

W

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLY Title Special Agent, GS-13Rating Period: from 4/1/58 to 3/31/59

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
 - E Excellent.
 - ✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
 - Unsatisfactory.
 - O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>E</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>E</u> (17) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>E</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>+</u> (a) Investigative reports |
| <u>✓</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>+</u> (b) Summary reports |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires |
| <u>✓</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>✓</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>E</u> (20) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>E</u> (21) Executive ability: |
| <u>E</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | <u>+</u> (a) Leadership |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel |
| <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | <u>+</u> (c) Planning |
| <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions |
| <u>O</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. | <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work |
| <u>+</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: | <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates |
| <u>O</u> (a) Internal security cases | <u>E</u> (g) Devising procedures |
| <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases | <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability |
| <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases | <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale |
| <u>+</u> (d) Applicant cases | <u>+</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>O</u> (e) Accounting cases | <u>E</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: |
| <u>E</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | <u>E</u> (a) As leader |
| | <u>+</u> (b) As participant |
| | <u>+</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| | <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. |
| | <u>E</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: |
| | Dictation ability _____ |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.): Criminal and applicant matters.B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): Investigator.C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes. (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
(2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes. (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No. 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No. (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.ADJECTIVE RATING: SATISFACTORY
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, UnsatisfactoryEMPLOYEE'S INITIALS ERT

RE: EDWIN R. TULLY, SA
ANNUAL PERFORMANCE RATING

PART I GENERAL COMMENTS

Mr. TULLY is a smooth, poised, personable, dependable, competent, and gifted Special Agent.

He arrived in the Cincinnati Division on disciplinary transfer and immediately set about throwing heart and soul into performance of his duties. He has given every indication of aptitude and ability for ASAC or higher stature.

His services in Cincinnati Division have been on SAC Squad, and have related primarily to Top Hoodlum matters, complex criminal functions, deserter fugitive investigations, Crimdel, and applicant recruiting. His broad perspective of FBI policy and his knowledge of FBI procedures are outstanding and enhance his value, along with gracious but judicious approach to each situation.

No limitations on availability; physical condition excellent; weight satisfactory.

By letter May 2, 1958, the Director instructed SAC at Oklahoma City to express commendation to those Agents who participated in the apprehension of [redacted] a kidnapping subject. This entire affair was under the personal supervision of Mr. TULLY who was ASAC at the time. The Director cited the precision with which the assignments were handled and the capable manner in which TULLY correlated the developments in this case.

During Inspection Examination January, 1959, he made a grade of 98.

This is an extremely competent Agent in all respects and the best all-around, most highly qualified Agent in the division.

Employee's Initials: ERT

PART II SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given

Not applicable.

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide

Qualified as an Inspector's Aide, but not used in this capacity during the rating period.

3. Participation in Informant Program

Satisfactory....work assignments such as to provide very limited opportunity for informant development; contact development very favorable.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability

Excellent witness in Federal Court; testified once during rating period.

5. Disciplinary Action By letter June 20, 1958, Mr. TULLY was censured, demoted from GS-14 to GS-13, placed on probation, and transferred from Oklahoma City as ASAC, to Cincinnati as an Agent. This action was based on the fact that he did not immediately notify the SAC or the Bureau of the planned kidnapping of a prominent individual. This administrative action was carefully considered in the preparation of the over-all rating and the individual elements on the check list. Were it not for this instance of bad judgment, TULLY would be rated Excellent or superior in each item and would receive an over-all Excellent evaluation. Removed from probation December 29, 1958. Employee's Initials: GA

6. Accounting Information

Not applicable.

7. Police Instruction

Participated in police instruction as ASAC. Not specifically qualified through attendance at a police instructor school.

8. Sound Training

Not applicable.

9. Resident Agents

Not applicable.

10. Foreign Language Ability

Not applicable.

Employee's Initials:

11. Administrative Advancement

Agent is

- (a) Interested in Yes X No
- (b) Completely available for Yes X No
- (c) Considered completely qualified
- - at present for administrative
advancement including experience,
ability, personality, and
appearance Yes X* No
- (d) If not completely qualified at
- - present, does he have potential
for future administrative
advancement? Yes No

Explanatory Comments re (d) if applicable:

ake

*Agent TULLY had extensive experience as ASAC at Oklahoma City and was rated as Excellent in that capacity. He was relieved because of one instance of bad judgment. His services have been such in the Cincinnati Division as to indicate full qualification for handling administrative responsibilities higher than those normally encountered by an Agent.

Employee's Initials: ERT

SAC, Cincinnati

4-16-59

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

EDWIN R. TULLY
SPECIAL AGENT
PERSONNEL MATTER

You are authorized to utilize the services of SA Tully as a Relief Supervisor. As you are aware, he is to be used in this capacity only in the absence of full-time supervisory personnel. In view of his previous supervisory experience, it will not be necessary to afford him five days' training with a full-time supervisor as is required for newly approved Relief Supervisors.

You may also utilize the services of SA Tully as a general police instructor.

NEM:ksa

(7)

- 1 - SOG Cincinnati Field Office File
- 1 - Movement
- 1 - Training and Inspection Division

218

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
DeLoach _____
McGuire _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

P. O. Box 1277
Cincinnati, Ohio

April 10, 1959

Personal

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

File
JPW

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Belmont	✓
Mr. DeLoach	✓
Mr. McGuire	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Parsons	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Tamm	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Mr. Holloman	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I know that you are always interested in keeping in touch with prospective leaders. SA Edwin R. Tully of the Cincinnati Division offers more potential for SAC service than any of the agents, or ASACs, I have met in the field. He was formerly ASAC at Oklahoma City and removed because he did not advise the SAC of a threat of kidnaping. He learned his lesson, took his punishment manfully, has exhibited an outstanding attitude. He is very poised, good looking, handles himself well on his feet, and has an extremely good knowledge of FBI policy and procedure. In my opinion he is fully capable of serving you as an SAC without further training.

Naturally, no one knows that I am dropping you this informal note and I do not want to be presumptuous, do not desire a reply, and I am writing solely in the interests of the FBI in mentioning this top-notch man.

Sincerely yours,

E. D. Mason

E. D. Mason

Set me see summary

Memo to H Cincinnati
4-16-59
NEA: [unclear]
14

219
135
Memo to Mr. Mohr
4-14-59
FDH: gas

3/24

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: 4-14-59

FROM : W. S. Tavel *WST*

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
 Special Agent
 Cincinnati Office
 Non-Veteran; Not on Probation
 EOD 8-20-41 (Clerk), 1-4-43 (SA)
 GS-13, \$11,090

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 DeLoach _____
 McGuire _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

The following is a brief and concise summary of SA Tully's record submitted pursuant to the Director's request. It is noted that by letter to the Director dated 4-10-59 SAC Mason of Cincinnati highly recommended SA Tully as potential SAC material and stated he offered more potential for SAC service than any of the agents or ASACs Mr. Mason had met in the field. The Director noted "Let me see summary."

A review of his file reflects that he entered on duty on 8-20-41 as a Clerk and on 1-4-43 he was appointed to the position of Special Agent. He has been assigned to the Cincinnati Office since 6-29-58, is married with 3 children and is 39 years old. On 2-11-52 he was transferred to the Seat of Government and was assigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division and on 7-16-56 he was designated as Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Oklahoma City Office. By letters dated 6-20-58 he was CENSURED, PLACED ON PROBATION, DEMOTED from grade GS-14, \$11,595 per annum to grade GS-13, \$10,850 per annum and ordered under transfer to the Cincinnati Office on general assignment inasmuch as he failed to immediately advise his SAC or the Bureau when he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. (Bing) Crosby. On 12-29-58 he was REMOVED FROM PROBATION. Since that time he has neither been censured nor commended.

The Director last saw him on 7-5-56 and commented that he seemed to be interested in his work and he was rated above average. On 10-15-58 SAC Mason rated him EXCELLENT and added that he was interested in administrative advancement in the service of the FBI. His perspective of FBI policy was very broad and his knowledge of FBI procedures was outstanding. During an inspection of the Cincinnati Office in January, 1959, Mr. Fitzgibbon of the Inspection Staff advised that he presented an excellent personal appearance, was alert, intelligent and he continued to be enthusiastic in his approach to his work. He exhibited a good attitude in that he understood the necessity for administrative action taken against him on 6-20-58 and it was his firm intention to right his work performance in order to earn another chance at advancement in the Bureau. He should be considered for administrative advancement.

His daily average overtime for October, 1958, 2 hours 36 minutes; November, 2 hours 39 minutes; December, 1 hour 43 minutes; January, 1959, 3 hours 27 minutes; February, 2 hours 22 minutes; March, 2 hours 47 minutes. In February, 1959, he listed his offices of preference as Cincinnati; Miami.

FDH/jas (2) ATTACHMENT (PERMANENT BRIEF)

Memoto SAC Cincinnati
 4-15-59
 OVER

3/59

On 2-15-59 his SAC recommended him for Relief Supervisory duty and on 2-20-59 he was recommended for Police Instructor. The SAC was subsequently advised that in view of the administrative action taken against him on 6-20-58, he could not be approved for those duties at this time.

ERC
4/14

[Signature]

I think he can
be used as
instructor
and as relief
supervisor

— OK.
[Signature]

[Signature]

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 5/20/59

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati (1-121)

SUBJECT: FBI NATIONAL ACADEMY ASSOCIATES OF OHIO
Semi-Annual Meeting, 6/10/59

Authority is requested for SA EDWIN R. TULLY, Police Instructor, to attend captioned meeting in annual leave status.

2 - Bureau
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:LM
(3)

Let's C!
5-26-59
SSR:jh

~~Boffes~~

221
53

AM

SAC, Cincinnati (1-121)

May 26, 1959



Director, FBI

FBI NATIONAL ACADEMY
ASSOCIATES OF OHIO
SEMIANNUAL MEETING
JUNE 10, 1959

Reurlet 5/20/59.

Authority granted for SA Edwin R. Tally to attend captioned meeting in annual leave status.

JSR:gft
(4)



R. E. H.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
DeLoach _____
McGuire _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME TULLY, EDWIN RAYMOND			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Civilian (39)		3. IDENTIFICATION NO. -	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5754 Eula Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual Physical		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 21 May 1959	
7. SEX Male	8. RACE Cauc.	9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY CIVILIAN 16 yrs.	10. AGENCY F.B.I.	11. ORGANIZATION UNIT -		
12. DATE OF BIRTH 30 Nov. 1919 (39)		13. PLACE OF BIRTH New York City		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN Mildred Tully (Wife) (Same as #4)		
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS USAF HOSPITAL W/P, USAF WPAFB, Ohio			16. OTHER INFORMATION -			
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY -			TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total) -		LAST SIX MONTHS -	

CLINICAL EVALUATION		ABNOR- MAL
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK AND SCALP	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES	
	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int & ext canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 70, 60 and 67)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated paralytic movements, nystagmus)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulas) (Prostate, if indicated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET	
	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Excerpt feet) (Strength, range of motion)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS SCARS, TATTOOS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL		

NOTES (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

#21 Tonsils enucleated. W. H.

#31 2" scar McBurney appendix. W. H.

#37 No deformity or limitation of motion, right Knee.

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)																	REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES		
O—Restorable teeth —Nonrestorable teeth X—Missing teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures (6X8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																	Type 3 Class 1		
R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	L		
I																	E		
G	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	F		
H																	T		
T																			

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.012		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result) W/P AFB, Ohio 21 May 1959 Film #372 Negative	
B. ALBUMIN Negative	D. MICROSCOPIC Negative		
C. SUGAR Negative	47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) Cardiolipin - Negative	48. EKG Normal	49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR -
		50. OTHER TESTS -	

5 JUN 22 1959

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

51. HEIGHT 72"	52. WEIGHT 165	53. COLOR HAIR Brown	54. COLOR EYES Blue	55. BUILD: <input type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE	56. TEMPERATURE 98.4
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at Heart level) A. SITTING SYS. 126 DIAS. 76 B. RECUMBENT SYS. - DIAS. - C. STANDING SYS. - DIAS. -			58. PULSE (Arm at heart level) 76 100 18 PM 34		
59. DISTANT VISION RIGHT 20/20 CORR. TO 20/ LEFT 20/20 CORR. TO 20/			60. REFRACTION Manifest BY 4.50 S. 0 OX 0 BY 4.50 S. 0 OX 0		
61. NEAR VISION at 20 inches			62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance) 20 ft. ES° - EX° - R. H. - L. H. - PRISM DIV. - PRISM. CONV. - PC - PD -		
63. ACCOMMODATION RIGHT 4.50 LEFT 4.50			64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result) Passes 19 Plate AOC		
65. FIELD OF VISION Normal			66. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score) NIBH		
67. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score) -			68. RED LENS TEST -		
69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION Normal			70. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)		
71. HEARING RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV - /15 LEFT WV 15 /15 SV - /15			72. AUDIOMETER 250 500 1000 2000 3000 4000 6000 8000 256 512 1024 2048 2896 4096 6144 8192 RIGHT 15 10 5 10 5 0 0 40 LEFT 15 5 10 5 0 0 5 10		

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

Usual childhood diseases. No complications or sequelae.
Glasses worn for close work.
Appendectomy. No complications or sequelae.
Bursitis right shoulder - no trouble for past 4 years.
Wrenched back in 1956 playing golf - no defects.
Injured right knee cap - hit his desk in 1956 - no defects.
Tonsillectomy - no complications or sequelae.
Denies other illness, operations and diseases.

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

75. RECOMMENDATIONS-FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)	76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE					
	P	U	L	H	E	S
77. EXAMINEE (Check) A. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS QUALIFIED FOR General Service. B. <input type="checkbox"/> IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR	B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY					
78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER	A	B	C	E		
79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN JOSEPH B. GUMPER, M. D.	SIGNATURE					
80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN	SIGNATURE					
81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)	SIGNATURE					
82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY	SIGNATURE					NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

ATTACHMENT TO STANDARD FORM 88, REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

FOR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE OF MEDICAL EXAMINERName of Examinee:
(Type or print)

Last

First

Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	62
3	65
11	67
14	68
17	69
46	71
48	72
49	

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable..
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

FOR ALL EXAMINEES, WHETHER CLERICAL OR SPECIAL AGENT APPLICANTS OR EMPLOYEES:*The medical examiner should answer the following question:*Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.TO BE ANSWERED IN THE CASE OF ALL MALE EMPLOYEES AND MALE APPLICANTS:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?
☒ No ☐ Yes. If "yes" please specify defects. _____
2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?
☒ No ☐ Yes. If "yes" please specify defects. _____

7-211080-222

Weights for Males

Height Feet-Inches	SMALL FRAME		MEDIUM FRAME		LARGE FRAME	
	Desirable	Maximum	Desirable	Maximum	Desirable	Maximum
5 4	121-131	143	129-139	152	136-148	162
5 5	124-134	146	132-142	155	140-152	166
5 6	128-138	151	136-146	160	144-157	172
5 7	131-142	155	140-151	165	148-161	176
5 8	135-146	160	144-155	170	152-165	181
5 9	139-150	164	148-159	174	156-170	186
5 10	143-154	168	152-163	178	160-175	192
5 11	147-159	174	156-168	184	164-180	197
6 0	152-164	179	161-173	189	169-185	203
6 1	158-170	186	166-179	196	174-191	209
6 2	163-175	192	171-184	201	179-197	216
6 3	168-180	197	176-189	207	184-202	221
6 4	174-186	204	182-195	214	190-208	228
6 5	180-191	209	188-201	220	196-214	234

3. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☒ medium ☐ large
4. Considering above weight table the examinee's frame and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient
5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose _____ pounds
☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: _____


 (Signature of Medical Examiner)

7/21/57
 (Date)

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME TULLY EDWIN RAYMOND				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION SPECIAL AGENT		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5754 EULA AVE CINCINNATI OHIO				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION ANNUAL		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 5-24-59	
7. SEX M	8. RACE W	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY 16	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE F.B.I.		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT		
12. DATE OF BIRTH 11-30-19		13. PLACE OF BIRTH NYC		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN MILDRED TULLY (wife) 5754 EULA AVE. CINCINNATI			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS				16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists) Good past history of hirsutis							

18. FAMILY HISTORY					19. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE:			
RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	YES	NO	(Check each item)	RELATION(S)
FATHER	65	GOOD				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD TUBERCULOSIS	
MOTHER		DECEASED	PNEUMONIA	32		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD SYPHILIS	
SPOUSE	36	GOOD				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD DIABETES	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD CANCER	
BROTHERS	41	"				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	
AND						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD HEART TROUBLE	
SISTERS						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD STOMACH TROUBLE	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)	
CHILDREN	12	"				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, RIVES	
	9	"				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)	
	5	"				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	COMMITTED SUICIDE	
						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BEEN INSANE	

20. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Place check at left of each item)			
YES	NO	(Check each item)	(Check each item)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SCARLET FEVER, ERYSIPELAS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GOITER
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DIPHTHERIA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TUBERCULOSIS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RHEUMATIC FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWEATING SWEATS (Night sweats)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASTHMA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		MUMPS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHORTNESS OF BREATH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		WHOOPING COUGH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PAIN OR PRESSURE IN CHEST
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CHRONIC COUGH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DIZZINESS OR FAINTING SPELLS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PALPITATION OR POUNDING HEART
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		EYE TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		EAR, NOSE OR THROAT TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CRAMPS IN YOUR LEGS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RUNNING EARS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FREQUENT INDIGESTION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CHRONIC OR FREQUENT COLDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STOMACH, LIVER OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SEVERE TOOTH OR GUM TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GALL BLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		SINUSITIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JAUNDICE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HAY FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ANY REACTION TO SERUM, DRUG OR MEDICINE
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RUPTURE
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPENDICITIS
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DILES OR RECTAL DISEASE
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KIDNEY STONE OR BLOOD IN URINE
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BOILS
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENEREAL DISEASE
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ARTHRITIS OR RHEUMATISM
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BONE, JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LAMENESS
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PAINFUL OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)		22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER—		B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WORN GLASSES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATTEMPTED SUICIDE	<input type="checkbox"/>	AGE AT ONSET OF MENSTRUATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BEEN A SLEEP WALKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERVAL BETWEEN PERIODS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WORN HEARING AIDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LIVED WITH ANYONE WHO HAD TUBERCULOSIS	<input type="checkbox"/>	DURATION OF PERIODS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	STUTTERED OR STAMMERED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	COUGHED UP BLOOD	<input type="checkbox"/>	DATE OF LAST PERIOD
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WOUND EXCESSIVELY AFTER INJURY OR TOOTH EXTRACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	QUANTITY: <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SCANTY
23. HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS? 1		24. WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? 16 yrs.		25. WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? FBI Agent	
				26. ARE YOU (Check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIGHT-HANDED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT-HANDED	

YES	NO	CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED "YES" MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT
		27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:
		A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.
		B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS
		C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS
		D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)
		28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCE?
		29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)
		30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)
		31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)
✓		32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)
		33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)
✓		34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)
✓		35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)
		36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COLDS? (If yes, which illnesses)
		37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)
		38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge: whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)
		39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)

Appendicitis (14)

Bursitis

BACK WRENCH 3 yrs ago in Oklahoma City & knee injury

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE

EDWIN R. TULLY

SIGNATURE

Edwin R. Tully

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in items 20 thru 39)

Usual childhood diseases. No complications or sequelae.

Glasses worn for close work.

Appendectomy - no complications or sequelae.

Bursitis right shoulder - no trouble for past 4 years.

Wrenched back in 1956 playing golf - no defects.

Injured right knee cap - hit his desk in 1956 - no defects.

Tonsillectomy - no complications or sequelae.

Denies other illness, operations ~~or~~ diseases.
and

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

JOSEPH B. GUMPER, M.D.

DATE

21 May 1959

SIGNATURE

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

F. B. I.

CLINICAL RECORD						ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD		PREVIOUS ECG	
CLINICAL IMPRESSION						MEDICATION		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
								<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY	<input type="checkbox"/> BEDSIDE
								<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMBULANT
AGE	SEX	RACE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	B. F.	SIGNATURE OF WARD PHYSICIAN			DATE
39	Male	Cauc.	72"	164	12/6/76				21 May 1959
RHYTHM						AXIS DEVIATION (QRS)		RATES	
								AURIC. VENT.	
INTERVALS						P WAVES			
PR QRS QT									
QRS COMPLEXES									
RS-T SEGMENT						T WAVES			
UNIPOLAR EXTREMITY LEADS (Specify)									

PRECORDIAL LEADS (Specify)

SUMMARY, SERIAL CHANGES, AND IMPLICATIONS:

Normal

(Continue on reverse)

NO.	SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
ECG	C. O. CHEVYSLER	CAPT., USAF (MC) AME	
PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade; date; hospital or medical facility)		REGISTER NO.	WARD NO.
			BIDG. #40

TULLY, EDWIN R. F. B. I.

ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD

Standard Form 520

(Attach tracings to S. F. 507)

67-211900-222

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 6/12/59

CONFIDENTIAL
SAC, Cincinnati

ATTENTION: PERSONNEL SECTION

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY, SA
PHYSICAL CONDITION

- ☐ Remylet _____.
- ☐ Rebulet _____.
- ☒ Re physical examination 5/21/59.
- ☐ Weight without clothing now is _____.
- ☐ Dental work was completed on _____.
- ☐ Vision has been corrected to _____.
- ☐ Chest X-ray results were negative.
- ☐ Personal physician advised he is qualified for strenuous physical exertion and the use of firearms.
- ☐ Attached are Bureau of Employees' Compensation forms _____.
- ☒ Physical examination reports are enclosed.
- ☐ Employee is scheduled for physical examination on _____.
- ☐ Employee has reviewed and initialed his physical examination report.
- ☐ Employee returned to active duty _____.
- ☐ Employee's physical condition is _____.
- ☐ UACB he is being placed on limited duty.
- ☐ UACB he is being removed from limited duty.
- ☐ Additional remarks relative to items listed above:

SA TULLY will initial the copy of his medical report to be placed in his field personnel file.

① - Bureau (Encls. 2)
1 - Cincinnati
EDM:LM

(2)

4-11-59
11/23/59
11-4
pg

pic

SAC, Cincinnati

7/5/59

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

FIELD SUPERVISOR VACANCY
Cincinnati Division

Reurlet 7/4/59, in which you recommended that SA Edwin R. Tully be approved as a field supervisor to replace supervisor William L. Donahue, who has been ordered under transfer to Seat of Government.


The Bureau has noted from a review of your monthly administrative reports for the past several months that there has been a considerable decrease in the case load in your office, and as of 7/1/59, there were 1757 pending cases of which 1300 were pending active. In view of this drop in your case load, the Bureau feels that serious consideration should be given at this time to reducing your supervisory staff. Accordingly, you should submit a complete breakdown of the work load in your office reflecting the number of pending cases, number of pending active cases, number of cases opened and closed, and number of Agents assigned to each supervisor's desk in your office, together with your observations and recommendations regarding a reduction in your supervisory staff.

NEM:aab

(5)

1-Personnel file of SA William L. Donahue

①-Personnel file of SA Edwin R. Tully



NOT RECORDED
3 JUL 10 1959

PAST SAFE DRIVING RECORD CERTIFICATION

NAME OF OPERATOR (PRINT - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL)
Tully Edwin R.DATE
6-8-59DIVISION AND SECTION ASSIGNED
Cincinnati DivisionPOSITION TITLE
Special AgentTHIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT I PRESENTLY ☒ HOLD ☐ DO NOT HOLD A VALID MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATOR'S PERMIT OR DRIVER'S LICENSE.PERMIT ISSUED BY:
(STATE, TERRITORY
POSSESSION, DISTRICT) **State of Ohio**PERMIT NUMBER
E 61434PERMIT EXPIRES
11-30-60THIS IS AN UNRESTRICTED ~~(RESTRICTED)~~ PERMIT. (IF RESTRICTED, EXPLAIN BELOW)
(STRIKE OUT ONE)THIS FURTHER CERTIFIES THAT DURING THE PAST THREE YEARS I HAVE DRIVEN A MOTOR VEHICLE (GOVERNMENT OR PERSONALLY OWNED) APPROXIMATELY 20,000 MILES. DURING THIS TIME (A) I ☐ HAVE ☒ HAVE NOT RECEIVED A TRAFFIC VIOLATION TICKET; (B) I ☐ HAVE ☒ HAVE NOT BEEN HELD AT FAULT* AS THE DRIVER OF A MOTOR VEHICLE INVOLVED IN A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT. IF AFFIRMATIVE ANSWER, PLEASE EXPLAIN IN ADJACENT SPACE GIVING NUMBER AND DATES OF OFFENSES.

* "AT FAULT" MEANS ANY CASE IN WHICH RESPONSIBILITY IS CONCEDED BY EMPLOYEE OR HIS INSURANCE COMPANY OR LIABILITY IS FIXED BY DULY CONSTITUTED AUTHORITY.

Edwin R. Tully
SIGNATURE OF OPERATORNAME OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL (PRINT - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL)
ROBB, GEORGE C.POSITION TITLE
Assistant Special Agent in ChargeDATE
6/11/59


THE PERSONNEL FILE OF THIS EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND REFLECTS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION CONCERNING THE OPERATION OF A MOTOR VEHICLE ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS DURING THE PAST THREE YEARS:

☒ CONTINUOUS SAFE DRIVING RECORD☐ INVOLVED IN TRAFFIC ACCIDENT AND FOUND AT FAULT **

I CERTIFY THAT THIS EMPLOYEE IS:

☒ QUALIFIED ON THE BASIS OF HIS SAFE DRIVING RECORD TO OPERATE MOTOR VEHICLES ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS.☐ NOT QUALIFIED AND MUST DEMONSTRATE HIS QUALIFICATIONS BY SATISFACTORILY PASSING A ROAD TEST EXAMINATION BEFORE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS.

REMARKS:



** "AT FAULT" MEANS ANY CASE IN WHICH THE BUREAU HAS TAKEN DISCIPLINARY ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION AGAINST THE EMPLOYEE.

George C. Robb
(SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL)

TO BE FILLED IN BY OPERATOR

TO BE FILLED IN BY REVIEWING OFFICIAL

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
(ATTENTION: ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION)

DATE: 7/4/59

FROM

M SAC, CINCINNATI F O.

SUBJECT: FIELD SUPERVISOR VACANCY,
CINCINNATI DIVISION

~~ReBulet~~ 7/2/59, transferring Field Supervisor
WILLIAM E. DONAHUE to SOG.

DONAHUE is preparing for immediate departure and the vacancy in Cincinnati must be promptly filled. The Bureau is requested to approve the designation of SA EDWIN R. TULLY as field supervisor to replace DONAHUE.

TULLY is the strongest agent in this division, has previously served as an ASAC, was promoted more than one year ago, and has accepted administrative action in good spirit and without dampening of enthusiasm. He has recently been approved as a police instructor and as a relief supervisor. He is gifted with strong and clear administrative ability. He is desirous of advancing along administrative lines and, in my opinion, has complete ability to serve as an SAC at this time.

TULLY is in excellent health, within desirable weight limits, has a favorable attendance record, and possesses all of the qualifications necessary to do an outstanding job for the Bureau if approved as field supervisor.

In view of the imminent departure of DONAHUE, expedite approval is requested.

2 - Bureau
2 - Cincinnati

EDM:jas
(4)

67-	2181
3 JUL 10 1959	

3-7-59

1 JUL 24 1959

MR. MOHR

7-21-59

W. S. TAVEL

**SUPERVISORY ORGANIZATION
CINCINNATI DIVISION**

By memorandum 7-4-59 SAC, Cincinnati recommended that SA Edwin R. Tully be approved as supervisor of Desk #4 in his office to replace SA William L. Donahue who has been ordered under transfer to the Seat of Government.

By memorandum 7-9-59 SAC, Cincinnati, was instructed to submit full and complete justification for the continuance of four supervisory desks in his office since it was noted that there had been a considerable decrease in his workload during the past several months and as of 7-1-59 there were 1754 pending cases of which 1338 were pending active. (Work in Cincinnati supervised by SAC, ASAC and two full-time supervisors.)

By memorandum 7-14-59 SAC, Cincinnati, submitted pertinent information regarding the workload in his office and recommended that no reduction be made in his supervisory staff and requested that Bureau give consideration to designating SA Tully as supervisor as previously recommended. In a personal letter to the Director dated 7-14-59 SAC Mason (Cincinnati) among other things called attention to the fact that there had been a temporary drop in case load while the office was cleaning out the dead wood and as a result the Bureau was trying to reduce the supervisory staff from four to three full-time supervisors which, according to SAC Mason, would seriously hamper operations of the office. He noted that he was negotiating through official channels in this regard. In connection with these observations of SAC Mason the Director noted, "We shouldn't do this in view of excellent record of accomplishments."

SA Tully EOD as SA 1-4-43 and is in Grade GS-13, \$11,090. He is available for general and special assignment, interested in and considered by his SAC to have above-average potential for administrative advancement. He served as supervisor at the Seat of Government in the Domestic Intelligence Division from 2-11-52 to 7-16-56 at which time he was designated ASAC at Oklahoma City. On 6-20-58 he was censured, placed on probation, demoted from Grade GS-14 to Grade GS-13 and ordered under transfer to the Cincinnati Office on general assignment as he failed to immediately advise his SAC or the Bureau when he received information concerning the plan to kidnap Mrs. Harry L. (Bing) Crosby. Removed from probation 12-29-58. Since that time his services have been entirely satisfactory.

NEM:jma (4)

1 - Personnel File of SA Edwin R. Tully

1 - Personnel File of SA William L. Donahue

Memorandum Tavel to Mohr
Re: Supervisory Organization
Cincinnati Division

In a personal letter to the Director 4-10-59, SAC Mason advised that SA Tully offered more potential for SAC service than any of the agents or ASACs he had met in the field. Noted that SA Tully had learned his lesson, took his punishment manfully, and has exhibited an outstanding attitude. In SAC's opinion he was fully capable of serving as an SAC without further training. In this connection, the Director noted, "Let me see summary." In connection with the summary memorandum 4-14-59 which was submitted to the Director it was noted that SA Tully had been previously recommended for assignment as a Relief Supervisor and as a Police Instructor; however, Bureau had not approved these recommendations. In this regard Mr. Tolson noted, "I think he can be used as instructor and as Relief Supervisor." The Director noted, "OK." On 7-20-59 SA Tully was approved as a Bureau speaker. Rated Satisfactory on 1959 annual performance rating primarily in view of the above-mentioned administrative action. Present weight within desirable limits.

Although it was necessary for the Bureau to take severe administrative action against SA Tully for a serious dereliction on his part, a review of his file would indicate that this does not appear to be typical of SA Tully's performance. He has apparently taken the administrative action in the manner in which it was intended, has profited by it and has displayed a splendid attitude. It is felt that SA Tully should be given another chance to demonstrate that he is capable of handling greater responsibilities.

As supervisor of Squad #4 SA Tully would handle various criminal classifications including Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle, Unlawful Flight cases, and Bank Robberies. There are presently 418 cases assigned to this desk.

RECOMMENDATION:

That SA Tully be approved as supervisor of Desk #4 to replace SA Donahue.

PERMANENT BRIEF OF THE FILE OF SA TULLY IS ATTACHED.

SAC, Cincinnati

July 27, 1959

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION


FIELD SUPERVISORY VACANCY
CINCINNATI DIVISION

Reurlet 7-14-59.

SA Edwin R. Tully is approved as Supervisor of Desk #4 in your office to replace SA William L. Donahue.

- 1 - Movement'
- 1 - Mr. Hereford
- 1 - Personnel File of SA William L. Donahue
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Edwin R. Tully

NEM:jma
(7)



Cincinnati, Ohio
July 30, 1959

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Belmont	✓
Mr. DeLoach	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Bishop	✓
Mr. Casper	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Tamm	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Mr. Holloman	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I have been advised that the Bureau has approved my selection as Criminal Supervisor in the Cincinnati Office. I am very pleased with this vote of confidence and wish to thank you personally for this promotion. It is gratifying to hold a position of responsibility in a dynamic office like Cincinnati. The office here is united in an all out effort to exceed last year's accomplishments.

I would like you to know that I appreciate this opportunity to again move forward in the Bureau's service.

Sincerely yours,

Edwin R. Tully
Edwin R. Tully
Special Agent

100-100000

223
2 AUG 3 1959

W.S.H.
T.H.

5 AUG 5 1959

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. DeLoach *DL*

DATE: July 17, 1959

FROM : M. A. Jones *MAJ*

SUBJECT: BUREAU SPEAKERS

Tolson	_____
Belmont	_____
DeLoach	_____
McGuire	_____
Mohr	_____
Parsons	_____
Rosen	_____
Tamm	_____
Trotter	_____
W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holloman	_____
Gandy	_____

By letter dated 7/14/59 SAC, Cincinnati requested that SA Edwin R. Tully be approved as a Bureau speaker to replace SA William L. Donahue who was the only approved Bureau speaker in the Cincinnati Office besides the SAC and the ASAC and who has been transferred to the Bureau. SAC, Cincinnati states that SA Tully is interested in administrative advancement, is within desirable weight limits, makes an excellent personal appearance, previously made talks in his capacity as ASAC at Oklahoma City, has recently been approved as a relief supervisor and as a police school instructor in the Cincinnati Division.

It is noted that by letter to the Director dated 4/10/59 SAC, Cincinnati highly recommended SA Tully as potential SAC material and stated he offered more potential for SAC service than any of the Agents or ASACs Mr. Mason had met in the field. The Director noted, "Let me see summary."

The summary set forth that he entered on duty on 8/20/41 as a Clerk and on 1/4/43 he was appointed to the position of Special Agent. He has been assigned to the Cincinnati Office since 6/29/58, is married with 3 children and is 39 years old. On 2/11/52 he was transferred to the Seat of Government and was assigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division and on 7/16/56 he was designated as Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Oklahoma City Office. By letters dated 6/20/58 he was censured, placed on probation, demoted from grade GS-14, \$11,595 per annum to grade GS-13, \$10,850 per annum and ordered under transfer to the Cincinnati Office on general assignment inasmuch as he failed to immediately advise his SAC or the Bureau when he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. (Bing) Crosby. Since that time he has neither been censured nor commended.

The Director last saw him on 7/5/56 and commented that he seemed to be interested in his work and he was rated above average. On 10/15/58 SAC Mason rated him excellent and added that he was interested in administrative advancement in the service of the FBI. His perspective of FBI policy was very broad and his knowledge of FBI procedures was outstanding. During an inspection of the Cincinnati Office in January, 1959, Mr. Fitzgibbon of the Inspection Staff advised that he presented an excellent personal appearance, was alert, intelligent and he continued to be enthusiastic in his approach to his work. He exhibited a good attitude in that he understood the necessity for administrative action taken against him on 6/20/58 and it was his firm intention to right his work performance in order to earn another chance at advancement in the Bureau.

CEM:cag
(3)

(Continued next page)

4 JUL 28 1959

224
DATE REC
FILES

Jones to DeLoach memorandum

On the summary, Mr. Tolson noted "I think he can be used as instructor and as relief supervisor," to which the Director added "O.K. H."

In view of SA Tully's recent record, his attitude and ability, it is believed that he should be approved as a Bureau speaker.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the attached letter to SAC, Cincinnati be approved.

Jayne
John
7/20 *✓* *✓* *B*

A PERMANENT BRIEF OF SA TULLY'S PERSONNEL FILE IS ATTACHED.

Cincinnati, Ohio
July 25, 1959

I, Edwin R. Tully, make the following voluntary statement at the request of Inspector J. E. Nugent.

I have been assigned to the Cincinnati Office since June 30, 1958.


I attended a dinner at the Old South Restaurant in Cincinnati about the first week in June, 1959. This dinner was in honor of Arthur J. Marchessault, who was leaving for Indianapolis as Assistant Special Agent in Charge. I arrived at the restaurant between six and six thirty P. M., and had a Scotch and soda before dinner. At about seven or seven thirty P. M. we ate. I had a second drink with dinner. When dinner was over Special Agent Eugene Youngs took over as master of ceremonies and introduced several agents who spoke, including myself. Special Agent Marchessault, of course, spoke, as well as Special Agent in Charge Mason, who arrived after dinner, as I recall.

During my short talk I told no stories, used no obscene or vulgar words, phrases, or expressions. There were no obscene stories from any of the speakers and no one was intoxicated.

I had two drinks during the entire evening. I left at about 9:30 - 10 P. M., and at that time most agents were getting in line to pay their checks and depart.

Inspector Nugent has advised me of the anonymous letter written to the Bureau in this matter. I unfortunately have no idea as to who might have written such a communication. If I obtain information as to the identity of the author of such a letter, I would immediately furnish it to the Bureau.

This statement is true and accurate to the best of my recollection.


Edwin R. Tully, Special Agent

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tamm

DATE: 7-29-59

FROM : John Malone

SUBJECT: ANONYMOUS COMMUNICATION TO BUREAU
POSTMARKED CINCINNATI JULY 22ND, 8:00 P.M.

Tolson	_____
Belmont	_____
DeLoach	_____
McGuire	_____
Mohr	_____
Parsons	_____
Rosen	_____
Tamm	_____
Trotter	_____
W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holloman	_____
Gandy	_____

BACKGROUND

Anonymous letter typewritten on "thank-you"-type notepaper commonly used by women and postmarked Cincinnati, Ohio, July 22nd, 8:00 P.M., received at Bureau. Letter alleged that:

1. A party given at the Old South Restaurant for an Agent who had been promoted was, Cincinnati, Ohio "from all reports a disgusting brawl where dirty, vulgar stories were told". "The Agent that was the guest of honor apparently told a horrible and revolting story which even disgusted your chief Mason."
2. "Six months ago 3 Agents were involved in a drunken brawl at the Kemper Lane Hotel. Cincinnati, Ohio ...your chief Mason exerted every influence over the press, the police and the management of the hotel and the affair was white-washed."

ACTION

Anonymous communication examined by Laboratory. Inspector Nugent at Cincinnati interviewed twenty of thirty-seven attendees at party held Old South Restaurant. Also interviewed fifteen attendees at Kemper Lane party. Inspector MacLennan interviewed ASAC Marchessault at Indianapolis.

SURE

Enclosures

3/1977

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. No latent fingerprints of value developed on communication.
2. Author of communication not identified.
3. Party at Old South Restaurant was held in private dining room, June 4, 1959, to honor Arthur Marchessault upon his promotion to ASAC Indianapolis. It was stag affair attended by FBI Agents only, and concluded at approximately 9:30 - 10:00 P.M. Old South Restaurant is highly respected downtown Cincinnati restaurant.
4. Party at Kemper Lane was for SA John Quigley under transfer from Cincinnati. Facts regarding incident which occurred after party concluded previously furnished Bureau and matter fully adjudicated. No new facts developed.
5. All personnel interviewed deny any obscene or off-color stories told. SAC Mason felt that use of word "fart" in story told by Marchessault and use of word "constipation" and reference to rear end in a story told by master of ceremonies SA Eugene Youngs in poor taste and subject of criticism. Mason says that on June 5th he informed ASAC Robb and SA Eugene Youngs that he had been shocked by the use of the words mentioned, and strongly admonished them against any repetition at any gathering sponsored by or attended by FBI personnel.
6. On June 26, 1959, SAC Mason prepared a memorandum for ASAC Robb instructing that all police instructors be again admonished against telling any obscene, indecent or off-color stories, or any stories involving race, color, or creed, or minority groups.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no evidence that dirty, vulgar, disgusting stories were told at the party at Old South Restaurant. Signed statements made by thirteen attendees and attached hereto, and verbal statements by seven other attendees indicate party was a model of decorum.
2. There is no evidence Mason in the Kemper Lane affair exerted any influence over the press, the police, or the hotel management, or that the party held there was a drunken brawl. As far as could be ascertained, all facts had previously been furnished Bureau, and the matter has been fully adjudicated.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Signed statements made by the following:

SAC Edmund D. / Mason

ASAC George C. / Robb

SA's [redacted]
[redacted]

John V. / Hanlon
[redacted]

Richard F. / Lally

Kenneth M. / Raupach

Thomas P. / Scollard
[redacted]
[redacted]

Edwin R. / Tully

Eugene W. / Youngs

2. List of attendees at Old South Restaurant, with those interviewed designated by an asterisk.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: August 18, 1959

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY, Special Agent
(Employee's present payroll name)

Cincinnati

(Division)

PAYROLL NAME (List as desired on payroll)

ADDRESS AND PHONE CHANGE

Present phone number (city)	Present address

NOTE: (The following must be executed in reporting BIRTHS or CHANGES IN MARITAL STATUS.)

Has spouse ever been an employee of the Bureau?	
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Former	2. <input type="checkbox"/> No

MARITAL STATUS

Married to - Show full (maiden) name of spouse	Date and place of marriage
Data re spouse	

Birth date	Birthplace
Legal Residence	Occupation
Name, address, and telephone number of person to be notified in case of emergency	

BIRTHS

Girl named	Boy named
	67- 226
Born on	Birthplace
	Good Samaritan Hospital Cincinnati, Ohio
To employee and (Name of spouse)	This is their 4th child

- ① - Bureau
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:ESG
(2)

August 25, 1959

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Tully:

On this happy occasion, I want to express to Mrs. Tully and you my congratulations on the birth of your daughter,
[redacted]

My heartfelt wish is that your little girl's future will be filled with all the good things life has to offer.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

MAILED 31
AUG 25 1959
COMM-FBI

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

1 - Out-of-Service file of Mildred M. Tully.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
DeLoach _____
McGuire _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

67-211900-226

mjs
(5)

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

AUG 25 10 03 AM '59
REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

Name: EDWIN R. TULLY

Title: Field Supervisor

Payroll #05143

Grade: GS #13 at \$11,090

EOD: 1-4-43

Not on Probation

Nonveteran

SAC MASON:

This personnel write-up is prepared in accord with the instructions of Inspector J. E. McMAHON, relating to the case captioned, "EDWIN SANFORD GARRISON, aka, Fugitive, I.O. 3251; UFAC - ROBBERY." Mr. TULLY inherited Criminal Desk #4 7/29/59, after it had been handled by a Relief Supervisor since 7/10, which was the date of departure of Former Supervisor WILLIAM L. DONAHUE. TULLY had no previous connection with this desk and undertook the responsibilities related thereto in an enthusiastic and intelligent fashion. He is a conscientious, hardworking, completely competent, thorough Field Supervisor. Undoubtedly if he had had more than nine calendar days on the desk he would have detected the matter written up by the Inspector. As it was, pregnancy of Mrs. TULLY, and delivery, caused him to take annual leave commencing 8/10.

SA TULLY makes an excellent personal appearance, is well poised, and is the strongest, most versatile and qualified Agent in this entire division. He is excellent or higher in every respect and is capable of handling additional responsibility.

Rating: Excellent

INSPECTOR J. E. McMAHON: During the inspection of the Mobile Office, SA
(9/3/59) *Jim* Edwin R. Tully was involved in a substantive
case write-up, involving the Cincinnati Office
and not the Mobile Office, in the case entitled "Edwin Sanford Garrison, aka -
Fugitive; IO #3251, WF #219; UFAC - ROBBERY," Mobile origin, in the following
manner.

MOBILE INSPECTION
8/31/59
EDM: ESG

2-8-59
3-19-59

On 1/12/59 an I. O. was issued on the subject, Garrison, and on 3/2/59 he was placed on the Ten Most Wanted List. On 3/20/59 the Bureau instructed Mobile to instruct Louisville and Cincinnati to submit daily summary airtels reporting investigation conducted. On 3/31/59 Mobile sent airtel to Bureau, Louisville and Cincinnati pointing out Bureau instructions and requesting Louisville and Cincinnati to submit daily airtel summaries to Bureau and to Mobile and to continue submissions until advised to discontinue. On 4/14/59 Cincinnati informed Bureau and Mobile it was not in possession of any such instructions from Bureau and, accordingly, would continue to afford this case close attention, would cover leads that appeared productive within 48 hours, and would promptly report results, UACB. On 4/16/59 Mobile sent airtel to Bureau, Cincinnati and Louisville again requesting daily airtel summaries in accordance with original Bureau instructions. On 4/22/59 Mobile sent still another airtel to Cincinnati and Louisville requesting daily airtel summaries. Mobile report dated 5/2/59 by SA Arthur T. Potter contained lead for Cincinnati to submit daily airtel summaries. Mobile letter dated 5/18/59 requested Bureau to instruct Cincinnati and Louisville to submit daily airtel summaries and to submit reports every 30 days. On 5/29/59 Bureau directed Mobile to instruct Cincinnati and Louisville to submit daily airtel summaries and reports every 30 days. Mobile issued these instructions to Cincinnati and Louisville by airtel dated 6/2/59.

Cincinnati report by SA [] was submitted under date of 6/18/59. On 7/1/59 Mobile letter to Bureau, Cincinnati and Louisville pointed out daily airtel summaries not being received from Cincinnati or Louisville and latest communication from these offices was Cincinnati report dated 6/18/59 and Louisville letter dated 6/23/59 informing its report would be delayed until 7/15/59. Louisville has been complying with Mobile request since 7/1/59. On 8/18/59 Mobile advised Cincinnati by airtel that report had not been received subsequent to 6/18/59 and no other communications received since 7/7/59.

SA Edwin R. Tully who was designated supervisor of the #4 Desk, Cincinnati Office on 7/29/59, and upon whose desk this case is supervised, advised he did not take over supervisory duties on the desk until 8/3/59. Thereafter he was on the desk until 8/7/59 when he went on three weeks' leave. SA Tully further stated that during the five days he was on the desk he was busy familiarizing himself with administrative procedures, squad personnel, organization of the desk and dictating on work previously assigned to him. He stated he, therefore, did not have the opportunity to review instant case and was not aware of instructions to submit daily airtel summaries nor did he know that reports were due on 7/18/59 and 8/18/59.

b6
b7c

SAC E. D. Mason advised that he did not see any culpability concerning SA Tully inasmuch as Tully was busy cleaning up administrative work relating to his assignments prior to being appointed supervisor on the #4 Desk, was busy familiarizing himself with his new supervisory assignments and did not have an opportunity to "dig into the case." The Inspector concurs with SAC Mason with respect to his comments pertaining to SA Tully.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the fact that SA Tully was only on his desk as supervisor for 5 days during which time he was handling other necessary administrative matters, it is recommended that no administrative action be taken against him.

gmr

*OK
gmr
9/25*

SBP

SUBSTANTIVE WRITE-UP
(Not Attributable to the Mobile Office)

EDWIN SANFORD GARRISON, aka - FUGITIVE
IO #3251, WF #219
UFAC - ROBBERY
Mobile file 88-1144
Cincinnati file 88-1253
Bureau file 88-7432

INSPECTOR McMAHON: This is a pending case, Mobile Origin,
assigned to SA ARTHUR T. POTTER. The
case is supervised by ASAC THOMAS J. JORDAN.

On 8/23/58, subject was a trusty at Kilby
Prison, Montgomery, Ala., and on that date escaped custody.
On 8/25/58, a Federal complaint was filed and he has been a
fugitive since that date.

On 1/12/59, an IO was issued and on 3/2/59,
subject was placed on the FBI's Ten Most Wanted list.

On 3/20/59, the Bureau instructed the
Mobile Office to instruct the Louisville and Cincinnati
Offices to submit daily summary airtels reporting investiga-
tions being conducted. The Bureau did not indicate to whom
their daily summary airtels were to be sent.

On 3/31/59, the Mobile Office directed an
airtel to the Bureau, copies to the Louisville and Cincinnati
Offices, in which they instructed the Louisville and
Cincinnati Offices, in accordance with Bureau instructions,
to submit daily summary airtels reporting investigation
being conducted in captioned matter. The Mobile Office
instructed that the airtel summary should be furnished to the
Bureau and Mobile Office and should continue until such time
as Office of Origin or Bureau instructs that they may be
discontinued.

MOBILE INSPECTION
8/25/59
RIS:bls
4-B

On 4/14/59, the Cincinnati Office directed an airtel to the Bureau, with copies to Mobile and Louisville Offices, in which they referenced Mobile airtel to the Director, dated 3/31/59. Referenced airtel instructed Cincinnati, as well as Louisville, to submit, in accordance with Bureau instructions, daily airtel summaries. The Cincinnati Office advised that they are not in possession of any such instructions from the Bureau and, accordingly, will continue to give this case close attention, cover leads which appear productive within 48 hours and promptly report the results of such investigation, UACB.

On 4/16/59, the Mobile Office directed an airtel to the Bureau, copies to Cincinnati and Louisville, in which they referenced Cincinnati airtel to the Director, dated 4/14/59, concerning instructions that the Cincinnati Office submit daily airtel summaries. Mobile again pointed out that the Bureau by letter to Mobile dated 3/20/59, instructed that the Mobile Office should instruct the Cincinnati Office to submit daily summary airtels reporting investigation being conducted, and that such airtels should continue until Mobile is satisfied that necessary coverage has been established.

On 4/22/59, the Mobile Office directed an airtel to Louisville, with copies to Cincinnati, in which they again mention the necessity of submission of daily airtels.

In report of SA ARTHUR T. POTTER, Mobile, dated 5/2/59, a lead is set forth for the Cincinnati Office to submit daily summary airtels in accordance with instructions set forth in Bureau letter to Mobile dated 3/20/59.

By letter to the Bureau, dated 5/18/59, the Mobile Office pointed out to the Bureau that it appears feasible, in order to insure close supervision of this case by the office of origin, for the Bureau to instruct Louisville and Cincinnati, where the bulk of the investigation is being conducted, to submit reports every 30 days so that investigation can be reanalyzed on a regular basis. In addition, the Mobile Office again pointed out that it would be of assistance to the Mobile Office if Louisville and Cincinnati Offices furnished Mobile with a copy of daily summary airtels in this case.

- 3 -

On 5/29/59, the Bureau directed the Mobile Office to instruct the Cincinnati and Louisville Offices to submit reports every 30 days and furnish copies of their daily summary airtels to the Mobile Office.

By airtel to the Director, dated 6/2/59, the Mobile Office instructed the Cincinnati and Louisville Offices to submit reports every 30 days and furnish copies of their daily airtels to Mobile. Cincinnati and Louisville were also instructed to submit reports by 6/18/59.

The Cincinnati Office submitted a report, report of SA [redacted] on 6/18/59.

On 7/1/59, in a letter to the Director, copies to Louisville and Cincinnati, the Mobile Office pointed out that no copy of any communication has been received from Cincinnati since report of SA [redacted] dated 6/18/59. It was also pointed out that the latest communication received from Louisville was Louisville letter to Mobile dated 6/23/59, in which it was indicated that deadline for investigative report of 6/18/59, could not be met and that report would be submitted 7/15/59. The Mobile Office pointed out that they were not receiving copies of daily summary airtels from the Cincinnati and Louisville Offices.

A review of the file reflects that since Mobile letter, dated 7/1/59, the Louisville Office has been complying with request as set forth by the Mobile Office. It was also noted that subsequent to the original instruction by the Bureau and the Mobile Office which were set forth in Mobile airtel to Cincinnati and Louisville, dated 3/31/59, the Louisville Office submitted 33 communications.

On 8/18/59, the Mobile Office directed an airtel to the Cincinnati Office in which they pointed out that no communication has been received from the Cincinnati Office since 7/7/59. The Mobile Office further pointed out that the last report received from Cincinnati was report of SA [redacted] dated 6/18/59. It is to be noted that a total of 26 communications have been received by Mobile from Cincinnati since 3/31/59, when they were instructed to submit daily airtels.

Explanations are requested from the SAC, Cincinnati and all responsible personnel in Cincinnati for failure to comply with the Bureau's instructions in not submitting daily airtels nor investigative report since 6/18/59.

- 4 -

SA HEALY:
(8/31/59)

This case has been assigned to me since December 19, 1958, and as the Inspector has pointed out since receipt of Mobile airtel 3/31/59, 26 communications have been submitted to Mobile. These communications have reflected pertinent investigative results and this case has been given close attention with 48-hour coverage of productive leads.

Cincinnati airtel to the Bureau 4/14/59 set forth intentions to only submit airtel summaries when productive material had been developed. It is true this does not represent strict adherence to the letter of instructions, as set forth by Mobile. However, Cincinnati airtel to the Director, 4/14/59, was intended to prevent the submission of merely negative or status type communications. Leads have been promptly covered and airtel summaries have been submitted at irregular intervals when something productive could be reported rather than submitting daily airtel summaries reflecting negative information.

It was not intended that Bureau instructions be ignored or circumvented. Rather, Mobile's instructions regarding the submission of daily airtels were viewed in the light of economy and the knowledge of the Bureau's repugnance for mere status communications which reflect no significant developments.

As for instructions to submit reports every 30 days with initial report deadline of 6-18-59, the report of SA [redacted], dated 6/18/59 was submitted in accordance with these instructions. It is true reports were not submitted on 7/18/59 and 8/18/59. I reasoned that a common sense interpretation of this 30-day rule was proper and was influenced, obviously erroneously, by the Bureau's recently adopted report writing procedures, indicating that economy, streamlining, and records management procedures called for a definite effort on the part of the field to reduce the submission of reports wherever practical without affecting the investigation.

- 5 -

It was not my intent to deliberately evade Mobile's instructions and the immediate submission of daily airtel summaries will commence as of 8-31-59 and the submission of a report already in dictation will occur on 9-1-59.

I regret that the instructions of Mobile and the Bureau were not adhered to strictly.

SA LALLY:
(8/31/59)

Cincinnati airtel 4/14/59 was approved by me as Relief Supervisor. Although complete details, as set forth above, forming basis for Cincinnati Division not to submit daily airtels to Bureau and Mobile were not set forth, I approved this decision. Bureau and Mobile were advised of this fact by that communication and daily airtels were not subsequently submitted.

With regard to missed reporting deadlines during August, 1959, while I was assigned #4 Desk (8/10-28/59), review of file reflects that upon receipt of Mobile airtel 8/18/59, I placed a notation thereon instructing SA [] to "SUREP". SA [] report is currently in dictation.

SUPERVISOR TULLY:
(8/31/59)

I was designated to take over supervisory duties on Criminal Desk #4, Cincinnati Office, as of 7/29/59, but did not actually report to the desk until 8/3/59, as I had to clean up work previously assigned to me on another squad. I was on Desk #4 from 8/3 to 8/7, when I went on 3 weeks Annual Leave since my wife had a baby. During the five days on Desk #4 I was busy familiarizing myself with administrative procedures, personnel on the squad, organization of the desk, reviewing mail, and attending to the myriad duties necessary in taking over a desk of some 450 cases. During these five days I took time off of the desk to handle and dictate some additional work previously assigned to me.

Among the things to be done on the desk I had scheduled a review of all cases with instant 7 volume case given a high priority. However, it was physically impossible to get all this done properly in the five days I was on the desk before going on Annual Leave 8/7. Consequently, not having had the opportunity to review case in question, I did not know of instructions to submit daily airtel summaries and did not know that reports were due 7/18 or 8/18.

- 6 -

SAC E. D. MASON: Mobile origin; Cincinnati auxiliary office; (8-31-59) and bulk of investigation here has related to activities of GARRISON many years ago. Some extraordinarily fine investigative results have been obtained through the initiative of investigative Agent [redacted]. This case is handled on the #4 Supervisory Desk. The Supervisor, until 7/10/59, was WILLIAM L. DONAHUE, now assigned to Crime Records Division at Seat of Government. Relief Supervisor [redacted] was on the desk from 7/11 until the Bureau approved a new permanent Supervisor, namely, EDWIN R. TULLY, who took over the desk 7/29, and was on active duty until he took annual leave 8/7, in connection with his wife's pregnancy. Relief Supervisor RICHARD F. LALLY was on the desk 8/10/59 through 8/28/59. TULLY returned to duty 8/31.

I am not soliciting explanation from Relief Supervisor [redacted], in view of his brief service, unless the Bureau requests action to the contrary. It is being left to the discretion of the Bureau whether explanation from former Supervisor WILLIAM L. DONAHUE, now at the Bureau, may be needed.

In connection with the explanations set forth earlier, it is clear to me that there was a misinterpretation in the course of action to follow in that, since there was so little work to be done which was susceptible of daily reporting in the Cincinnati Division, the investigating Agent and Relief Supervisor RICHARD F. LALLY, and apparently former Supervisor WILLIAM L. DONAHUE, believed that the Bureau's best interests would be served by pressing forward vigorously on the investigation, but that money would be saved by not submitting daily airtels when only negative information would be involved. Certain investigation in the Cincinnati area has related to the coverage of race tracks and these race tracks have successively opened, so that an extended period is naturally involved, as one track opens after the closing of another.

It appears to me that the investigation has been intelligent and prompt, but that even though Cincinnati Airtel 4/14/59 mentioned that Cincinnati was not in possession of any instructions from the Bureau to submit daily airtel summaries, this instruction was apparently relayed to Cincinnati via Mobile Airtel 3/31/59 and should have been complied with, or the exact reason as to why a better course of action might have been in the Bureau's interests should have been supplied and spelled out in full.

- 7 -

It appears that a 30-day reporting deadline was missed in July and in August, 1959. These appear to be reporting delays which, so far as we can tell, have not jeopardized the investigation or caused any embarrassment or undue expense. This case is handled on another desk and I was not aware of the request that daily airtel summaries be submitted or that 30-day reporting deadlines be observed in this investigation until same was brought to my attention through inspection write-up received here 8/31.

I have corrected the misinterpretation by participating employees; a daily airtel will be submitted daily commencing 8/31. An investigative report will leave the office 9/1 and there will be no missed deadline in this case in the future.

In fairness to all concerned, I do not see any culpability concerning Supervisor TULLY, who, although charged with the desk during the period 7/29 through 8/7, was still cleaning up administrative work relating to his prior assignment and was endeavoring to get familiar with his supervisory assignments, and had no opportunity to dig into this case. It does appear that former Supervisor DONAHUE should have prepared an administrative tickler regarding the daily airtel and the 30-day reporting deadlines, but neither has been discovered and it certainly appears that Relief Supervisor LALLY should have brought to my attention outgoing airtel of 4/14, which offered a course of action other than that prescribed by Mobile. Had he done so, I would have overruled him and ordered compliance until such time as a sufficient strength of negative airtels would have served as a foundation for seeking different instructions from the Bureau. All in all, it appears to have been a case of misinterpretation by [redacted] LALLY and DONAHUE, coupled with missing of reporting deadlines attributable to [redacted] and the failure of DONAHUE to set up administrative ticklers for supervisory guide.

INSPECTOR J. E. McNAHON: It appears that there is supervisory responsibility at the SOG inasmuch as Cincinnati and Louisville failed to follow Bureau instructions of 3/26/58 to submit daily summary airtels of investigations being conducted.

It should be noted that Cincinnati airtel to the Bureau 4/14/58 set forth intentions of Cincinnati to only submit airtel summaries when productive material had been developed. SOG is asked to comment specifically on whether or not this suggested plan of Cincinnati was acceptable. If so, why was not Mobile advised. If not, it would appear this would have been the appropriate time for the Bureau to reiterate original instructions and to reprimand Cincinnati for their failure to comply.

Recommendations for administrative action are being held in abeyance pending clarification of this point. Prompt explanations are requested.

Supervisor William L. Donahue should submit explanations for his part in the failure of Cincinnati Field Division to comply with Bureau instructions to submit daily airtels and investigative reports in instant case.

9/23/59

Mr. Tolson

Mr. Tamm

EDWIN SANFORD GARRISON, aka - FUGITIVE
IO #3251, wanted Flyer #219
UFAC - ROBBERY
Bureau file 88-7432

SYNOPSIS: Substantive error pertaining to captioned case detected during recent inspection of Mobile office which involved Cincinnati office and not Mobile office. Subject placed on Ten Most Wanted list 3/2/59. On 3/20/59 Bureau instructed Mobile, office of origin, to instruct Cincinnati and Louisville to submit daily airtel summaries. Mobile issued airtel instructions 3/31/59. On 4/14/59 Cincinnati informed Bureau and Mobile it would afford case close attention and promptly report results of investigation but would not submit daily airtels UACB. Mobile airtel dated 4/16/59 repeated instructions to Cincinnati and Louisville to submit daily airtels. Subsequently, Mobile in at least five additional communications requested Cincinnati to submit daily airtels and to submit reports every 30 days. Louisville had been complying with these instructions since 7/1/59. Cincinnati has not submitted daily airtels and failed to submit reports on 7/18/59 and 8/18/59 after submitting initial report on 6/18/59. Case assigned to SA [redacted] at Cincinnati. SA William L. Donahue, now at SOG, supervised case at Cincinnati until 7/10/59 and thereafter case supervised by SAs [redacted] Edwin R. Tully and Richard F. Lally. They advise investigation had been promptly conducted but daily airtels not submitted and 30-day reports not submitted for economy reasons. SAC Mason at Cincinnati advises he was not aware of instructions to submit daily airtels and reports every 30 days. Mason states Mobile instructions should have been followed or reasons for not doing so spelled out in full. Mason states failure of Cincinnati to submit reports on 7/18/59 and 8/18/59 were missed reporting deadlines and not missed investigative deadlines. SA [redacted] Investigative Division, who supervises case at SOG, advised original Bureau instructions of 3/20/59 to Mobile were lengthy, requested evaluations by Mobile and considered more practical for Mobile rather than Bureau to inform auxiliary offices. [redacted] stated he was preparing reply to Cincinnati instructing that daily airtels be submitted when Mobile airtel of 4/16/59 was

Enclosure

- 1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Personnel file of SA William L. Donahue
1 - Personnel file of SA [redacted]
1 - Personnel file of SA [redacted]
1 - Personnel file of SA [redacted]
1 - Personnel file of SAC Edmund D. Mason
- 1 - Personnel file of SA Richard F. Lally
1 - Personnel file of SA Edwin R. Tully

JRM:sjw (9)

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Edwin Sanford Garrison

received restating Bureau instructions and, therefore, not considered necessary for Bureau to reissue instructions. [redacted] stated Cincinnati was regularly submitting large number of communications but he failed to note airtels not being received daily and reports not being received each 30 days. He stated failure of Cincinnati to submit daily airtels and reports every 30 days did not adversely affect the investigation.

RECOMMENDATIONS: 1. Recommendations for administrative action concerning SA [redacted], to whom case assigned at Cincinnati, and recommendations for administrative action concerning SAs Lally and Tully, supervisors at Cincinnati who were involved in this case, handled separately.

2. It is recommended that SA William L. Donahue, former supervisor at Cincinnati who handled supervision of case until July 10, 1959, and now assigned to SOG, be sent a letter of censure for his inadequate supervision of case at Cincinnati by neglecting to obtain specific clarification of instructions regarding submission of daily airtels and for failing to set up proper administrative device to insure reports would be submitted at 30-day intervals. If recommendation approved, Administrative Division will handle.

3. It is recommended that no administrative action be taken against SA [redacted], relief supervisor at Cincinnati, inasmuch as no instructions were sent to Cincinnati relative to submission of daily airtels and reports every 30 days during the brief period [redacted] was on the desk (7/11/59 to 7/29/59). Primary responsibility at Cincinnati for delinquencies noted rests upon SA [redacted] to whom case assigned and upon former supervisor William L. Donahue.

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Edwin Sanford Garrison

4. It is recommended that no administrative action be taken against SAC Edmund D. Mason at Cincinnati inasmuch as he did not directly supervise this case and was not aware of instructions concerning the submission of daily airtels and the submission of reports every 30 days.

5. Assistant Director Rosen, Investigative Division, recommends that SA [] Investigative Division, who supervises this case at the SOG, be charged with a form error for failure to detect that Cincinnati was not submitting airtels daily. The Training and Inspection Division does not agree with this recommendation. SA [] should have noted that daily airtel summaries were not being submitted by Cincinnati. If [] had instructed Cincinnati to submit daily airtels, as Mobile requested, this entire situation might have been avoided. It was [] responsibility to see that instructions were fully complied with. SA [] further stated he also failed to note that Cincinnati did not submit reports on 7/18/60 and 8/18/60. It is recommended that SA [] be given a letter of censure for his derelictions as noted above in failing to afford this case proper supervision. If recommendation approved, Administrative Division should handle.

b6
b7C

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Edwin Sanford Garrison

DETAILS: During the inspection of the Mobile office, a substantive error involving the Cincinnati office and not the Mobile office, in the case entitled, "Edwin Sanford Garrison, aka - Fugitive, IO #3281, WF 219, UFAC - Robbery," Mobile origin, was detected. Details of this are as follows:

On 1/12/59 an IO was issued on the subject, Garrison, and on 3/2/59 he was placed on the Ten Most Wanted list. On 3/20/59 the Bureau instructed Mobile, origin, to instruct Louisville and Cincinnati to submit daily summary airtels reporting investigation conducted. Mobile airtel to Bureau, Louisville and Cincinnati dated 3/31/59 pointed out Bureau instructions and requested Louisville and Cincinnati to submit daily airtel summaries to Bureau and to Mobile and to continue submissions until advised to discontinue. On 4/14/59 Cincinnati informed Bureau and Mobile it was not in possession of any such instructions from Bureau and accordingly would continue to afford this case close attention, would cover leads that appeared productive within 48 hours and would promptly report results, UACB. On 4/16/59 Mobile sent airtel to Bureau, Cincinnati and Louisville again requesting daily airtel summaries in accordance with original Bureau instructions. On 4/22/59 Mobile sent still another airtel to Cincinnati and Louisville requesting daily airtel summaries. Mobile report dated 5/2/59 by SA Arthur T. Potter contained lead for Cincinnati to submit daily airtel summaries. Mobile letter dated 5/14/59 requested Bureau to instruct Cincinnati and Louisville to submit daily airtel summaries and to submit reports every 30 days. On 5/29/59 Bureau directed Mobile to instruct Cincinnati and Louisville to submit daily airtel summaries and reports every 30 days. Mobile issued these instructions to Cincinnati and Louisville by airtel dated 6/2/59. Cincinnati report by SA [redacted] was submitted under date of 6/18/59. On 7/1/59 Mobile letter to Bureau, Cincinnati and Louisville pointed out daily airtel summaries not being received from Cincinnati or Louisville and latest communication from these offices was Cincinnati report dated 6/18/59 and Louisville letter dated 6/23/59 informing its report would be delayed until 7/15/59. Louisville has been complying with Mobile request since 7/1/59. On 8/15/59 Mobile advised Cincinnati by airtel that report had not been received subsequent to 6/18/59 and no other communications received since 7/1/59.

Explanations were requested from SAC, Cincinnati, and all responsible personnel at Cincinnati for failure to comply with Bureau instructions concerning submission of daily airtels and reports every 30 days. Explanations also requested from supervisory personnel in Investigative Division.

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson
Re: Edwin Sanford Garrison

and as Cincinnati's proposed plan in its airtel of 4/14/59 for not submitting daily airtels was not considered acceptable, [] was in process of preparing appropriate reply to Cincinnati. Prior to completing reply, Mobile airtel of 4/16/59 was received which restated Bureau instructions practically verbatim. [] therefore, did not consider it necessary for Bureau to reply to Cincinnati and reissue instructions which Cincinnati already had. [] stated Cincinnati was regularly submitting large number of communications and he inadvertently failed to note they were not being received on daily basis and he failed to note that Cincinnati had not submitted reports on 7/18/59 and 8/18/59. [] further stated that failure of Cincinnati to submit daily airtels and reports every 30 days did not adversely affect the investigation.

b6
b7c

CONCLUSIONS: Mobile issued instructions on 3/31/59, at direction of Bureau, for Cincinnati to submit daily airtel summaries. Cincinnati submitted counterproposal by airtel dated 4/14/59 on GACE basis. Mobile repeated instructions by airtel 4/16/59 and in at least five subsequent communications between 4/22/59 and 7/1/59 Mobile again repeated instructions. Cincinnati did not comply and Cincinnati also missed reporting deadlines of 7/18/59 and 8/18/59. On 8/18/59 Mobile also requested Bureau to instruct Cincinnati to comply but Bureau did not do so. Had Bureau issued instructions, entire situation might have been avoided.

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

*recommended
for promotion
to Counsel*

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY

Where Assigned: Cincinnati

(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Special Agent, GS-13

Rating Period: from 7/29/59 to 9/28/59

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

J.

Rated by:

[Signature]

**Special Agent
in Charge**

9/28/59

Signature

Title

Date

Reviewed by:

[Signature]

Signature

Title

Date

Rating Approved by:

[Signature]

Signature

Assistant Director

Title

OCT 1 1959

Date

TYPE OF REPORT

() Official
() Annual

(X) Administrative
(X) 60-Day
() 90-Day
() Transfer
() Separation from Service
() Special

67-

227

Filed

10 SEP 30 1959

[Handwritten initials]

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

32518 100

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLYTitle Special Agent, GS-13Rating Period: from 7/29/59 9/29/59

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
 - E Excellent.
 - ✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
 - Unsatisfactory.
 - O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. <u>E</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. <u>O</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. <u>+</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>O</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>O</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>O</u> (e) Accounting cases <u>+</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (17) Firearms ability. <u>+</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. <u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>+</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) <u>+</u> (20) Performance as a witness. <u>+</u> (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results <u>+</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) As leader <u>+</u> (b) As participant <u>+</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. <u>+</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> Dictation ability _____ |
|---|---|

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.): Supervisor of criminal matters.B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): Investigator; desk man.

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No. 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No. (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
 Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS T.

RE: EDWIN R. TULLY, SA
FIELD SUPERVISOR
60-DAY PERFORMANCE RATING

PART I GENERAL COMMENTS

This special performance rating seeks to describe the performance of Mr. TULLY since he assumed field supervisory status over Criminal Squad #4, July 29, 1959.

Mr. TULLY is a completely qualified, efficient and effective field supervisor, gifted with natural leadership ability and all of the attributes of a successful executive.

He makes an outstandingly good personal appearance and is smooth, poised, personable, dependable, competent, and laden with investigative "know-how." He has an especial flair for administrative duty. He is particularly well qualified for contact assignments and liaison functions. He was an approved public speaker until September, 1959, at which time the Bureau reduced the number of speakers available to this division, without discredit to this Agent.

He is currently supervising Bank Robberies (with an enviable record), and cases in classifications 26, 52, 70, 76, 88, 103, and 153.

He has demonstrated a broad perspective of FBI procedures and policies and his judgment has been splendid in each instance.

He believes in tight supervision and acts accordingly as a means of developing his subordinates; however, it is easy to get a decision from him and he is the type of leader who is willingly followed.

He is in part responsible for commendation in Bureau communication April 29, 1959, for the accomplishment of this division in exceeding the recruiting quota. A similar communication of May 27, 1959 is in substantial measure

Agent's Initials: ET

attributable to him.

He was active in a dinner dance on May 4, 1959, for highly-placed contacts and prominent individuals in the Cincinnati area, attended by well over 300 persons. This function resulted in the Director's commendation of May 13, 1959, and TULLY shares credit for this accomplishment.

He is in excellent physical condition and within desirable weight limits, according to physical examination May 21, 1959. Fully available, physically and otherwise, for assignment anywhere, at any time.

He has an excellent attendance record. He has been careful and conscientious, and personally handled all of his assignments, despite the fact that the condition of his wife, due to pregnancy and delivery with subsequent complications, added heavy pressures to an already heavy schedule of office responsibilities. This problem is just about solved and has not resulted in any loss of time or slackening of this Agent's intensive zeal and enthusiasm for his supervisory functions.

Supervisor TULLY has shown a deep interest in countering the vicious smear campaign against the FBI and it was he who made contact with JOHN A. LLOYD, President, Union Central Life Insurance Company, and arranged for that organization to print and distribute favorable articles to approximately half a million individuals. He handled this assignment with characteristic finesse and thoroughness, reflecting great credit upon himself and the FBI.

As an outgrowth of the Mobile Inspection August 25, 1959, the question was raised concerning the frequency of reporting by an Agent on TULLY's Squad; however, it does not appear that any discredit applies to TULLY, in view of his presence on the supervisory desk for only nine days of the pertinent period and at a time when he was trying to get acquainted with the cases.

A particularly brilliant piece of investigative work was reported to the Bureau by memorandum September 18, 1959,

Agent's Initials: J

captioned, [REDACTED], Fugitive, Security First National Bank, Los Angeles, California, September 2, 1959, Bank Robbery." It was TULLY who supervised this case.

In short, the services of TULLY have been truly excellent, and approaching outstanding.

PART II SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given

Not applicable.

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide

Qualified as an Inspector's Aide, but not used in this capacity during the rating period.

3. Participation in Informant Program

Satisfactory...work assignments such as to provide very limited opportunity for informant development; contact development very favorable.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability

Excellent witness in Federal Court; testified once during rating period.

5. Disciplinary Action

Not applicable.

6. Accounting Information

Not applicable.

Agent's Initials: T.

7. Police Instruction

Is an approved instructor and has performed satisfactorily during the rating period.

8. Sound Training

Not applicable.

9. Resident Agents

Not applicable.

10. Foreign Language Ability

Not applicable.

11. Administrative Advancement

Agent is

(a) Interested in Yes X No

(b) Completely available for Yes X No

(c) Considered completely qualified
at present for administrative
advancement including experience,
ability, personality, and
appearance Yes X No

|| This Agent has more leadership and executive ability
than is required in his field supervisory status and offers
great promise for advancement at the Bureau's pleasure.

Agent's Initials: T

September 23, 1939

b6
b7c

Mr. Edmund D. Mason
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Edmund R. Tully

Dear Mr. Mason:

I want to commend you and, through you, the agents of the Cincinnati Division who participated so ably in the investigation and apprehension of [redacted] subject of a Bank Robbery case.

This armed and dangerous subject was located and taken into custody without incident as a result of your intelligent and aggressive supervision, the thorough and adroit investigation conducted and the competent and devoted manner in which each man handled his responsibilities. Please accept my appreciation for your exemplary performance and convey to each agent who took part in this case my thanks for a job very well done.

Sincerely yours,

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

Although the Bureau recognizes the fine work done by the participating agents in this instance, it is not felt their services were such as to warrant individual letters of commendation. Please a copy of this letter to their personnel files.

51

RECEIVED
(17)
OCT 23 1939

(over)


DUPLICATE YELLOW

Edward D. Mason
Cincinnati, Ohio

Copies prepared and attached for placing in following:

Item:

Louis H. Telly



mal...

October 14, 1959

PERSONAL

Mr. Edwin P. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Tully:


I want to thank you for your exceptional services in connection with a matter of paramount importance to the Bureau. The splendid efforts exerted by you in protecting the interests of the FBI in this matter are greatly appreciated and the exemplary fashion in which you have conducted yourself in contacts made has been of real benefit to the Bureau.

Sincerely yours,

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

Reurmemo 10/6/59 captioned "THE UNION CENTRAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, CINCINNATI, OHIO, SMEAR CAMPAIGN."

HWC
HWC
(4)
67-211900



Tolson _____
Belmont _____
DeLoach _____
McGuire _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

67-211900-5

OCT 14 9 47 AM '59
FBI
REC'D-READING ROOM

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 10/6/59

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati (62-2416) Attention: Mr. C. D. DeLoach

SUBJECT: THE UNION CENTRAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY
Cincinnati, Ohio
SMEAR CAMPAIGN

Edwin R. Tully

Remylet 7/30/59. Attention invited to subsequent correspondence.

Attached hereto are two copies of "The Union Central Advocate," publication of captioned company, containing article by the Director. This commences with a double spread on pages 8 and 9 and concludes on page 13.

Attention is invited to the editorial page (page 6) containing highly commendatory comments concerning the Director and the FBI. This editorial was prepared by OLLIE M. JAMES, Editor of the "Advocate," but has the full concurrence and endorsement of the company president, JOHN A. LLOYD. *Union Central Life Insurance Co.*

President LLOYD assured me on 10/5/59 that he and his company will do anything that the FBI desires in an effort to support and defend the Director, facilitate FBI work, inspire greater appreciation by the public for FBI sacrifices and accomplishments, and in short, be fully available for anything.

The Bureau will recall that in mylet 7/30/59 I advised that JOHN A. LLOYD, President, The Union Central Life Insurance Company, is a highly respected and patriotic man who was developed as a contact by Supervisor EDWIN R. TULLY of this office. LLOYD has been most cordial, sincere and forthright in his dealings with Supervisor TULLY and in his contact with SAC.

Exclusive articles by the Director now appear in the "Advocate" attached, and will within the next few days appear in the agency bulletin.

(2 - Bureau (Encls. 2) *auth 10-20-59*
3 - Cincinnati

EDM:LM
(5)

ENCLOSURE

50 OCT 20 1959

OCT 8 1959

EXP. PROC.

211 700-229

REC-4 2 OCT 15 1959

(9)

CI 62-2416

LLOYD is also preparing a brochure concerning the Smear Campaign, for widespread distribution.

LLOYD has the means and desire to render extraordinary services. He has already done so; more can be expected.

In the preparation of the editorial, layout of the articles, and art work, OLLIE JAMES did a fine job. OLLIE JAMES is also Chief Editorial Writer for "The Cincinnati Enquirer," 617 Vine Street, Cincinnati, 1, Ohio.

Recommendations:

- 1) Warm letter of appreciation to President JOHN A. LLOYD, The Union Central Life Insurance Company, Union Central Building, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 2) Letter of appreciation and congratulations to Editor OLLIE M. JAMES.
- 3) Letter of commendation to Supervisor EDWIN R. TULLY for his excellent work in combating the Smear Campaign and his particularly fine accomplishments in developing the friendship of President LLOYD.

Addendum:

Crime Records Division agrees with the recommendation of SAC Cincinnati to forward a letter of commendation to Supervisor Edwin R. Tully for his outstanding work in going out of his way to develop a splendid outlet for the publication of articles favorable to the FBI.

*Copy referred to Cincinnati
Division 10/2/59
[Signature]*


ENCLOSURE

~~94-5203-8~~

The Union Central

Advocate


Published For Its Policyholders Semiannually By
The Union Central Life Insurance Company, Cincinnati, Ohio



*Fall
59*

Bulk Rate
U. S. POSTAGE
PAID
Cincinnati, Ohio
Permit No. 35

Children's Page: THE JOKE'S ON DADDY!





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

RE: SA

EDWIN R. TULLY
(Type or print plainly)

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of \$10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	WIFE	Date	10-21-59
Address	5754 EULA AVE. CINCINNATI OHIO				

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty.

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	WIFE	Date	10-21-59
Address	5754 EULA AVE. CINCINNATI OHIO				

Very truly yours,

Edwin R. Tully
Special Agent

NOV 17 1959

November 17, 1959

Mr. Edmund D. Mason
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

b6
b7C

Dear Mr. Mason:

I am taking this means to commend, through you, the agents of the Cincinnati Division who participated so competently in the investigation leading to the location and apprehension of the subject of a Bank Robbery case.

This investigation required the exercise of a great deal of perseverance, thoroughness and resourcefulness on the part of the participating personnel and their fine services contributed materially to the successful results achieved. I want you to convey my sincere appreciation for a job well done to each man who took part in this case.

Sincerely yours,

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

Place a copy of this letter in the personnel files of the participating personnel with the exception of SAs Henry F. Tompkins, Jr., and Martin E. Cox, who are being individually commended.

CMT (26)

(OVER)

Edmund D. Mason
Cincinnati Division

Copies prepared and attached for placing in following personnel files:



Eugene L. Turner, Jr.




Allen G. West



Earl M. Smith

Edwin R. Tolly



FBI

Date: 12/7/59

Transmit the following _____

(Type in plain text or code)

AIRTEL

Via _____

(Priority or Method of Mailing)

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. McGuire	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Parsons	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO DIRECTOR, FBI (91-12560)
 FROM SAC, CINCINNATI (91-1728)
 SUBJECT [REDACTED] et al
 BANK ROBBERY
 OO - CI

ReBuairtel 12-2-59; remytel 12-2-59. Remytel 10-14-59. Re telephone call to ~~Director~~ WELTE, Bureau, 10-14-59.

Miami teletype 10-13-59 advised that complaint filed against [REDACTED] was challenged by the defendant and alleged to be faulty inasmuch as it did not state subject bank was insured by FDIC or otherwise covered by Bank Robbery Statute... and complaint did not list material witnesses or other probable cause.

b6
b7C

Mr. WELTE, Investigative Division, telephoned October 14, 1959. He posed certain questions. I checked these questions with SA ROBERT R. ROCKWELL, who assured me that the complaint in the [REDACTED] case was dictated by AUSA SCHATTENFIELD to ROCKWELL and ROCKWELL wrote it down verbatim and thereafter filed complaint against [REDACTED] in strict conformance therewith.

ROCKWELL also assured me that it is his understanding that SA GLENN McAVOY used an identical complaint against [REDACTED]. I talked with Agent McAVOY by long distance telephone and he confirmed to me the identical nature of the [REDACTED] complaint with the [REDACTED] complaint..

Consequently, I advised [REDACTED] that the complaint was authorized by AUSA THOMAS S. SCHATTENFIELD; Agent did not draft the language of the complaint (this refers to the [REDACTED] complaint and, in turn, to the [REDACTED] complaint inasmuch as the [REDACTED] complaint was based on the [REDACTED] complaint). I

3 - Bureau (ENCS.3)
 2 - Miami
 5 - Cincinnati
 EDM:VD (10)

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

12/16/59

CI 91-1728

assured Mr. WELTE that SCHATTENFIELD dictated the language to SA ROCKWELL in the [] case on September 10; complaint filed against [] September 11. Supervisor EDWIN R. TULLY received telephonic authorization from SCHATTENFIELD September 29 to file on [] and to use the same complaint used against []. This information was relayed telephonically to SA GLENN McAVOY by Relief Supervisor []. Agent ROCKWELL was out of his territory at the time. McAVOY procured a copy of the complaint regarding [] and reproduced it against [].

In my call to the Bureau I also synopsisized the situation by pointing out that it boils down to a relatively new AUSA dictating a complaint against [] verbatim, recorded by Agent ROCKWELL and used, and at the direction of the same AUSA, the same language was used against [].

b6
b7c

Mytel 10-14-59 specified that SA GLENN L. McAVOY advised that U. S. Commissioner JOSEPH J. FREEDMAN, Steubenville, Ohio, recalls that Agent McAVOY told him [] had been identified by two witnesses, namely [] and []. That teletype stated FREEDMAN said he could testify to this information.

Ultimately U. S. Attorney HUGH K. MARTIN, Columbus, dictated a new complaint concerning []. That complaint is satisfactory and is not referred to herein.

[] and [] were ultimately indicted.

Attention is invited to my airtel 10-14-59 transmitting memoranda from SA [] GLENN L. McAVOY, ROBERT R. ROCKWELL and Supervisor EDWIN R. TULLY regarding the facts in detail, initially made available to Mr. WELTE by telephone.

I have checked against [] with SA GLENN L. McAVOY by long distance telephone on Sunday, December 6, 1959. McAVOY points out that he received a telephone call from Relief Supervisor [] late in the day and was instructed to file against [] a complaint similar to that

used against [] At the time McAVOY knew nothing about the case, states he did not even know the true name of the subject, and he was acting as a Paul Revere to file the [] complaint during the absence of ROCKWELL from the area. It was ROCKWELL who was the most informed Agent concerning the case. It was ROCKWELL who received the initial language from SCHATTENFIELD and filed the [] complaint.

McAVOY advised me December 6, 1959, that he did not even see the [] complaint. He approached U. S. Commissioner FREEDMAN and advised him of the instructions he received to file an identical complaint on [] with that previously filed before the Commissioner on []

b6
b7C

Attention is invited to my teletype December 2, 1959, which went to the Bureau and Miami. It was prepared by SA ROBERT R. ROCKWELL. It was not seen by either Supervisor EDWIN R. TULLY or SAC, both of whom were on an extremely urgent matter of another type handling Bureau instructions.

SA ROBERT R. ROCKWELL by memorandum 12-4-59 stated that in the course of conversation with Mr. FREEDMAN (in another matter) FREEDMAN recollected that at the time the original complaint against [] was filed, the wording of the complaint was discussed as having been furnished by the United States Attorney (SCHATTENFIELD). FREEDMAN specified that he typed the wording as it was given to him. During the typing and issuing of the complaint and warrant, the Commissioner discussed with ROCKWELL the matter of jurisdiction and the matter of the identity of the subject [] by photo examined by bank witnesses. FREEDMAN therefore felt that he had satisfied himself that there was both jurisdiction and probable cause at the time "of the issuing of both the [] and [] complaints." FREEDMAN stated that he would be willing to lend his testimony to these facts if the Government felt that it would do any good.

By telephone December 6, 1959, Agent ROCKWELL advised SAC "I assumed the [] complaint had FDIC in it thus establishing jurisdiction. I knew from the Miami communication that the [] complaint didn't. I assumed from that that the U. S. Commissioner had left it out of the [] complaint. I knew that Agent McAVOY had previously said he was told to get a copy of the [] complaint and

CI 91-1728

file an identical complaint on [redacted]. Thus I believed the Commissioner had inadvertently left FDIC out of the [redacted] complaint.

"Commissioner FREEDMAN said on November 22 when I contacted him on another matter, he recalled when the complaints were issued there was a discussion of the case as to jurisdiction and probable cause."

This was the basis for the statement in my teletype December 2, 1959, prepared by ROCKWELL that the failure to include jurisdiction in the complaint was a clerical error by the U. S. Commissioner. This was a wrong assumption on the part of ROCKWELL. It was a gratuity and he should have satisfied himself concerning the situation by actual verification of the complaint rather than relying on his conversation with the U. S. Commissioner a few days earlier and his assumption concerning the content of the [redacted] complaint.

b6
b7C

SA GLENN McAVOY informed me by long distance telephone December 6th that at the time he filed the [redacted] complaint, there was no discussion by him with the U. S. Commissioner concerning FDIC status of the bank. This is the recollection of McAVOY.

It all appears to me to boil down simply to the fact that at the time the [redacted] complaint was filed the exact language of the AUSA was used. There was an additional discussion between Agent ROCKWELL and Commissioner FREEDMAN concerning jurisdiction, which would bring the Bank Robbery within the purview of the Federal statute and also probable cause. Undoubtedly, because of press accounts and interest in the area regarding the bank robbery, the Commissioner knew a great deal about the offense. Nevertheless, it was the responsibility of the AUSA who dictated the language to make certain that the language was sufficiently comprehensive to satisfy all Federal requirements. There was a secondary responsibility on the part of the U. S. Commissioner to make certain that any warrant issued was based on a valid and complete complaint. There was a responsibility on the part of SA ROBERT R. ROCKWELL to be certain of the precise facts before including his suggestion in the teletype of December 2, which went to the

CI 91-1728

Bureau and Miami and made it appear that the failure was on the part of the U. S. Commissioner. I detect a failure on the Commissioner's part, but the primary failure was that of the AUSA.

Copies of the original [] and [] complaints are attached, along with a copy of the revised [] complaint.

The confusion and the necessity for further Bureau inquiry is directly attributable to SA ROBERT R. ROCKWELL. He is a competent and an experienced Agent. He has done an excellent job in the handling of all of his other work. I do feel that he was off base in the December 2nd teletype, approved by ASAC, newly arrived, who had no knowledge of the case. Because ROCKWELL did not clarify the situation for the Bureau and take steps to be sure of his facts, he deserves a strong letter of censure and one is hereby recommended.

January 13, 1960

Mr. Edmund D. Mason
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Mason:

I want to take this occasion to commend, through you, the agents in your office who participated in the apprehension of Top Ten Fugitive Robert Garfield Brown, Jr., subject of an Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle case.


The manner in which these men conducted themselves in this instance was praiseworthy and the apprehension of Brown was excellently handled and, as a result, considerable credit was reflected on them and on the FBI. I was impressed by the performance of these agents and I want you to convey my thanks to each one who assisted.

Sincerely yours,

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

Information submitted by you does not appear to justify individual letters of commendation in this instance. Place a copy of this letter in the files of SAs Edwin R. Tully, W. Dodson Hanes, [redacted] and [redacted]

HWC (8) Copies prepared and attached for the following files:
SAs Edwin R. Tully, W. Dodson Hanes, [redacted]



b6
b7c

18

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JAN 12 1960

CR
TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Parsons	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Callahan	✓
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Malone	
Mr. McGuire	
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Tamm	
Mr. Trotter	
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Ingram	
Miss Gandy	

McDaniel
Rest: huc

b6
b7c

URGENT 1-12-60 2-24 PM MED

TO DIRECTOR, FBI

FROM SAC, CINCINNATI 3P

ROBERT GARFIELD BROWN, JR., AKA, FUGITIVE, I. O. THREE TWO NINE FIVE , W. F. TWO THREE TWO , ITSMV, UFAP, AR, ASSAULT WITH INTENT TO KILL. REBUTEL JANUARY TWELVE. COMMENDATION RECOMMENDED FOR SUPERVISOR EDWIN R. TULLY, SAS W. DODSON HANES, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] FOR EFFECTIVE, EFFICIENT, SMOOTH ARREST OF SUBJECT BROWN, SEVEN-ON-FIVE PM JANUARY ELEVEN LAST WITHOUT INCIDENT. EXCELLENT TEAMWORK, ENTHUSIASM AND FINESSE DISPLAYED BY ALL AGENTS ACTING UNDER ON-THE-SCENE SUPERVISION OF SA TULLY. A CITIZEN ADVISED THAT A PERSON LIVING AT THE SALVATION ARMY HOME, ONE FIVE ONE FOUR FREEMAN, AVE. END PAGE ONE

REC-151

67-

2301

Mr. Rosen

3

3-Huc

PAGE TWO//CINCINNATI, RESEMBLED WANTED NOTICE CIRCULAR ON BROWN. THIS ADVISE WAS TYPICAL OF THOUSANDS OF SIMILAR INSTANCES RECEIVED IN NUMEROUS FBI CASES. WHICH MOST FREQUENTLY RESULT NEGATIVELY. ANY DELAY IN FOLLOWING AND CHECKING OUT THIS OBSERVATION WOULD HAVE BEEN PREJUDICIAL TO CASE IN VIEW OF PROPENSITY OF SUBJECT FOR FREQUENT TRAVEL. TULLY AGGRESSIVELY ORDERED INFORMATION BE CHECKED OUT AND INASMUCH AS IT WAS DURING EVENING HOURS PERSONALLY LED OTHER AGENTS TO SALVATION ARMY HOME WITH INSTRUCTIONS THAT IF THERE WAS ANY INDICATION THAT THE CORRECT SUBJECT MIGHT BE INVOLVED, SAC TO BE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFIED SO HE COULD LEAD ANY NECESSARY ARREST. UPON ARRIVAL AT SALVATION ARMY, TULLY AND AGENTS ASCERTAINED THAT ONE OF THE REGISTERED, TOM SHUMAKER, HAD THE SAME DATE OF BIRTH AS SUBJECT BROWN. SHUMAKER, AT THE TIME WAS IN THE GENERAL BARRACKS OF THE SALVATION ARMY. SHUMAKER REPORTED TO MANAGER-S OFFICE ON PRETEXT AND UPON WALKING INTO THE ROOM ADMITTED IDENTITY TO AGENTS. ENTIRE INCIDENT CONSUMED

END PAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

APPROXIMATELY TEN MINUTES. BROWN WAS UNARMED AND OFFERED NO RESISTANCE ALTHOUGH THIS WAS ONE OF THE EASIEST CONCEIVABLE ARREST SITUATIONS, IT WAS HANDLED EXCELLENTLY AND THERE HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIAL FAVORABLE PUBLICITY REFLECTING GREAT CREDIT ON FBI. CONSEQUENTLY, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT TULLY AND EACH PARTICIPATING AGENT RECEIVE INDIVIDUAL COMMENDATION LETTER.

END AND ACK

2-30 PM OK FLI WA RAM

TU DISC

*One letter to office
1/12*

*Let. y come.
Date 1-13-60*

CC-MR. ROSEN

+ MR. Callahan

+ Fug. Supv. (Mr. Mull)

February 3, 1960

Mr. Charles W. Frits
Chief of Police
Norwood, Ohio

My dear Chief:

I have received your letter of January 20, 1960, with enclosures, concerning your new Police Training Center and the cooperation your department was afforded by representatives of this Bureau in connection with one of your schools.

It was thoughtful of you to write me, and I enjoyed looking over the photographs. You may be sure that my associates in the Cincinnati Office were glad to be of assistance to you on this occasion and are grateful for your generous comments.

Sincerely yours,



b6
b7c

- 7 - Cincinnati - Enclosures (7)
- 2 - Kansas City - Enclosures (2)
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Thomas A. Conroy - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of SA [redacted] - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Robert A. Rockwell - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Theodore C. Thoma - Enclosure
- ① - Personnel File of SA Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Eugene W. Youngs - Enclosure

NOTE: Bufiles reflect that although SAC, Cincinnati recommended on October 30, 1959, that a letter of congratulations be sent Chief Frits in connection with his new Police Training Center, and it was mentioned that the Cincinnati Office had assisted the Norwood Police Department in the establishment of their training program, no letter was sent in

(NOTE continued next page)

ELC:jg
(18)

Mr. Charles W. Fritz

NOTE: (continued)

view of allegations appearing in Bufiles to the effect that Chief Fritz was accepting pay-offs from a local hoodlum named Maius. Subsequently Cincinnati Office was informed that no police training for the Norwood Police Department should be scheduled in the future in view of the derogatory information concerning Chief Fritz. According to SAC, Cincinnati, Maius was interviewed and denied the aforementioned allegations. By letter dated 1-7-60 the Bureau advised SAC, Cincinnati that the statement of Maius alone did not completely refute the previous allegations against Fritz and that the Bureau would reconsider the matter of furnishing training for that police department if the Cincinnati Office furnishes information from reliable and substantial sources refuting the charges. (1-1152-10 - Section 6) SA Thomas A. Conroy, EOD 5-4-42 as clerk, 4-22-46 as SA, assigned Kansas City in GS-13. SA [redacted] EOD 10-11-54, assigned ASRA Hamilton, in GS-13. SA Robert A. Rockwell, EOD 1-19-48, assigned RA, Athens in GS-13. SA Theodore O. Thoma, EOD 2-17-47, GS-13. SA Edwin R. Tully, EOD 8-20-41 as temporary clerk, 10-20-41 as clerk, 1-4-43 as SA, GS-13. SA Eugene W. Youngs, EOD 5-1-39, GS-13.

b6
b7c

City of Norwood, Ohio

JOSEPH W. SHEA, JR., MAYOR

Department of Public Service Safety

RAY AGHYEN, DIRECTOR



OFFICE OF
CHARLES W. FRITZ
CHIEF OF POLICE

TELEPHONE
MELROSE 1-121

RADIO
NOR 254

January 20, 1960

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

Permit me, to extend to you the thanks of the entire City of Norwood, Ohio, and its official staff, including the Honorable Joseph W. Shea, Jr., for the cooperation that the Federal Bureau of Investigation extended to us -- beyond all measure of our original anticipations -- in assisting our Norwood Police Department to organize and implement its program of instructions at our new Norwood Police Academy.

On Saturday, October 17, 1959, the Academy was officially dedicated as The R. Edward Tepe Memorial Police Training Center by his excellency, Michael V. DiSalle, Governor of the State of Ohio, and the Honorable Joseph W. Shea, Jr., Norwood's Mayor.

On Friday, November 27, 1959, the Academy graduated its first class: ninety-two police officers, including members of our department and representative police from seventeen out-lying communities. All had satisfactorily completed the six-weeks' program of instructions, each receiving a Certificate of Attendance.

We are especially indebted to your local agent in charge, Mr. Ed. Mason, and to special agent, Eugene W. Youngs, for their tireless and enthusiastic cooperation. Mr. Youngs set up our instructional program in his usual professional manner. Other agents who assisted us included Thomas A. Conroy, James O'Keefe, Edwin R. Tully, Robert A. Rockwell and Theodore G. Thomas.

City of Norwood, Ohio

JOSEPH W. SHEA, JR., MAYOR

Department of Public Safety

RAY ACHTER, CHIEF



OFFICE OF
CHARLES W. FRITZ
CHIEF OF POLICE

TELEPHONE
MELROSE 1-1212

RADIO
KQW254

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director


-2-

Perhaps I may best express our appreciation of their tireless and enthusiastic cooperation, both as individuals and as a group, in this way: These men exemplified to our Norwood officers and the entire student body the skill, the poise, the comprehensive understanding of a policeman's responsibilities that have, through the pioneering efforts of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, lifted modern police work to the stature of an art and science.

Thinking you may be interested -- and especially on behalf of our Academy training officer, Capt. Harry E. Schlie, whose responsibility has been to cooperate with Mr. Mason and your other local agents -- we enclose photographic views of our Academy's equipment and facilities.

Thanking you again, and with highest personal regards, I remain,

Very truly yours,


Charles W. Fritz
Chief of Police
Norwood, Ohio

CWF:R
ENC. - PHOTOS

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: 2-26-60

FROM : J. F. Malone

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
 Supervisor - Criminal Desk #4
 Cincinnati Office
 Nonveteran; Not in Reserve; Not on Probation

Tolson _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Belmont _____
 Callahan _____
 DeLoach _____
 Malone _____
 McGuire _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

SA Tully is Supervisor of Criminal Desk #4, Cincinnati Office and was involved in two substantive write-ups during the Cincinnati Inspection. SAC Mason regards SA Tully very highly, states he has done a superb job as supervisor and recommends that he be designated immediately as SAC somewhere. It is noted Tully was removed as ASAC, Oklahoma City in June, 1958, for mishandling of information re a possible kidnaping.

Tully was interviewed by Inspector H. L. Edwards who reviewed in detail supervisory procedures, including administrative devices and spot checks. Inspector Edwards was favorably impressed with Tully's over-all qualifications but feels he needs further seasoning and development at this time. One substantive write-up involving Tully concerned a delay in reporting by an agent under Tully's supervision. Tully relied on assumption agent was carrying a heavy case load and requested no explanation from the agent for the delay; therefore, agent was not required to take inventory of his work, which would have assisted in his planning. In second substantive Tully failed to note an error in a title of a report and failed to note an indicated delay in transcription. Attached are the permanent brief on Tully and detailed comments of SAC Mason, Inspector Edwards and copies of the two substantive write-ups.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That SA Tully be censured for inadequate supervision of the two above-mentioned cases.

Enclosures

- 1 - Mr. Callahan (Attention C. R. Davidson)
 1 - Mr. Edwards
 1 - ~~Personnel file of SA Edwin R. Tully~~

HEH:dlh
 (4)

Letter to SA Tully
 3/2/60
 TJN:icc
 OVER
 3/2/60

Memorandum to Mr. Mohr
Re: SA Edwin R. Tully

2. That SA Tully be retained in his current position as supervisor for further seasoning and development before considered as an ASAC.

OK
JPM
7/27

Noted
S. R. M.
J. M.

March 2, 1960

PERSONAL

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

b6
b7c

Dear Mr. Tully:

The recent inspection of the Cincinnati Office disclosed deficiencies in your performance of supervisory duties. In the Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle case relating to a 1946 Plymouth automobile, Serial Number P15130264, you did not take appropriate action concerning a delay in reporting which occurred.


Moreover you approved a report dated November 19, 1959, in the Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle case involving [REDACTED] and you did not discover an error in the title of the report. The Inspector also noted that the case file did not show that you had fixed responsibility for a delay in finally recording the results of certain investigation performed in this case.

Hereafter you will be expected to discharge your supervisory assignments in a more thorough and aggressive manner so that there will be no reason to call your attention to similar delinquencies.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director



Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)
1 - SOG Cincinnati Field Office File

Based on memo Malone to Mr. Mohr dated 2-26-60, HEH:dlh

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

232
MAR 2 19 26 PM '60
MAIL ROOM

Name: EDWIN R. TULLY

Title: Special Agent

EOD: 1/4/43

Grade: GS-13 at \$11,090

Non-veteran

Not on Probation

SAC MASON: TULLY is Supervisor of Criminal Squad No. 4, handling major cases. He is a former ASAC, removed because of one instance of bad judgment; no recurrence. An enthusiastic, capable, poised, effective, hardworking, knowledgeable leader, gifted with an excellent appearance, top-notch speaking ability, and exceptional finesse in handling contacts. He has done a superb job as Supervisor, and continuing him in this status is a waste of talent. In view of his prior ASAC service, he should forthwith be designated SAC somewhere. He is interested in advancing and I recommend this be accomplished without delay.

Rating: Excellent

INSPECTOR H. L. EDWARDS: Supervisor Tully has an excellent appearance and personality, has had well-rounded experience in the field, is undoubtedly potential material for restoration to an ASAC position from which he was removed in June, 1958, because of an error of judgment at Oklahoma City. However, I do not agree with SAC Mason, based on the inspection findings, that Tully is ready at this point for designation as SAC, and I certainly feel that before Tully is considered for an SAC position, he should have a tour of duty in at least another field office more metropolitan than Oklahoma City in order to give him further seasoning and development. At present, however, in view of findings, many of which are on Tully's squad, he should be continued in his present assignment rather than advanced.

CINCINNATI INSPECTION

1/28/60

EDM:ESG

51

2 Jor

3 Jic

Tully supervises the major cases and many of the statistic-producing classifications. As the inspection summary pointed out, the office delinquency rose from zero in October, 1959, to slightly more than 16% in January, 1960, and much of this high delinquency was in the statistic-producing categories for which Tully must share responsibility. Also, the office was down in statistics in fugitives and convictions until 1/31/60, when they succeeded by dint of extraordinary concentration and effort, in being up in all four categories.

There were three of the six substantive errors on Tully's squad and he was the supervisor on two of them (Special Agent Thomas Conroy was the Relief Supervisor on the third one -- being handled separately). In one of these (substantive write-up attached) captioned "Unknown Subject; 1946 Plymouth, Serial Number P15130264; Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle; Cincinnati File 26-26284," there was involved a delay in reporting. Tully sent out two routing slips to the Agent and the third time the tickler came up, the file was apparently out and not pulled by Tully, and no notation of action taken made in the file. Tully tries to explain his failure to take more vigorous and aggressive action by relying on his knowledge that the Agent (Donald H. Rathbun) was a very competent Agent whom Tully knew to have a heavy case load at the time. The Inspector told Tully he should not have relied on any assumption but should have nevertheless at least required the Agent to advise the status of the investigation and when he expected to be able to submit a report. Despite the heavy case load of the Agent the Inspector pointed out to Tully that his overtime was not unduly high and, further, requiring the Agent to specifically answer the supervisor on this case would have required the Agent to take inventory of his work and assisted in his planning. Tully was instructed by the Inspector to observe this in future supervisory practices.

In the second substantive error (case captioned [redacted] aka [redacted] Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle; Cincinnati File 26-26238 -- detailed write-up attached), the error involved an inaccuracy in the title (showing Subject's name as [redacted] whereas everything indicated it to be [redacted]), and also delayed transcription in violation of the Manual of Rules and Regulations. Tully had no excuse for overlooking the error in the title when he approved the report and the SAC has charged him with a form error. Regarding the delayed transcription, Tully cannot prove that he detected or inquired into this other than his statement that it is his custom to check the date typed against the

interview date of the FD-302 forms and he concludes that some reasonable explanation must have been offered at that time which is not now apparent. The Inspector feels this is not satisfactory because now there is no way of pinpointing just what happened. Had Tully detected this there should have been some notation to indicate the explanation and in the absence of that, the Inspector feels he should be charged with the supervisory failure.

The Inspector spent considerable time with Tully during the inspection, make a number of general suggestions to him and to the supervisory staff for tightening up supervision through better administrative devices and spot checks. Tully's attitude was excellent and he indicated a sincere desire to improve his performance. The Inspector was favorably impressed with his over-all qualifications but feels he should be censured for the two substantive errors and not be considered for advancement at this time because of the censure.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Supervisor Edwin R. Tully be censured for his culpability in the two substantive errors above-mentioned. A permanent brief of Tully's file is attached. If approved, to be handled by the Administrative Division.

Prmm

SUBSTANTIVE ERROR WRITE-UP

UNKNOWN SUBJECT; 1946 Plymouth,
Serial Number P15130264
INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF
STOLEN MOTOR VEHICLE
CINCINNATI FILE 26-26284
BUREAU FILE UNKNOWN

INSPECTOR EDWARDS: This is a pending case, Pittsburgh Origin,
opened and assigned on 11/4/59 to SA DONALD H.
RATHBUN. This case is supervised by SA EDWIN R. TULLY.

This case involves a car stolen in Columbus, Ohio, on 10/24/59 and located abandoned by the West Virginia State Police in Pennsboro, West Virginia, on 10/28/59. The car was returned to the owner on 10/31/59. Pittsburgh by airtel 10/31/59, received at Cincinnati 11/3/59, requested Cincinnati to interview the owner and a neighbor of the owner who saw one [redacted] driving this car on 10/24/59 after the time it was stolen. The file fails to reflect any investigation has been conducted or reported by the Cincinnati Office since the case was opened. There are two notations on serial one of the file indicating routing slips were sent to SA RATHBUN to expedite handling. One notation is dated 12/21/59 and the second notation is not dated.

Explanations Requested

Explanations requested from SA DONALD H. RATHBUN as to the delay in covering the leads and reporting the investigation in this case; from Supervisor EDWIN R. TULLY for failing to closely follow this investigation.

Comments of SAC requested.

CINCINNATI INSPECTION
1/21/60
LGM:mak
4-B

- 2 -

SA RATHBUN: This case was assigned to me on (1/27/60) 11/4/59. On 11/5/59, I checked with Sgt. [] Auto Squad, Columbus Police Department, but could find no theft report and no affidavit for subject's arrest. On 11/6/59, I contacted [] who is the sister of the owner, and she advised that her husband saw subject, [] driving in instant car on 10/17/59. Efforts to locate [] (owner) on that date were unsuccessful. I conducted additional investigation 1/20/60 attempting to locate a record on [] and finally located and interviewed owner [], who is unemployed and a drunkard, on 1/22/60. The owner, [], said he and subject were drunk at the time of the alleged theft and he could not recall whether he gave subject permission to drive the car or not. An airtel was sent to Pittsburgh on 1/22/60, furnishing these facts with request USA be contacted since doubtful if violation exists. Appropriate FD-302's have been dictated and will be forwarded as soon as typed to Pittsburgh.

I acknowledge delay in reporting but there was no substantial delay in investigation. In view of facts developed, it is doubtful if USA would authorize since it is questionable car was actually stolen. I regret the delay in not reporting the investigation sooner, and it was only caused by my handling more expedite matters.

SUPERVISOR TULLY: I sent routing slip to SA RATHBUN (1/27/60) 12/21/59 to expedite submission of results of investigation. Second routing slip sent stating "Delinquent - Expedite". No date for this routing slip in file, but undoubtedly sent 12/31/59 since I set tickler up ten days. I knew SA RATHBUN and other agents at Columbus were carrying a heavy case load, due to Christmas Post Office Fraud Cases. Notation on tickler indicates file came up for review 1/11/60, but file out. Consequently, no additional notation made in file. Agent RATHBUN is a very competent agent, who handles his work quickly and efficiently and I know that he did conduct investigation beginning 11/5/59. I know also his heavy case load at time (41 cases) delayed reporting investigation to Pittsburgh. Case now current. Careful attention will be given to prevent such situations in future.

My routing slips to RATHBUN do not call for acknowledgement by him, but call for him to expedite results of investigation. Knowing his heavy case load and the specials of the Columbus RA had working, I followed RATHBUN closely to be sure he handled this case as soon as he finished more expedite matters.

SAC MASON: The Columbus agents have had
(1/27/60) a very heavy load, Bureau advised;
additional agent transferred there. Sickness at Columbus increased the burdens. Handling of this case not typical of RATHBUN's work. Supervisor followed situation closely. No harm done; no recurrence likely.

INSPECTOR H. L. EDWARDS: There appears to be no Seat of
2/1/60 Government responsibility as a
report in this case has not yet
been submitted to the Bureau by Cincinnati.

SUBSTANTIVE ERROR WRITE-UP

[redacted] Aka. [redacted]
INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF STOLEN MOTOR VEHICLE
CINCINNATI FILE 26-26238

b6
b7c

INSPECTOR EDWARDS: This is a pending case, Cincinnati Origin,
assigned to SA [redacted], Steubenville
RA, 10/27/59, and supervised by SA EDWIN R. TULLY.

Background

The investigation in this matter was initiated following receipt of information from the Ohio State Highway Patrol on 10/23/59, to the effect that [redacted] was the prime suspect in theft of a 1957 Plymouth stolen 10/22/59, Wheeling, West Virginia, and recovered same date near Bellaire, Ohio, and in theft of a 1956 Buick Convertible stolen 10/21/59, in Powhatan, Ohio, and recovered same date in Wheeling, West Virginia. Investigation by the Pittsburgh Office also developed that individual believed to be [redacted] on night of 10/22-23/59, stole a Mercury Station Wagon in Wheeling, West Virginia, and transported this Station Wagon to St. Clairsville, Ohio.

[redacted] on 10/27/59, was interviewed by SA McAVOY at the Belmont County Jail, St. Clairsville, Ohio, where [redacted] was then incarcerated. A signed statement was obtained from [redacted] and in this statement he admitted participation in the theft of a Buick Convertible in Powhatan, Ohio, and transportation of same to Wheeling, West Virginia, and theft of a Mercury Station Wagon in Wheeling, West Virginia, and transportation of same to St. Clairsville, Ohio.

CINCINNATI INSPECTION
1/20/60
4-B
ERS:mak

FILED 1-20-60

REC'D - CIVIL RIGHTS

During the course of his investigation in this matter SA McAVOY prepared six FD-302's recording interviews conducted, as well as one SF-64 recording his findings during his personal examination of the Mercury Station Wagon located in a Ford garage in St. Clairsville, Ohio.

Set forth below are the dates reflected on these FD-302's and the SF-64 for date dictated and date typed, contained as serials 4 through 10 in this file. A report was submitted in this matter by SA McAVOY on 11/19/59.

<u>Serial</u>	<u>Date Dictated</u>	<u>Date Typed</u>
4	10/28/59	11/18/59
5	10/28/59	11/18/59
6	11/1/59	11/18/59
7	10/31/59	11/18/59
8	10/31/59	11/18/59
9	11/1/59	11/18/59
10.	10/31/59	11/18/59

b6
b7c

In SA McAVOY's report dated 11/19/59 the title is carried as [redacted] whereas [redacted], in his signed statement, indicated his name to be [redacted]. A marriage certificate and an undesirable discharge certificate pertaining to subject located by SA McAVOY in the Mercury Station Wagon on 10/26/59 also reflected subject's true name to be [redacted].

Explanations Requested

The Manual of Rules and Regulations Part I, Section 16M, page 7, and Part II, Section 8A, 6a, (4), page 2, instructs that dictation or typing work must not be carried on the books for more than five work days.

Explanations are requested from Chief Stenographer JEAN M. SAHND, SA McAVOY, and Supervisor TULLY for failure to assure that above Manual instructions were followed.

Explanations are also requested from SA McAVOY and Supervisor TULLY for failure to utilize the correct title in this matter.

Comments of SAC MASON are also desired.

CHIEF STENO SAHND: In checking records of the Steno Pool on 26-26238, I note a rough draft pending report was received 11-10-59 and was typed 11-18-59. This was done in a routine manner of five days since 11/11/59 was a holiday. No records are now available to show when the FD-302s were received in the Steno Pool.

SA McAVOY: I wish to point out that I submit FD-302s to the office from my RA immediately following dictation or rough-drafting, regardless of whether report is contemplated or not. I have no record as to when the 302s in this case were submitted to the office, but I do know I did not hold them until November 5th when I submitted the rough draft report. I feel that I submitted them immediately after rough-drafting them as I make it a practice to do. My daily report for 11/5/59 shows I rough-drafted the remaining portion of the report in question and placed it in the mail at Steubenville, Ohio, on the evening of that date. I would further like to point out that on 11/8/59, I left this Division for Washington, D. C., and remained there until 12/4/59.

b6
b7c

Regarding title in this case, I cannot say with certainty whether the middle name of subject was inadvertently rough-drafted as [] instead of [], or whether this error occurred in transcription. Regardless of this, I should have detected this error when report was returned to me for initialing. I appreciate the fact that this was brought to my attention and the title has been changed to show subject's correct middle name as [] instead of [].

SUPERVISOR TULLY: I approved SA McAVOY's report of 11/19/59. It is my practice in approving a report to check date of interview on 302 with date dictated - the 302s in question are within the five day rule. It is then my custom to check date typed. Unfortunately I do not recall this case specifically but can only conclude that some reasonable explanation was offered at that time, which is not now apparent. Agent McAVOY states he feels he sent the 302s in to the office for typing immediately after dictation on 10-28-59, 10-31-59 and 11-1-59. Allowing for mailing time and intervening weekend, the 302s should have been

- 4 -

in the office by 11-2-59. Steno Pool records are not available to show when the 302s were actually received in the Steno Pool. It is difficult, therefore, to pinpoint exactly what happened. It is possible that the file was out and may have been sought before the 302s were approved for typing. There is no definite way to pin this down.

Greater care will be exercised in the future to pin such delays down immediately and clearly set forth the reason and take appropriate action.

The title was carried as [redacted]
[redacted], instead of [redacted] This was inadvertently overlooked when I approved the report and it is sincerely regretted and has already been remedied. More careful attention will be given to such matters in the future.

SAC MASON: Error charged against McAVOY and TULLY for middle name mix-up. We urge compliance with all Bureau regulations regarding dictation and transcription.

- 5 -

CI 26-26238

INSPECTOR H. L. EDWARDS: It appears there may be some supervisory responsibility at the Seat of Government, in that the report of Special Agent GLENN L. McAVOY dated 11/19/59, has been available at the Seat of Government for review since the date of its submission. This report shows the delay in the typing of six FD-302s, and one SF-64, and the error in using as the middle name of subject [redacted] when investigation reflected his correct middle name to be [redacted]

Assistant Director ROSEN should determine responsibility on the part of supervisory personnel at the Seat of Government, secure explanations, and submit recommendations for administrative action if warranted. The explanations and recommendations should be added to this writeup and the writeup thereafter returned to the Training and Inspection Division.

March 11, 1960

PERSONAL


Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Tully:

Mr. Mason forwarded to me your suggestion that we change our current rule relative to transcription of Form FD-302. Careful consideration has been given to your idea and it was concluded that it would not be to the Bureau's advantage to place it into effect at this time.

Although your proposal is not being adopted in this instance, I want to express my appreciation for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely yours,



1 - SAC, Cincinnati

RWB:meh (Suggestion #672-60)
(4)

NOTE: Suggests that the transcription time for FD-302s be changed from 5 working days to 10 working days.

Assistant Director Malone, SAs Francisco and Dalbey, in January 1960, conferred with the Department of Justice concerning report writing problems in connection with the Jencks Law. It was determined that no big fundamental changes should be made in our

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

(Continued on Page 2)

present rules and that we should wait at least until the decision by the Supreme Court is handed down in the case of Needelman against US, 250 F 2d 145 which should be some time this year. This matter is being followed by this Division and as soon as the Needelman Case has been decided, matters relating to report writing problems will be further considered. This information was submitted in a memorandum from Mr. Malone to Mr. Mohr dated 1/25/60. In view of the foregoing, it is not felt that we should change our current 5-day rule.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

RE: SA

EDWIN R. TULLY
(Type or print plainly)

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of \$10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	WIFE	Date	2-25-60
Address	5754 EULA AVE CINCINNATI OHIO				

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty.

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	Wife	Date	2-25-60
Address	5754 Eula Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio				

MAR 21 1960

Very truly yours,

Edwin R. Tully
Special Agent

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY

Where Assigned: Cincinnati
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Special Agent, GS-13

Rating Period: from 4/1/59 to 3/31/60

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Rated by:

[Signature]

Signature

**Special Agent
in Charge**

Title

4/11/60

Date

Reviewed by:

[Signature]

Signature

Title

Assistant Director

Date

APR 25 1960

Rating Approved by:

[Signature]

Signature

Title

Date

TYPE OF REPORT

☒ Official
☒ Annual

☐ Administrative
☐ 60-Day
☐ 90-Day
☐ Transfer
☐ Separation from Service
☐ Special

233
50

card initial
5-16-60
J.Y.
80

MAY 17 1960

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION.
UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

RECEIVED - MOHR
FBI
MAY 10 6 08 PM '60

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLY Title Special Agent, GS-13
 Rating Period: from 4/1/59 to 3/31/60

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.
 Rate items as follows:
+ Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p><u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance.</p> <p><u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.</p> <p><u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load).</p> <p><u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina).</p> <p><u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity.</p> <p><u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.</p> <p><u>E</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.</p> <p><u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility.</p> <p><u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work.</p> <p><u>E</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.</p> <p><u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties.</p> <p><u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control.</p> <p><u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application.</p> <p><u>O</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills.</p> <p><u>+</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>O</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>+</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>O</u> (e) Accounting cases </p> <p><u>E</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability.</p> | <p><u>E</u> (17) Firearms ability.</p> <p><u>E</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information.</p> <p><u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>+</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) </p> <p><u>E</u> (20) Performance as a witness.</p> <p><u>+</u> (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results </p> <p><u>+</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) As leader <u>+</u> (b) As participant </p> <p><u>+</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement.</p> <p><u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure.</p> <p><u>E</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate:
 Dictation ability _____</p> |
|--|---|

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Supervision of criminal matters.

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): Desk man; investigator.

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No. 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No. (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
 Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS



RE: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
ANNUAL PERFORMANCE RATING

PART I GENERAL COMMENTS

Mr. TULLY is the Supervisor of Criminal Squad No. 4 and was approved for this position by Bureau letter July 27, 1959. Mr. TULLY has definite and superior leadership qualities and attributes.

He makes an outstandingly fine personal appearance; is 6' tall, medium build, and is within desirable weight limitations. He dresses excellently and is smooth, poised, personable, dependable, competent, and aggressive. He handles personnel splendidly. He is competent to investigate or supervise the most complex investigative undertakings. He has been supervising classifications 26, 76, 88, 91, 103, and 153.

During a portion of the rating period he was an approved speaker and represented the Bureau creditably on a number of occasions. This authority was withdrawn when the Bureau cut back on the number of approved speakers. Nevertheless, TULLY has been given special permission to handle speeches from time to time, with Bureau authority. He is also an approved Police Instructor of excellent qualifications.

He possesses a broad perspective of FBI policies, accumulated over years of administrative and supervisory service, and he has the ability to apply policies and procedures with excellent results.

He has demonstrated his capacity to build a smooth, hard-hitting squad and get results in the criminal field. He has accumulated a very favorable record in the handling of criminal cases and in the solution of bank robberies.

Employee's Initials: ERT

He is an excellent team player in that he gives his attention to division-wide matters and does not limit his perspective solely to the functions of his particular squad.

There are no limitations on Mr. TULLY's availability; excellent physical condition; no limitations.

Mr. TULLY was in great measure responsible for the Director's commendation of Cincinnati Division April 29, 1959, for exceeding the applicant recruiting quota.

Mr. TULLY shares the Director's commendation of May 13, 1959, for a "get-together" involving approximately 365 top law enforcement officers, Judges, business officials and agency heads in this area.

He shares the Director's commendation of May 27, 1959, for the excellent job of the Cincinnati Division in recruiting applicants for the Seat of Government.

Mr. TULLY did a spectacular job in handling a number of public relations outlets during the period of the Smear Campaign and subsequent thereto. He extended himself greatly in this field and his record is outstanding. An example of his work is the fact that he arranged with the President of The Union Central Life Insurance Company to prepare a brochure urging support of the FBI, which brochure was disseminated by The Union Central Life Insurance Company to the various life insurance companies throughout the nation. Coincident thereto FBI articles were arranged for certain publications of The Union Central Life Insurance Company, and it is estimated that Mr. TULLY's efforts in this one project brought a favorable Bureau message to nearly half a million people. The Director commended him October 14, 1959, for exceptional services in connection with a matter of paramount importance to the Bureau.

He shares the Director's commendation of September 29, 1959, for supervision of the case involving

Employee's Initials: ERT

Bank Robbery, and the arrest of this fugitive as a result of intelligent, aggressive, thorough, adroit and competent handling.

TULLY shares the Director's commendation of November 17, 1959, for excellent handling of the bank robbery investigation leading to the arrest and conviction of CARL DUNN.

TULLY brought about the Director's commendation of January 13, 1960, when he personally led a squad which effected the arrest of ROBERT GARFIELD BROWN, JR., a Top Ten Fugitive.

Mr. TULLY lectured at the FBI Law Enforcement Conferences in January, 1960, and did a splendid job.

He made a grade of 96 in the January, 1960, Inspection Examination for Agents.

Employee's Initials: GRT

PART II SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given

Not applicable.

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide

Qualified as Inspector's Aide, but not used during the period.

3. Participation in Informant Programs

Satisfactory--his work has all been supervisory and the Informant Program is on another desk.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability

Outstanding.

Employee's Initials: ERT

5. Disciplinary Action

Censured March 2, 1960, following inspection, for not having detected error in a report prepared by an Agent and for reporting delay. This has been considered in the over-all evaluation and on the rating sheet.

6. Accounting Information

Not applicable.

7. Police Instruction

Excellent; used several times during the rating period and favorable letters have been received from other agencies concerning his instructional ability.

8. Sound Training

Not applicable.

9. Resident Agents

Not applicable.

Employee's Initials: ERT

10. Foreign Language Ability

NOT APPLICABLE.

(a) Specific language in which proficient:

(b) Did Agent complete language school?

Yes _____ No _____

(c) Is Agent fluent to the extent that he can handle typical investigative problems in

(1) conversation form?

Yes _____ No _____

(2) written form?

Yes _____ No _____

(d) Rate Agent excellent, very good, good, fair, or unsatisfactory in ability to

(1) read

(2) write

(3) speak

(4) understand

(e) Frequency of use during rating period

11. Administrative Advancement

Agent is

(a) Interested in

Yes X No _____

(b) Completely available for

Yes X No _____

(c) Considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement including experience, ability, personality, and appearance

Yes X No _____

(d) Would you consider qualifications Very Good, Excellent, Outstanding?

OUTSTANDING.

(e) If not completely qualified at present, does he have potential for future administrative advancement?

Yes _____ No _____

Employee's Initials:

ERT

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

1. LAST NAME - FIRST NAME - MIDDLE NAME TULLY, Edwin Raymond			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Special Agent FBI		3. IDENTIFICATION NO. -
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5754 Eula Ave. Cincinnati, Ohio			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 21 Apr 60
7. SEX Male	8. RACE Cauc.	9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY - CIVILIAN 18 yrs		10. AGENCY FBI	11. ORGANIZATION UNIT -
12. DATE OF BIRTH 30 Nov 19 (40)		13. PLACE OF BIRTH New York City, N.Y.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN Mildred Tully (Wife) Same as #4	
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER. AND ADDRESS USAF Hospital W/P, USAF, WPAFB, Ohio			16. OTHER INFORMATION -		
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY -			TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total) -		LAST SIX MONTHS -

CLINICAL EVALUATION		ABNOR-
NOR-	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated.)	MAL
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES	
	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 69, 60 and 67)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulae) (Prostate, if indicated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL		

NOTES (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

#21. Tonsils enucleated. W.H.

#39. 3 inch McBurney scar appendix W.H.

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)																REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES	
O—Restorable teeth X—Missing teeth (6X8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																	
/—Nonrestorable teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures																	
R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	L E F T
I	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	
G																	
H																	
T																	

Type 3 #4 Sp. Cl.
Class 2 #20 Ling. Version

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.010		46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result)	
B. ALBUMIN Neg.	D. MICROSCOPIC Neg.	W/P AFB, Ohio 21 Apr 60	
C. SUGAR Neg.		Film #4-91-0 Negative	
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result)	48. EKG	49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR	50. OTHER TESTS
Cardiolipin Negative	-	-	-

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

51. HEIGHT 71 3/4"	52. WEIGHT 156	53. COLOR HAIR Brown	54. COLOR EYES Blue	55. BUILD: <input type="checkbox"/> SLENDER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE	56. TEMPERATURE 98.6
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level) A. SITTING SYS. 126 DIAS. 80 B. RECUM. BENT SYS. - DIAS. - C. STANDING (3 min.) SYS. - DIAS. -			58. PULSE (Arm at heart level) A. SITTING B. AFTER EXERCISE C. 2 MIN. AFTER D. RECUMBENT E. AFTER STANDING 3 MIN.		
59. DISTANT VISION RIGHT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/ - LEFT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/ -			60. REFRACTION BY - S. - OX - BY - S. - OX -		
61. NEAR VISION at 20 inches			62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance) 20 Ft.		
ES° -		EX° -		R. H. -	
L. H. -		PRISM DIV. -		PRISM. CONV. CT Ortho	
63. ACCOMMODATION RIGHT - LEFT -		64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result) Passes 19 Plate AOC		65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score) -	
66. FIELD OF VISION Normal		67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score) NIBH		68. RED LENS TEST -	
69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION Normal		70. HEARING RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV - /15 LEFT WV 15 /15 SV - /15		71. AUDIOMETER 250 500 1000 2000 3000 4000 6000 8000 256 512 1024 2048 2896 4096 6144 8192 RIGHT 5 -10 0 0 5 10 10 20 LEFT 10 -10 0 0 5 10 10 15	
72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score) -					

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

Usual childhood diseases. No comp. or seq.
Wears glasses for close work.
3 inch McBurney scar appendix W.H.
Tonsillectomy at age 6. No comp. or seq.
Denies all else.

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

75. RECOMMENDATIONS - FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S

77. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. ☒ IS QUALIFIED FOR **General Service.**
B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

JOSEPH B. GUMPER, M.D.

SIGNATURE

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

SIGNATURE

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

SIGNATURE

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

CLINICAL RECORD						ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD		PREVIOUS ECG	
CLINICAL IMPRESSION						MEDICATION		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
								<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY	<input type="checkbox"/> BEDSIDE
								<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMBULANT
AGE	SEX	RACE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	B. P.	SIGNATURE OF WARD PHYSICIAN			DATE
40	Male	Cauc.	71 3/4"	156	126/80				21 April 60
RHYTHM						AXIS DEVIATION (QRS)		RATES	
								AURIC. VENT.	
INTERVALS						P WAVES			
PR QRS QT									
QRS COMPLEXES									
RS-T SEGMENT						T WAVES			
UNIPOLAR EXTREMITY LEADS (Specify)									

PRECORDIAL LEADS (Specify)

SUMMARY, SERIAL CHANGES, AND IMPLICATIONS:

With_in normal limits.

(Continue on reverse)

NO. ECG	SIGNATURE A. J. CARR	TITLE M.D.	DATE
PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade; date; hospital or medical facility)		REGISTER NO. S/A	WARD NO. #40
TULLY, EDWIN R. S/A			

ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD
Standard Form 520
(Attach tracings to S. F. 507)

62

234

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME TULLY, EDWIN RAYMOND				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Special Agent, FBI		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5754 Eula Ave., Cincinnati, Ohio				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 4/21/60	
7. SEX M	8. RACE W	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY 0 CIVILIAN 18	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT		
12. DATE OF BIRTH 11/30/19		13. PLACE OF BIRTH New York City		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN Mildred Tully, wife, 5754 Eula Ave., Cinti., O.			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS Wright-Patterson AFB				16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists)							

Good. Past History ... Bursitis, right shoulder

18. FAMILY HISTORY					19. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE:			
RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	YES	NO	(Check each item)	RELATION(S)
FATHER	66	Fair				XXX	HAD TUBERCULOSIS	
MOTHER		Deceased	Pneumonia	34		X	HAD SYPHILIS	
SPOUSE	36	Good				X	HAD DIABETES	
						X	HAD CANCER	
BROTHERS	42	Good				X	HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	
AND						X	HAD HEART TROUBLE	
SISTERS						X	HAD STOMACH TROUBLE	
						X	HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)	
CHILDREN	12	Good			X		HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, RIVES	Wife (H.F.)
	10	"				X	HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)	
	6	"				X	COMMITTED SUICIDE	
	6 Mo.	"				X	BEEN INSANE	

20. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Place check at left of each item)								
YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)
X		SCARLET FEVER, ERYSIPELAS	X		GOITER	X		TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER
X		DIPHTHERIA	X		TUBERCULOSIS	X		RUPTURE
X		RHEUMATIC FEVER	X		SOAKING SWEATS (Night sweats)	X		APPENDICITIS
X		SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS	X		ASTHMA	X		PILES OR RECTAL DISEASE
X		GUMS	X		SHORTNESS OF BREATH	X		FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION
X		WHOOPING COUGH	X		PAIN OR PRESSURE IN CHEST	X		KIDNEY STONE OR BLOOD IN URINE
X		FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHES	X		CHRONIC COUGH	X		SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE
X		DIZZINESS OR FAINING SPELLS	X		PALPITATION OR POUNDING HEART	X		ECZEMA
X		EYE TROUBLE	X		HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE	X		VENEREAL DISEASE
X		EAR, NOSE OR THROAT TROUBLE	X		CRAMPS IN YOUR LEGS	X		RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT
X		RUNNING EARS	X		FREQUENT INDIGESTION	X		ARTHRITIS OR RHEUMATISM
X		CHRONIC OR FREQUENT COLDS	X		STOMACH, LIVER OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE	X		BONE, JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY
X		SEVERE TOOTH OR GUM TROUBLE	X		GALL BLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES	X		LAMENESS
X		SINUSITIS	X		JAUNDICE	X		LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE
X		HAY FEVER	X		ANY REACTION TO SERUM, DRUG OR MEDICINE	X		PAINFUL OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)				22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER—		B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:	
X		WORN GLASSES			BEEN PREGNANT		AGE AT ONSET OF MENSTRUATION
X		WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE	X		HAD A VAGINAL DISCHARGE		INTERVAL BETWEEN PERIODS
X		WORN HEARING AIDS	X		BEEN TREATED FOR A FEMALE DISORDER		DURATION OF PERIODS
X		STUTTERED OR STAMMERED	X		HAD PAINFUL MENSTRUATION		DATE OF LAST PERIOD
X		WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT	X		HAD IRREGULAR MENSTRUATION		QUANTITY: <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SCANTY
23. HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS? 1		24. WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? MONTHS 18 yrs		25. WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? FBI Agent		26. ARE YOU (Check one) XXX <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIGHT HANDED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT HANDED	

YES	NO	CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED "YES" MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT
	X	27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF: A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.
	X	B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS
	X	C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS
	X	D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)
	X	28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCES?
	X	29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)
	X	30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	X	31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	X	32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)
	X	33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)
	X	34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)
	X	35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)
	X	36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COLDS? (If yes, which illnesses)
	X	37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)
	X	38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge: whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)
	X	39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE

EDWIN R. TULLY

SIGNATURE

Edwin R. Tully

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in items 20 thru 39)

Usual childhood diseases. No comp. or seq.
Wears glasses for close work.
3 inch McBurney scar appendix W.H.
Tonsillectomy at age 6. No comp. or seq.
Denies all else.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

JOSEPH B. GUMPER, M.D.

DATE

21 Apr 60

SIGNATURE

Joseph B. Gumper

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

**Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner**

Name of Examinee
(Type or print)

TULLY EDWIN RAYMOND
Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	62
3	65
4	67
9	68
11	69
14	72
17	76

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

If examinee has defective vision, should he wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males


Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5' 4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5' 5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5' 6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5' 7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5' 8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5' 9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5' 10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5' 11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6' 1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6' 2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6' 3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6' 4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6' 5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

3. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☒ medium ☐ large

4. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose _____ pounds
☐ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: _____


 (Signature of Medical Examiner)

4-21-60
 (Date)

HEALTH BENEFITS REGISTRATION FORM

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES HEALTH BENEFITS ACT OF 1959

(Read Instructions on back of last page. Use only typewriter or ballpoint pen.)

CARRIER'S CONTROL NO.

3202526

PART A ALL WHO REGISTER MUST FILL IN THIS PART.	1. NAME (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE INITIAL)		2. DATE OF BIRTH (Use numbers)		3. Are you now married? YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 NO <input type="checkbox"/> 2
	TULLY, EDWIN R.		MONTH 11 DAY 30 YEAR 19		
	4. YOUR MAILING ADDRESS (NUMBER AND STREET) (CITY AND ZONE NUMBER) (STATE)			5. SEX MALE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 FEMALE <input type="checkbox"/> 2	
5754 Eula Ave., Cincinnati, 11 Ohio					
6. Are you covered by, or is any family member listed below covered by or enrolling in, a plan under the Federal Employees Health Benefits Act of 1959 (through the enrollment of another United States or District of Columbia Government employee or annuitant)? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			7. Place an "X" in proper box to show your annual basic salary range. UNDER \$4,000 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 \$4,000 TO \$5,999 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 \$6,000 TO \$9,999 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 \$10,000 OR OVER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4		

PART B FILL IN THIS PART IF YOU WISH TO ENROLL IN A HEALTH BENEFITS PLAN. If enrollment is for self only, answer item 1. If enrollment is for self and family, also answer item 2 and item 3 if it applies. THIS PART MUST ALSO BE FILLED IN IF YOU CHANGE YOUR ENROLLMENT.	1. I elect to enroll in a health benefits plan as shown below. I authorize deductions to be made from my salary, compensation, or annuity to cover my share of the cost of the enrollment. (Copy the information requested below from inside cover of brochure of the plan you select.)																										
	NAME OF PLAN SPECIAL AGENTS MUTUAL BENEFIT ASSOCIATION (FBI)		OPTION (HIGH OR LOW)																								
			ENROLLMENT CODE NUMBER 4 4 2																								
	2. In space below list all eligible family members without exception: List your wife or husband first, then your unmarried children under age 19, including legally adopted children, and stepchildren and illegitimate children who live with you in a regular parent-child relationship. Include also any unmarried child over 19 who became disabled before age 19 and who, because of the disability, is incapable of self-support. (Attach a doctor's certificate for a disabled child age 19 or over.)																										
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS</th> <th>DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)</th> <th>NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS</th> <th>DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Wife or husband Mildred</td> <td>[] 1</td> <td>Dau []</td> <td>[] 6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Son []</td> <td>[] 2</td> <td></td> <td>[] 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dau []</td> <td>[] 3</td> <td></td> <td>[] 8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>" []</td> <td>[] 4</td> <td></td> <td>[] 9</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>[] 5</td> <td></td> <td>[] 10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS	DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)	NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS	DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)	Wife or husband Mildred	[] 1	Dau []	[] 6	Son []	[] 2		[] 7	Dau []	[] 3		[] 8	" []	[] 4		[] 9		[] 5	
NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS	DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)	NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS	DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)																								
Wife or husband Mildred	[] 1	Dau []	[] 6																								
Son []	[] 2		[] 7																								
Dau []	[] 3		[] 8																								
" []	[] 4		[] 9																								
	[] 5		[] 10																								
3. If you are a female (employee or annuitant)—does the family listed above include a husband who is incapable of self-support by reason of mental or physical disability which can be expected to continue for more than one year? (If answer is "Yes," attach a doctor's certificate.) YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>																											

PART C FILL IN THIS PART IF YOU WISH NOT TO ENROLL OR IF YOU WISH TO CANCEL YOUR ENROLLMENT.	PLACE AN "X" IN ITEM 1 OR ITEM 2, WHICHEVER APPLIES AND ANSWER ITEM 3.	
	1. I elect not to enroll in any plan under the Health Benefits Act. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. I elect to cancel my present enrollment under the Health Benefits Act. <input type="checkbox"/>	3. The reason for my election is (Place an "X" in proper box): (a) I am covered by a plan under the Health Benefits Act through the enrollment of my husband, wife, or parent. <input type="checkbox"/> 1 (b) I am covered by a health insurance plan which is not under the Health Benefits Act. <input type="checkbox"/> 2 (c) Any other reason. <input type="checkbox"/> 3

PART D FILL IN THIS PART IF YOU WISH TO CHANGE YOUR ENROLLMENT.	I elect to change my enrollment as shown by the enrollment number and other information in Part B.		
	1. Enrollment code number of present plan. [] [] []	2. Number of event which permits change. (See table on back of duplicate for proper number.) []	3. Date of event which permits change. MONTH [] DAY [] YEAR []

PART E ALL WHO REGISTER MUST FILL IN THIS PART.	YOUR SIGNATURE—DO NOT PRINT 6/8/60 (DATE)		WARNING.—Any intentional false statement in this application or willful misrepresentation relative thereto is a violation of the law punishable by a fine of not more than \$10,000 or imprisonment of not more than 5 years, or both. (18 U.S.C. 1001.)

PART F TO BE COMPLETED BY AGENCY.	1. NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYING OFFICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASHINGTON 25, D. C. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED AGENCY OFFICIAL		2. DATE RECEIVED IN EMPLOYING OFFICE 6-13-60 P. J. H. L.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE OF ELECTION 7-1-60
			4. PAYROLL OFFICE NO. 15-C2-0001	5. PAYROLL ACTION (INITIALS AND DATE) 6-28-60

REMARKS FOR USE ONLY BY ANNUITANTS AND AGENCY.	75 orig to voucher stat 8-28-70 amb
--	--

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 6/28/60

Attention: Administrative Division

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati (67-956)

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
 Supervisor, Cincinnati Division
RECOMMENDATION FOR PROMOTION

Reference is made to annual performance rating dated 4/1/60 rating SA TULLY, GS-13, Supervisor of criminal matters in the Cincinnati Division, as "Excellent." Comments therein stand. Supervisor TULLY continues to display superior leadership qualities and attributes.

Supervisor TULLY handles a high volume of work. As of 6/27/60 there were 449 cases assigned to 25 agents directly under his supervision. Since 1/1/60 this case load has averaged 448 cases weekly, with a high rate of production.

Supervisor TULLY is responsible for the ITSMV category, which constitutes approximately 70 per cent of the case load on this desk. This is an important volume responsibility. SA TULLY, who has been supervisor on this desk since 7/29/59, is responsible for the following accomplishments in ITSMV matters:

In the first 11 months he has reported 102 convictions, compared with 75 for the previous year, an increase of 27. Fines, savings and recoveries are \$57,880.00 over the preceding year. Thirty fugitives have been apprehended, compared with 21 last year; 375 automobiles recovered, as compared with 363 last year. A substantial increase in all categories.

Supervisor TULLY is responsible for bank robbery investigations, which require immediate, decisive action in assembling the investigative squad at the outset of a bank robbery, directing its activities and following through to

2 - Bureau
 2 - Cincinnati

EDM:LM

(4)

REC-132

67-	235
Searched	Numbered
JUL 1 1960	
THREE	

the successful completion of the investigation. Here TULLY has done an outstanding job. During the current fiscal year there have been 13 bank robberies, of which 10 have been solved. In one of the unsolved cases two subjects have been identified and indicted. Further, during the current fiscal year there have been 4 bank burglaries, of which two have been solved.

Supervisor TULLY is responsible for direction of fugitive investigations involving Unlawful Flight, Parole and Probation violators, wherein it is necessary in numerous instances for on-the-scene supervision. In one case Supervisor TULLY personally apprehended, along with another agent, ROBERT GARFIELD BROWN, one of the Bureau's Top Ten Fugitives.

Supervisor TULLY has an outstanding personal appearance, a mature, business-like approach, is poised and confident, and capably handles the personnel under his direction. He has done a spectacular job in handling a number of public relations contacts, one of which is the President of the Union Central Life Insurance Company, wherein his efforts resulted in preparation and dissemination by that company of a brochure supporting the FBI. He has been eminently successful in these contacts.

The accomplishments of his desk are due to Supervisor TULLY's knowledge, devoted interest, and ability in building a hard-hitting team, and his positive, forthright approach to leadership. His knowledge and experience make him an outstanding prospect for administrative advancement.

Supervisor TULLY is a qualified general police instructor, an Inspector's Aide, and an Administrative Firearms Instructor. The comments of heads of police departments where he has instructed verify his excellent qualifications. He was previously approved as a Bureau speaker. During April and May special permission was obtained from the Bureau to use TULLY where expedient on a number of

CI 67-956

speeches. He handled these in an excellent manner, and the written comments praised his representation of the FBI.

SA TULLY is 5'11-3/4" tall, weighs 156 pounds, is of medium build, and is well within the maximum of 166 pounds. He is in good health. There are no limitations on his availability. His attendance has been satisfactory. His overtime for the first five months of this year reflects he was over the average three of the five months, consistently contributing a substantial share to the office work load.

Supervisor TULLY, a knowledgeable, experienced agent, has a broad perspective, resulting from years of administrative and supervisory experience. I consider he has outstanding qualifications for administrative advancement. He handles a volume of complex investigative problems. He has built a driving team on his squad, but has not lost sight of the over-all objectives of this office. He, himself, is a team man.

I respectfully submit that the Bureau favorably consider promoting Supervisor TULLY to Grade GS-14.

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

50-106-13

1. NAME (LAST [CAPS]—First—Middle—Mr.—Miss—Mrs.)

TULLY, EDWIN R. (MR.)

2. DATE OF BIRTH

11-30-19

3. IDENTIFICATION (optional)

05143

4. THIS IS AN OFFICIAL NOTICE OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION DESCRIBED BELOW, WHICH AFFECTS YOUR EMPLOYMENT. GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING YOUR EMPLOYMENT APPEARS ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM.

5. NATURE OF ACTION (standard terminology must be used)

PROMOTION

6. EFFECTIVE
DATE OF ACTION

7-24-60

7. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY

EXCEPTED BY LAW

FROM—
**Special Agent
FBI #54-F-183**

**Series 1811 GS 13
\$11,935 per annum**

8. POSITION TITLE
AND NUMBER

9. SERIES, GRADE,
SALARY

10. NAME AND
LOCATION OF
OFFICE BY
WHICH
EMPLOYED

11. DUTY
STATION

TO—
Special Agent

**GS 14
\$12,470 per annum**

☐ Yes

12. APPORTIONED
POSITION

☐ Yes ☐ Apportionment Waived
STATE: ☐ Proved

13. VETERAN PREFERENCE

No	5-pt.	10-pt. Disab.	10-pt. Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

14. TENURE GROUP

15. POSITION OCCUPIED IS IN THE:

<input type="checkbox"/> Competitive Service	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excepted Service
---	---

16. APPROPRIATION

From: S. & E., FBI
To: SAME

17. PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

CSR	FICA	FEDLI

18. DATE OF APPOINTMENT
AFFIDAVITS (accessions only)

19. REMARKS:

☐ a. Subject to completion of 1 year probationary (or trial) period commencing _____
☐ b. Service counting toward career (or permanent) tenure from: _____
Separations: Show reasons below, as required. Check, if applicable: ☐ c. During probation ☐ d. From appointment of 6 months or less

This promotion is temporary and will remain in effect only for the duration of present assignment.

67-107 RECORDED
27 JUL 19 1960

20. EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY
**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

21. OFFICE MAINTAINING OFFICIAL PERSONNEL FOLDER (if different than item 10, above)
**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.**

22. SIGNATURE (or other authentication) AND TITLE

J. E. Hoover
Director

23. DATE: **7-22-60**

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Callahan

DATE: 7-11-60

FROM : C. R. Davidson *CRD*
EW

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
 Supervisor - Criminal Desk #4
 Cincinnati Office ✓
 EOD 8-20-41 (Clerk); 1-4-43 (SA)
 GS-13, \$11,935
 RE: PROMOTION

Tolson _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Belmont _____
 Callahan _____
 DeLoach _____
 Malone _____
 McGuire _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

By memorandum dated 6-28-60 SAC Mason recommended SA Tully be promoted to Grade GS-14 based on his excellent supervisory performance in the Cincinnati Office. He stated SA Tully displayed superior leadership qualities and attributes, he handled a high volume of work and as of 6-27-60 there were 449 cases assigned to 25 Agents directly under his supervision. Since 1-1-60 this case load had averaged 448 cases weekly, with a high rate of production. He was responsible for various criminal matters with Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle cases constituting approximately 70 per cent of the case load on his desk. Accomplishments of his squad reflected that under his supervision they reported an increase of 27 Convictions for the first 11 months of fiscal year 1960 as compared with the same period during fiscal year 1959. Fines, Savings and Recoveries were \$57,380. over the preceding year; 30 Fugitives had been apprehended compared with 21 last year and 375 automobiles recovered, as compared with 363 last year. Bank Robbery cases were under his supervision and during the current fiscal year there had been 13, of which 10 had been solved. In one unsolved case two subjects had been identified and indicted. Further, during the current fiscal year there had been 4 bank burglaries, of which two had been solved.

A review of SA Tully's file reflects he entered on duty as a Special Agent 1-4-43 and served as a Supervisor in the Domestic Intelligence Division from 2-11-52 until 7-16-56 when he was designated ASAC in the Oklahoma City Office. He was promoted to Grade 13 on 3-29-53 and to Grade 14 on 9-25-55. By letter dated 6-20-58 he was Censured, Placed on Probation, Demoted from Grade GS-14 to Grade GS-13 effective 6-29-58 and Ordered Under Transfer to the Cincinnati Office on general assignment where he reported 6-29-53. This action was based on his failure to immediately advise the Bureau when he received information concerning the planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby. On 12-29-58 he was Removed From Probation, and on 4-16-59 Bureau approval was granted to utilize him as a Relief Supervisor and as a General Police Instructor in the Cincinnati Office. On 7-27-59 he was approved as Supervisor of Desk #4 in that office and he is presently serving in that capacity. Since that time he has been Censured once, by letter dated 2-2-60, as the result of an inspection, for deficiencies in his supervision of various phases of two Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicles ^{CASES}. On the other hand, he has been

FDM/dmr (2)
 Attachment (Permanent Brief)

Commended on 4 occasions since assuming full-time supervisory duties in the Cincinnati Office, three of these being through his SAC. On 2-11-60 Appreciation was expressed to him for a suggestion he submitted which was not adopted. He was rated Excellent on his 1960 annual report.

During the January, 1960, Cincinnati inspection Inspector H. L. Edwards stated Tully had an excellent appearance and personality, he had had well rounded experience in the field and was undoubtedly potential material for restoration to an ASAC position, however, the Inspector did not agree with SAC Mason that Tully was ready at this point for designation as SAC. It was felt before Tully was considered for an SAC position he should have more seasoning and development and at the present time he should be continued in his present assignment. He had an excellent attitude and the Inspector was impressed with his over-all qualifications. His 1960 annual performance report reflected he was interested in, available for and considered completely qualified for administrative advancement. His qualifications for such advancement were considered outstanding.

His daily average overtime has been in excess of the Division average 4 of the last 6 months and his over-all average for this period was approximately 3 hours 14 minutes per day.

As of 6-28-60 his weight was within desirable limits.

SAC Mason of the Cincinnati Office is presently in Grade GS-13 and ASAC E. C. Williams is in Grade GS-15. There are presently two full-time supervisors in that office, SA Tully and SA Ralph J. Rampton, and they are both in Grade GS-13. SA Rampton is being considered for GS-14 promotion also in a separate communication.

RECOMMENDATION:

Although SA Tully appears to be performing his supervisory duties in an excellent manner at the present time, in view of the recent letter of censure directed to him on 3-2-60 it is recommended he be passed over for Grade GS-14 promotion at this time to be reconsidered in October, 1960.

WJG
Recommend promotion now.
✓ 7/12
← I agree
7/12
Letter to JH
prop 7-13-60
etc
7/12

July 13, 1960

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Tully:

I am indeed pleased to advise you of your promotion to the position of Special Agent, \$12,470 per annum in Grade GS 14, effective July 24, 1960.

For your information, this promotion is temporary and will remain in effect only for the duration of your present assignment.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (PERSONAL ATTENTION)


1 - Miss Usilton

1 - Movement

Drle
(5)

Federal Pay Regulations permit granting employee highest previous rate earned in Grade to which repromoted. As Tully was previously in Step 2 of GS 14, (\$12,470 under new pay increase) he is being placed in Step 2 of GS 14 at this time.

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____



MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

JUL 13 2 31 PM '60
FBI
REC'D-READING ROOM
237

CRD
JUL 13 4 28 PM '60
EET
✓



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Cincinnati, Ohio

July 18, 1960

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Parsons	✓
Mr. Belmont	✓
Mr. Callahan	✓
Mr. DeLoach	✓
Mr. Malone	✓
Mr. McGuire	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Tamm	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Mr. Ingram	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I want to express my sincere appreciation
for my promotion to Grade GS-14.

My family and I are very proud of this
recognition and I am more determined than ever to
continue and to intensify my contributions to the
Bureau's productive work.

I feel that the Cincinnati Division is
a dynamic, hard-charging unit and offers a daily
challenge to exceed yesterday's accomplishments.

We in the FBI understand more clearly than
others the dangers which face our country in the
future in the fields of crime and subversion. Consequent-
ly, it is necessary that we rededicate ourselves to
the Bureau's principles of devotion and service.

I want to again thank you for the
promotion and assure you of my earnest efforts to
continue to improve in the Bureau's service.

Sincerely yours,

Edwin R. Tully
Edwin R. Tully, Special Agent
Cincinnati Division

no paper

93

38
~~EXP. PROC.~~
JUL 20 1960

238

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: July 21, 1960

FROM : J. P. MOHR

SUBJECT: PERSONNEL CHANGES

Tolson _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Belmont _____
 DeLoach _____
 Malone _____
 McGuire _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

By separate memorandum I have recommended that ASAC Eldon C. Williams be transferred from Cincinnati to the Training and Inspection Division to serve in a trial assignment as Inspector. It will be necessary to replace him as ASAC at Cincinnati. It is therefore recommended:

That SA Edwin R. Tully, Supervisor, Criminal Desk No. 4, Cincinnati Office, be designated as ASAC at Cincinnati with no change in grade or salary.

SA Tully entered on duty January 4, 1943, as a Special Agent, is in GS 14, \$12,470, 40 years old, married with four children and was born in Brooklyn, New York. He has a Bachelor of Science Degree and attended law school. He served in a number of field offices, and in the Domestic Intelligence Division prior to his designation as ASAC in Oklahoma City in July 1956. The Director saw him on July 5, 1956, and stated he made a good personal appearance and he rated him above average. During inspection of the Oklahoma City Office in November, 1956, the Inspector noted that he made an excellent personal appearance, was industrious, aggressive and was making good progress, and with additional experience would be capable of handling a larger office as ASAC; he was SAC material. During inspection of the Oklahoma City Office in November 1957, the Inspector commented that Tully gave the impression of being mature in action and manner, confident, practical, intelligent, and a person of sound judgment. He impressed the Inspector as being SAC material. In June, 1958, he was censured, placed on probation and demoted from grade GS 14 to grade GS 13 and transferred on general assignment to Cincinnati since he had failed to advise the Bureau immediately when receiving information concerning the planned kidnapping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby. Since being assigned to Cincinnati he has had an excellent record, was removed from probation in December, 1958, and in January, 1959, the Inspector commented during inspection of the Cincinnati Office that he presented an excellent appearance, was alert, intelligent, and after a period of evaluation should be considered for administrative advancement. In April, 1959, Mr. Tolson noted, "I think he can be used as instructor and as relief supervisor." This was approved by the Director. He was accordingly approved as a Relief Supervisor and General Police Instructor. In July, 1959, he was approved as supervisor of the No. 4 Criminal Desk in the Cincinnati Office. He has been commended on four occasions since being assigned to Cincinnati, three of them through his SAC. He was last censured on March 2, 1960, for deficiencies in two cases under his supervision. The Inspector commented, however, that Tully had an excellent appearance and personality, had well-

Enclosure
 WST:lae (2) *ST*

239

JUL 28 1960

Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Personnel Changes

rounded experience in the field, was undoubtedly potential material for restoration to an ASAC position, but felt that Tully needed additional seasoning and development. The Inspector was impressed with his over-all qualifications. In his annual rating in March, 1960, SAC Mason rated him Excellent and stated he had definite and superior leadership qualities, made an outstandingly fine appearance, handled personnel splendidly, was competent to investigate or supervise the most complex investigative undertakings and his qualifications for advancement were considered outstanding. He received a promotion to GS 14 effective July 24, 1960. He is within desirable weight limits. I believe Tully has learned his lesson since his demotion from ASAC at Oklahoma City, his subsequent record has proven his ability, and SAC Mason thinks highly of him.

gpc
7/22

lit
7-22-60
✓

DD
7/22

gpc

gh
7/22

PERMANENT BRIEF ATTACHED

off. 7-22-60
Bureau
prep. for
distribution
8-2-60 jf

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

50-106-13

1. NAME (LAST [CAPS]—First—Middle—Mr.—Miss—Mrs.) TULLY, EDWIN R. (MR)		2. DATE OF BIRTH 11-30-19	3. IDENTIFICATION (optional) 05143
4. THIS IS AN OFFICIAL NOTICE OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION DESCRIBED BELOW, WHICH AFFECTS YOUR EMPLOYMENT. GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING YOUR EMPLOYMENT APPEARS ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM.			
5. NATURE OF ACTION (standard terminology must be used) REASSIGNMENT		6. EFFECTIVE DATE OF ACTION 7-28-60	7. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY EXCEPTED BY LAW
FROM— Special Agent GS 14, \$12,470 per annum		8. POSITION TITLE AND NUMBER 9. SERIES, GRADE, SALARY 10. NAME AND LOCATION OF OFFICE BY WHICH EMPLOYED 11. DUTY STATION	TO— <i>Supervisory</i> Special Agent (ASAC) GS 14, \$12,470 per annum TITLE CHANGED TO SUPV. SA SERIES 1811 FBI #61-F-101 EFF. 4-30-61
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		12. APPORTIONED POSITION	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Apportionment Waived STATE: <input type="checkbox"/> Proved
13. VETERAN PREFERENCE No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5-pt. <input type="checkbox"/> 10-pt. Disab. <input type="checkbox"/> 10-pt. Other <input type="checkbox"/>		14. TENURE GROUP	
15. POSITION OCCUPIED IS IN THE: <input type="checkbox"/> Competitive Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excepted Service		16. APPROPRIATION From: S. & E., FBI To: SAME	
17. PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS CSR <input type="checkbox"/> FICA <input type="checkbox"/> FEGLI <input type="checkbox"/>		18. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVITS (accessions only)	
19. REMARKS: <input type="checkbox"/> a. Subject to completion of 1 year probationary (or trial) period commencing _____ <input type="checkbox"/> b. Service counting toward career (or permanent) tenure from: _____ Separations: Show reasons below, as required. Check, if applicable: <input type="checkbox"/> c. During probation <input type="checkbox"/> d. From appointment of 6 months or less			
20. EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION		22. SIGNATURE (or other authentication) AND TITLE <i>J. E. Hoover</i> Director	
21. OFFICE MAINTAINING OFFICIAL PERSONNEL FOLDER (if different than item 10, above) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION WASHINGTON 25, D. C.		23. DATE: 8-2-60	

61-1011-1000000
16 AUG 8 1960

July 22, 1960

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio


Dear Mr. Tully:

I am pleased to advise that you are hereby designated Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Cincinnati Office, with no change in grade or salary.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director



1 - SAC, Cincinnati EA Tully's designation as Assistant Special Agent in Charge is effective upon the departure of EA Williams for the Seat of Government. You should submit a 60-day performance rating on ASAC Tully.

- 1 - Mr. DeLoach
- 1 - Mr. Mohr
- 1 - Mr. Callahan
- 1 - Mr. Malone
- 1 - Mr. Clayton
- 1 - Mrs. Axtell
- 1 - Miss Usilton
- 1 - Mr. Newman

cim
(13)

*Noted + following
24-26 7-29-60*

JUL 22 3 10 PM '60
REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

240

Handwritten initials and signatures

SAC, Cincinnati

August 4, 1960

Director, FBI

Inspectors' Manual
ASAC Edwin P. Tilly

Perlet 7-29-60. Enclosed is Inspectors' Manual 498
for issuance to the above employee.

It is requested the enclosed property receipt be
signed and returned to the Bureau. Appropriate notation
should be placed on the Duplicate Property Record for this
employee.

Enclosures (2)

LFL:kk
(3)

241

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____



MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

637 U. S. Post Office & Court House Building
Cincinnati 2, Ohio
July 27, 1960

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Parsons	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Malone	
Mr. McGuire	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tamm	
Mr. Trotter	
Mr. W.C. Sullivan	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Ingram	
Miss Gandy	

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I am very proud and happy upon being advised that I have been promoted to the position of Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Cincinnati Division. I am grateful for the opportunity and wish to assure you that I shall do all within my power to justify this confidence.

The challenges in the Cincinnati Division to perform useful service for the Bureau are many, and I welcome the opportunity to produce the required results that I know we can achieve here.

Sincerely yours,

Edwin R. Tully

EDWIN R. TULLY

15-111111

17-	242
75	
8 AUG 11 1960	

30/80

31
~~EX-111~~
JUL 29 1960

7-57-60

August 5, 1960

PERSONAL

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Tully:

I am especially pleased to commend you for the excellent manner in which you discharged your responsibilities in connection with Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle matters in the Cincinnati Office.

The splendid accomplishments achieved in this category can be attributed in no small degree to your aggressive and highly competent supervision of these cases. You displayed exemplary leadership and your performance was certainly in keeping with our finest traditions. I do not want the opportunity to pass without expressing my appreciation.

AUG 5 3 58 PM '60
FBI
RECEIVED ROOM

243

MAILED TO
AUG 5 1960
COMM-FBI

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

Based on information submitted by you, Bureau has concluded that an award is not warranted for Mr. Tully.

AFH
(4)
67-211900

Based on memo from SAC, Cincinnati 7-26-60 and addendum Administrative Division, LRH:afh, 8-4-60.

- Tolson _____
- Mohr _____
- Parsons _____
- Belmont _____
- Callahan _____
- DeLoach _____
- Malone _____
- McGuire _____
- Rosen _____
- Tamm _____
- Trotter _____
- W.C. Sullivan _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Ingram _____
- Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE: 7/26/60

FROM : SAC, CINCINNATI

SUBJECT: RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD

Name of Employee	Where Assigned	Payroll Number
EDWIN R. TULLY	CINCINNATI	05143
Position, Grade and Salary	EOD Date	
Field Supervisor, Grade GS-14, \$12,470.00	1/4/43	

AMOUNT recommended: \$200.00 (Consult scale on reverse side in determining amount of award.)

BASIS for this recommendation is as follows: (Check one or more as facts justify.)

- ☐ 1. Sustained above-average performance for such period of time as would be reasonable under the circumstances, that merits recognition. (Point out specifically how performance is considered superior. Use examples and illustrations wherever possible. In addition to results attained advise what employee has done to achieve outstanding results.)
- ☒ 2. Exemplary performance of assigned tasks whereby previously unattained records of production are achieved. (Set forth production record with appropriate comparisons.)
- ☐ 3. Exemplary or courageous handling of an emergency situation in connection with or related to official employment. (Describe in detail, listing specific risks or dangers involved and results achieved.)
- ☐ 4. Ideas which have resulted in improved operations. (Summarize ideas and specific improvements therefrom. Set forth first year's net savings, if any, and how computed.)
- ☐ 5. Performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties. (List specific obstacles, problems, hardships, sacrifices, etc., as well as unusual investigative techniques utilized with results achieved, setting forth precisely how employee overcame obstacles, etc.)
- ☐ 6. Creative efforts, including inventions or techniques, which have increased efficiency, or improved the service. (Describe in detail listing benefits and/or savings resulting.)

JUSTIFICATION: (Set forth below, and attach supplemental page(s) as necessary, a clear, concise report of employee's performance in justification of award. Be specific and omit generalities. Give facts, not conclusions. Not only advise what was accomplished, but how it was accomplished, placing emphasis on performance. Remember that these justifications must be adequate. They may be subject to post-audit outside the Bureau but do not withhold information for security reasons since neither this form nor any confidential information will be made available outside the Bureau for such post-audits.)

The Cincinnati Office had a banner year in garnering statistics in the ITSMV Classification. Comparative figures are shown as follows:

2 - Bureau
2 - Cincinnati

RJR:CN (See Investigative Division's
(4) Recommendations page 2 A)
Enclosure
Addendum Administrative Division Page 2b.

AUG 4 1960

MR. JONES
AUG 1 4 58 PM '60
AUG 1 12 33 PM '60
AUG 1 11 52 AM '60
AUG 1 11 59 AM '60
AUG 2 10 05 AM '60
AUG 9 10 59 AM '60
AUG 34 11 11 AM '60

REC'D-CRIMINAL SEC. FBI
REC'D-CRIMINAL SEC. FBI
RECEIVED-TOLSON FBI
RECEIVED-PARSONS
RECEIVED-ALLEN
RECEIVED-ADAMS

CASH AWARD SCALE

TANGIBLE BENEFITS -- Amount of cash award for contribution resulting in tangible benefits (such as a suggestion resulting in saving of money) is normally based on, but not necessarily limited to, estimated net monetary savings for first full year of operation following adoption.

(Estimated first year's net savings)	Amount of Award
\$200	\$10
\$201 - \$1,000	\$10 for the first \$200 in savings and \$5 for each additional \$100 or fraction thereof.
\$1,001 - \$10,000	\$50 for the first \$1,000 in savings and \$5 for each additional \$200 or fraction thereof.
\$10,001 - \$100,000	\$275 for the first \$10,000 in savings and \$5 for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof.
\$100,001 - or more	\$725 for the first \$100,000 in savings and \$5 for each additional \$5,000 or fraction thereof.

INTANGIBLE BENEFITS -- Amount of cash award where contribution cannot be estimated on a monetary basis, or results in monetary savings and intangible benefits, shall be determined on basis of its value or benefit to over-all Bureau operations after full consideration of such factors as significance or value of contribution, extent and scope of application, personal danger or risks involved, and importance of program affected.

Table I - Where Personal Danger or Risks, Are Not Dominant Factor:

Value of Benefit to Entire Bureau	Extent of Application to Entire Bureau		
	Limited	Broad	General
Minor	\$10 - \$50	\$50 - \$100	\$100 - \$150
Moderate	\$100 - \$150	\$150 - \$300	\$300 - \$500
Major	\$300 - \$500	\$500 - \$725	\$725 - \$1000
Extraordinary	\$725 - \$1000	\$1000 - \$2000	\$2000 - \$5000

Table II - Where Personal Danger or Risks Are Dominant Factor:

Value of Benefit to Entire Bureau	Personal Danger or Risk Involved		
	Limited	Substantial	Exceptional
Minor	\$10 - \$50	\$50 - \$100	\$100 - \$150
Moderate	\$100 - \$150	\$150 - \$300	\$300 - \$500
Major	\$300 - \$500	\$500 - \$725	\$725 - \$1000
Extraordinary	\$725 - \$1000	\$1000 - \$2000	\$2000 - \$5000

	<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>1960</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u> <u>1959</u>	<u>Change</u>
Automobiles Recovered	387	382	+5
Convictions	109	87	+22
Fines, Savings & Recoveries	\$476,998	\$443,016	+33,972
Fugitives	35	29	+6

This is an enviable record of accomplishments and can be attributed in part to the enthusiasm, initiative and high level performance of duty of the agent personnel of the Cincinnati Office. The greater credit, however, goes to Supervisor TULLY for his exemplary supervision and leadership ability. He spark-plugged the aggressive drive of the agents on his Squad and the other agents who contributed to this statistical accomplishment through characteristic drive and leadership ability. Supervisor TULLY instilled a spirit of team work in the personnel under his supervision to achieve these outstanding results. He not only was successful in stimulating an almost killing pace throughout the year to garner these statistics, but maintained a substantial statistical accomplishment in other classifications assigned to his supervision. There is no doubt that his was the major contribution to the favorable results achieved in the Cincinnati Division in this highly important statistical category and, therefore, this recommendation is being made for this superior performance.

This contribution is considered of broad, moderate benefit.



RECOMMENDATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD
SA EDWIN R. TULLY

SAC, Cincinnati, in instant memo advised the Cincinnati Office had a banner year in accomplishments in the ITSMV classification. As noted in this memo, the Cincinnati Office increased accomplishments in automobile recoveries, convictions, fines, savings and recoveries, and ITSMV fugitives. It is noted, however, the current Bureau statistical report reflects the Cincinnati Office is a minus 3% in over-all fugitive apprehensions in fiscal 1960. It is further noted the increase in accomplishments by the Cincinnati Office does not appear to be of an exceptional degree.

It is further noted that although SAC, Cincinnati points out that Supervisor Tully spark-plugged the aggressive drive of the Agents on his squad through his leadership ability, he does not set forth any specific examples where Supervisor Tully demonstrated this ability. The SAC has not advised of any extraordinary barriers or hardships that Supervisor Tully overcame in order to produce accomplishments in this instance.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION:

Based on the above, it is not believed that Supervisor Tully's contributions are over and above those ordinarily expected. Therefore, a meritorious award is not being recommended.

(over)

ADDENDUM ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION, LRH:afh, 8-4-60

Although Supervisor Tully's performance was not such as to warrant an incentive award, it is felt he should be commended for his supervision which contributed to the accomplishments in the ITSMV classification.

If approved, an appropriate letter is attached.

EP

Mr. peral 8/5

*Tully has
since been
made
circ. to.
8/5*

*OK
8/5*

mm

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

August 9, 1960

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~returned~~

Inspectors' Manual #498

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

51
NOT RECORDED
18 AUG 19 1960

Very truly yours,

(Written
Signature)

Edwin R. Tully

(Typed
Signature)

Edwin R. Tully

FILE
3M
He

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (66-629-10)

DATE: 8-9-60

FROM : SAC, CINCINNATI (66-2753)

SUBJECT: BUREAU CODES → CINCINNATI

REBULET 7-27-60. EMPLOYEES WILLIAM H. HARRIS AND []
[] HAVE BEEN TRAINED IN AFSAM 7, MANUAL OF OPERATION AND
ROUTINE MAINTENANCE. THEY HAVE BEEN GIVEN ACCESS TO CODE ROOM AND
COMBINATION TO SAFE HOUSING CRYPTOMATERIALS.

PLEASE REMOVE THE NAME OF ASAC ELDON C. WOLLIAMS AS HAVING
ACCESS TO CODE ROOM AND COMBINATION TO SAFE-FILE CABINET HOUSING
CRYPTOMATERIALS. PLACE THE NAME OF ASAC EDWIN R. TULLY ON LIST AS
HAVING ACCESS TO CODE ROOM AND COMBINATION TO SAFE FILE HOUSING
CRYPTOMATERIALS. MR. TULLY IS NOW FAMILIAR WITH SECTION 111, AFSAM7
MANUAL OF OPERATION AND ROUTINE MAINTENANCE.

- ① - BUREAU (66-629-10)
1 - CINCINNATI (66-2753)

HFG:JB

(2)

8/11/60
DEPT. OF JUSTICEauto - stop
Crypt. Trans. Unit
8/12/60
DHL

ENC 11 11 25 AM '60

RECEIVED

4 sent
8-18-60
TWO 3366-629-10 -
NOT RECORDED
10 AUG 15 1960BUTLER
STEWART

STEINER

PERS. FILES

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

August 18, 1960

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~returned~~ //New Commission Card with case # 162 ✓
(ASAC)

RETURNED

Old Commission Card with case # 771 ✓READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours, 3-M

(Written
Signature)(Typed
Signature)PER Edwin R. Tully
Edwin R. Tully



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

RE: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
(Type or print plainly)

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of \$10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name Mildred Tully	Relationship Wife	Date 9/9/60
Address 5754 Eula Drive, Cincinnati 11, Ohio		

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

Name Mildred Tully	Relationship Wife	Date 9/9/60
Address 5754 Eula Drive, Cincinnati 11, Ohio		

Very truly yours,

Edwin R. Tully
 Special Agent

OCT 3 1960

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Handwritten notes:
 10/1/60
 J. Edgar Hoover
 ST
 pac/303/1000

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY

Where Assigned: Cincinnati
 (Division)

(Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Assistant Special Agent in Charge

Rating Period: from 7/28/60 to 9/28/60

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
 Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Handwritten initials: ERT

Rated by:

Handwritten signature: C. Dawson

Special Agent
in Charge

9/29/60

Signature

Title

Date

Reviewed by:

Handwritten signature: W. P. Callahan

Signature

Title
Assistant Director

OCT 3 1960

Rating Approved by:

Signature

Title

Date

TYPE OF REPORT

() Official
 () Annual

(X) Administrative
 (X) 60-Day
 () 90-Day
 () Transfer
 () Separation from Service
 () Special

67-

246

OCT 3 1960

Handwritten note:
3-100

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

OCT 5 12 32 PM '56

RECEIVED
OCT 5 1956

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Assistant Special
Agent in Charge

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLY

Title Assistant Special Agent in Charge
Rating Period: from 7/28/60 to 9/28/60

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
~~+~~ Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
~~E~~ Excellent.
~~✓~~ Satisfactory (good or very good).
~~-~~ Unsatisfactory.
~~O~~ No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|---|---|
| + (1) Personal appearance. | E (17) Firearms ability. |
| + (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | ✓ (18) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| + (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | + (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + (a) Investigative reports + (b) Summary reports + (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: + conciseness; + clarity; + organization; + thoroughness; + accuracy; + adequacy and pertinency of leads; + administrative detail.) |
| + (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | E (20) Performance as a witness. |
| + (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | + (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + (a) Leadership + (b) Ability to handle personnel + (c) Planning + (d) Making decisions + (e) Assignment of work + (f) Training subordinates + (g) Devising procedures + (h) Emotional stability + (i) Promoting high morale + (j) Getting results |
| E (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | + (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + (a) As leader + (b) As participant |
| E (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | + (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| + (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | + (24) Ability to work under pressure. |
| E (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | E (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Dictation ability |
| E (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | |
| + (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | |
| + (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | |
| + (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | |
| O (14) Technical or mechanical skills. | |
| + (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> O (a) Internal security cases + (b) Criminal or general investigative cases + (c) Fugitive cases + (d) Applicant cases + (e) Accounting cases | |
| E (16) Physical surveillance ability. | |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Assistant Special Agent in Charge.

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): Executive.

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No. 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)


E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING:

EXCELLENT

Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS



RE: EDWIN R. TULLY
ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
SIXTY-DAY PERFORMANCE RATING

PART I GENERAL COMMENTS

Mr. TULLY assumed the position of Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Cincinnati Division July 28, 1960. Cases assigned to his desk are all in the criminal field and relate to the most important classifications, such as Kidnaping, Extortion, Impersonation, Civil Rights, Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property, Bank Robbery, and others.

Mr. TULLY was commended by the Director August 5, 1960, for the excellent manner in which he discharged his responsibilities as Supervisor of the Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle matters in the Cincinnati Office during the fiscal year which ended June 30, 1960. The Director specified:

"The splendid accomplishments achieved in this category can be attributed in no small degree to your aggressive and highly competent supervision of these cases. You displayed exemplary leadership and your performance was certainly in keeping with our finest traditions. I do not want the opportunity to pass without expressing my appreciation."

Mr. TULLY is an approved Police Instructor and a public speaker, and has been active in both fields.

Mr. TULLY was promoted to GS-14, effective July 24, 1960.

Although this performance rating relates primarily to his services as Assistant Special Agent in Charge, cognizance is also taken of his superior performance as Supervisor of criminal matters in the Cincinnati Division, for it was upon this foundation and the experience in that capacity that he

Employee's Initials: ERT

has built his highly favorable performance as Assistant Special Agent in Charge.

Mr. TULLY has consistently shown the capacity for handling a high volume of work with proper attention to detail and enviable finesse in the discharge of administrative and paper work responsibilities. He is a leader who handles personnel in superior fashion and expects each employee to discharge his duties in accordance with his top capacity. Mr. TULLY is hard-driving, demanding, considerate, understanding, and possessed of a capacity to look far ahead in the identifying of possible trouble points, pitfalls, or accomplishment opportunities.

He has shown decisiveness, versatility, adaptability, equilibrium, a sense of humor, and strong dedication in all of his work. TULLY is an excellent team player and his partnership with the Special Agent in Charge in divisional management is in accord with the Bureau's highest wishes.

He is alert to the opportunities for obtaining accomplishments along statistical lines in the evaluation, investigation, supervision and reporting of criminal cases.

His personal appearance is marked by maturity, poise, confidence, capability, and a businesslike approach. His personal dress, grooming and deportment are outstandingly fine.

He has met a number of SAC Contacts and has been instrumental in the developing of other contacts who can be of service to the Bureau, and his performance in contact and public relation work is little short of spectacular. He has cemented relations with law enforcement agencies of the area and is respected by the individual officers with whom he has been in contact.

Employee's Initials: 

He is 5' 11-3/4" tall, weighs 156 pounds, has medium frame, and is therefore within desirable weight limitations. There are no limitations on his availability; avidly interested in administrative advancement and ultimate assumption of SAC duties; excellent health, attendance record very favorable; overtime at the level showing his devotion and also his leadership through example, in that he exceeds office average.

In a nutshell, Mr. TULLY is knowledgeable, experienced, broad in perspective, capable in leadership, competent in executive performance, dependable and resourceful in investigative know-how; outstanding in personal appearance, and well qualified for top FBI leadership, to which he aspires in the field service.

PART II SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given

Not applicable.

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide

Qualified but not used during the rating period.

3. Participation in Informant Program


Satisfactory--his work has been entirely supervisory.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability

Excellent.

5. Disciplinary Action

Not applicable.

Employee's Initials: 

6. Accounting Information

Not applicable.

7. Police Instruction

Outstanding and frequently used during the period.

8. Sound Training

Not applicable.

9. Resident Agents

Not applicable.

10. Foreign Language Ability

Not applicable.

11. Administrative Advancement

Agent is

- | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| (a) Interested in | Yes <u>X</u> | No <u> </u> |
| (b) Completely Available For | Yes <u>X</u> | No <u> </u> |
| (c) Considered completely qualified
at present for administrative
advancement including ex-
perience, ability, personality,
and appearance | Yes <u>X</u> | No <u> </u> |
| (d) Would you consider qualifica-
tions Very Good, Excellent,
Outstanding? | <u>OUTSTANDING</u> | |

Employee's Initials:

November 23, 1960

Lieutenant Colonel Robert Klug
Assistant Chief
Police Department
310 Lincoln Park Drive
Cincinnati 14, Ohio

Dear Colonel Klug:

It was indeed considerate of you to write on November 14 regarding assistance provided by our Cincinnati Office in connection with the In-Service training program for Detectives and Patrolmen of your Department.

It is, of course, a pleasure for us to cooperate in matters of this nature. You can be sure Messrs. Tully, Youngs, Lill and Kirwan will appreciate, as I do, your kind comments and thoughtfulness in writing.


Sincerely yours,

5 - Cincinnati - Enclosures (5)

- 1 - Personnel File of Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of Eugene W. Youngs - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of John W. Lill, Jr. - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of Kenneth R. Kirwan - Enclosure

NOTE: Bufiles show cordial relations with Lieutenant Colonel Robert Klug who is on the mailing list to receive the Law Enforcement Bulletin. Edwin R. Tully is ASAC, Cincinnati. Eugene W. Youngs EOD 5-1-39 is assigned Cincinnati in GS-13. Kenneth R. Kirwan EOD 3-27-51 is assigned Cincinnati in GS-12. John W. Lill, Jr. EOD 12-15-40 as Clerk, 2-9-42 as SA is assigned Cincinnati in GS-13.

AFH:elw
(12)





City of Cincinnati

C. A. HARRELL
CITY MANAGER

DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY
DIVISION OF POLICE
CINCINNATI 14, OHIO

ORIS E. HAMILTON
DIRECTOR OF SAFETY
STANLEY R. SCHROTEL
POLICE CHIEF

November 14, 1960

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

Earlier this year, our Division called upon your Bureau for assistance in an In-Service program for Detectives and Patrolmen. We asked for a presentation based upon the recent Auto Theft Conference, sponsored by your Bureau at Evendale, Ohio.

Arrangements were made through S.A.C. Edmund D. Mason, of your Cincinnati office, for a two-hour session to be conducted every Tuesday morning over a period of 25 weeks. This was done in a most effective way, with the help of

A.S.A.C. Edwin Tully
S.A. Eugene W. Youngs
S.A. Robert Lill
S.A. Ken Kerwan.

Please accept our sincere thanks for a contribution which made the program a success.

Very truly yours,

Robert Klug
Robert Klug
Asst. Police Chief
Personnel Bureau

RK:emw

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 11/23/60

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
ASAC, CINCINNATI

L. J. Henderson
Campbell

We are unable to find in our file any date of specific approval of ASAC TULLY as a General Police Instructor. His authority as a General Police Instructor undoubtedly stems from the fact that he is an ASAC, it being common knowledge that SACs and ASACs are approved as General Police Instructors without a particular course of training.

Please review Seat of Government file and advise what we should use as date of approval and basis.

2 - Bureau
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:ESG
(3)

REC-135

67-2/1960-247	
Searched	Numbered
21	6

THREE

Let act 12/2/60
EDS:mgj

2 - J

SAC, Cincinnati

12/2/60

Director, FBI (67-211900)

EDWIN R. TULLY
ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
CINCINNATI OFFICE

Reurlet 11/23/60, requesting information as to specific approval of ASAC Tully as a General Police Instructor.

Bureau records indicate that by Bulet 4/16/59, captioned "EDWIN R. TULLY, SPECIAL AGENT, PERSONNEL MATTER," you were authorized to use SA Tully as a General Police Instructor. This authorization was given in view of the fact that while he was assigned as a supervisor at the Seat of Government, Mr. Tully presented training material to New Agents' classes and In-Service classes, and while serving as ASAC of the Oklahoma City Office he participated in numerous police schools.

NOTE: By letter 2/20/59 SAC Mason inquired whether the experience and service of Mr. Tully were sufficient to qualify him as a General Police Instructor. SAC Mason pointed out Mr. Tully was formerly ASAC of the Oklahoma City Office, in which capacity he participated in a number of police schools.

In memo 2/26/59 it was pointed out that on 6/20/58, Mr. Tully was censured, placed on probation, reduced in grade, and transferred on general assignment to the Cincinnati Division for his atrocious judgment in performing his official duties while ASAC at Oklahoma City. Specifically, on 6/13/58 he received information concerning the planned kidnapping of Mrs. Bing Crosby but did not immediately notify his SAC or the Bureau. It was further pointed out that while no information was located in Mr. Tully's personnel file to indicate he had been specifically qualified as a General Police Instructor, he had presented training material to New Agents' classes and In-Service classes. It was further pointed out in the 2/26/59 memo that, in view of SA Tully's experience and personal qualifications, it appeared he could serve capably as a General Police Instructor, but it did not appear he should be used as such at that time. Accordingly, Cincinnati was advised by Bulet 3/3/59 that SA Tully should not be used as a General Police Instructor at that time, but if it was desired that the request be resubmitted in July, 1959, the matter would be considered.

In memo 4/14/59 a concise summary of SA Tully's record was set

(Cont'd page 2)

EDS:mgj

(4)

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Letter to SAC, Cincinnati

forth, pursuant to the Director's request. By letter to the Director 4/10/59, SAC Mason had highly recommended SA Tully as potential SAC material. The Director noted: "Let me see summary." In the 4/14/59 memo it was pointed out that SA Tully's SAC had previously recommended him for Relief Supervisory duty and as a police instructor. Mr. Tolson noted: "I think he can be used as instructor and as relief supervisor." The Director concurred. Accordingly, by Bulet 4/16/59, Cincinnati was authorized to use SA Tully as a relief supervisor and a General Police Instructor. On 7/22/60 Mr. Tully was designated ASAC of the Cincinnati Office.

SAC, Cincinnati

January 30, 1961

Director, FBI

IN-SERVICE TRAINING

You should report to the Seat of Government, Room 5240, May 29, 1961, at 9:30 A.M. for attendance at Criminal In-Service Training for a period of twelve days.

Instruct ASAC Tully to report to the Seat of Government, Room 5240, March 27, 1961, at 9:30 A.M. for attendance at Criminal In-Service Training for a period of twelve days.


Confirm attendance.

mbp
(4)

- 1 - PERSONNEL FILE OF EDMUND D. MASON
- ① - PERSONNEL FILE OF EDWIN R. TULLY

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Trotter _____
Evans _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐



December 28, 1960

Mr. Edmund B. Mason
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Edwin R. Tully

Dear Mr. Mason:

I am taking this opportunity to commend, through you, the agents of the Cincinnati Division who capably carried out their assignments in the investigation of the Bank Robbery case involving [redacted]

These men contributed a great deal to the success achieved in the apprehension of this dangerous subject through the excellent skill, teamwork and devotion to duty which they exhibited in discharging their responsibilities. Their services were in keeping with our highest standards and I want you to convey my sincere thanks to all for a job especially well done.

Sincerely yours,

1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)

Place copies of this letter in files of agents who participated in this investigation but were not individually commended.

1 - Miss Gellion (Sent Direct)

68
67-NOT RECORDED

10 FEB 28 1961

DUPLICATE YELLOW

OVER

b6
b7c

Edmund D. Mason

Copies prepared and attached for placing in the following files:

ASAC Edwin R. Tully

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Thomas B. Estep

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

W. Dodson Hanes

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

John W. Lill, Jr.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Gerard J. O'Brien

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Kenneth M. Raupach

[REDACTED]

Paul A. Scranton

Robert S. Stewart

Eugene I. Tuggey, Jr.

Allen G. West

Bartel Zandstra

2-1-61

CODE

RADIOGRAM

URGENT

SAC CINCINNATI

FROM DIRECTOR FBI

CRIMINAL IN-SERVICE TRAINING. YOU SHOULD ADVISE ASAC TULLY
THAT HIS CRIMINAL IN-SERVICE TRAINING FOR MARCH TWO SEVEN,
ONE NINE SIX ONE, HAS BEEN CANCELED AND HE SHOULD REPORT
MONDAY, FEBRUARY SIX, ONE NINE SIX ONE, FOR CRIMINAL
IN-SERVICE TRAINING. SURAD CONFIRMATION.

PAC: CINC
(4)

1 - Miss Usilton

NOTE: Above dates have been checked with Training and Inspection and
Crime Records Divisions and there are no conflicts.

CL. 0 11730
SAC. OK
OK. 7/5/61
APPROVED BY
TYPED BY

Tolson _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Malone _____
McGuire _____
Rosen _____
Trotter _____
Evans _____
W. C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

VIA RADIOGRAM

FEB 1 - 1961

3:08 PM - DJ

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SAC, Cincinnati

2/23/61

Director, FBI

Tully, Edwin R.
SPECIAL AGENT

The above-captioned Special Agent attended the following training course(s):

In-Service: from 2/6/61 to 2/17/61

☒ Criminal ☐ Accounting
☐ Security ☐ Expert Firearms-Defensive Tactics
☐ _____

The firearms scores should be entered on the individual field firearms training record (FD-40). The following grades were attained:

Notebook	100
Examination	100
Double-Action Course	98
Practical Pistol Course	8/25
Shotgun	97
Rifle	92
Machine Gun	

Specialized Training:

From

To

Admin. Firearms: _____
 Inspector's Aide: _____
 _____: _____

MAILED 31

FEB 24 1961

COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
 Parsons _____
 Mohr _____
 Belmont _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Malone _____
 Rosen _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

1 ASA C TULLY, EDWIN R.

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

March 6, 1961

Mr. John R. Shryock
Chief of Police
Kettering, Ohio

My dear Chief:

Your letter of February 24, 1961, has been received, and I do want to express my thanks for your thoughtfulness in writing concerning our recent program at the University of Dayton. The success of this event was a source of great encouragement to me, and it will be a pleasure to convey your generous remarks to my associates who participated.

Sincerely yours,

3 - Cincinnati - Enclosures (3)

1 - Chief Inspector Sullivan - Enclosure


① Personnel File of SA Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure

1 - Personnel File of SA [redacted] - Enclosure

NOTE: Bufiles contain no derogatory information regarding correspondent who has been most cooperative with Agents in his area. Our only prior outgoing to him was on 10/18/59. SA Edwin R. Tully, EOD 8/20/41 as temporary clerk, 10/20/41 as permanent clerk, 1/4/43 as SA and is assigned as ASAC at Cincinnati in GS-14. SA [redacted] EOD 2/14/55, assigned at Cincinnati in GS-12.

CJH:njs

(10)





CITY of KETTERING, OHIO

AX 9-3544

MUNICIPAL BUILDING
112 W. DOROTHY LANE

February 24, 1961

J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

It gives me great pleasure to report the outcome of the Seminar on "Concentrated Communism", held at the University of Dayton, Ohio, February 21, 1961.

There were approximately 600 persons present, while the seating capacity was 306.

This program was a tremendous success because of the able assistance of the following members of your staff:

- Chief Inspector W. C. Sullivan
- SAC E. D. Mason
- ASAC Edwin R. Tulley
- SA [redacted]

These men are to be commended, not only for the subject matter but for the capable method of presentation.

Warmest personal regards, I remain

Sincerely,

John R. Shryock
JOHN R. SHRYOCK
Chief of Police

JRS:tm

March 1, 1961

Mr. C. H. Reynolds
General Manager
Goodyear Atomic Corporation
Post Office Box 828
Portsmouth, Ohio

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

Your letter of February 21, 1961,
has been received.

You were very kind to write concern-
ing the Seminar recently conducted at Our Lady of
Cincinnati College. I appreciate your interest in
giving me the benefit of your observations. The
favorable reception afforded this program was a
source of great encouragement to me, and you may
be sure that my associates share my appreciation
of your generous comments.

Sincerely yours,

DUPLICATE YELLOW

3 - Cincinnati

- 1 - Mr. William C. Sullivan - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Eugene W. Youngs - Enclosure
- ① - Personnel File of ASAC Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure

NOTE: Bufiles contain no derogatory information regarding Reynolds.
We wrote to him on 2-24-61, furnishing an autographed copy of "Masters
of Deceit," and expressing appreciation for courtesies extended by him
in making it possible for Special Agents of the Cincinnati Office to
become familiar with the operation of his plant. Mr. Reynolds is
being placed on the Special Correspondents' List. Chief Inspector

67-101-10000
CJH:nss
(10) 1251

(SEE NEXT PAGE)

Mr. G. H. Reynolds

NOTE continued:

William C. Sullivan and Special Agent in Charge Edmund D. Mason appeared on the above program on 2-20-61. Special Agent Eugene W. Youngs, EOD 5-1-39, in GS-13, assigned Cincinnati. SA Edwin R. Tully, EOD 8-20-41 as temporary clerk, 10-20-41 as permanent clerk, 1-4-43 as Special Agent, GS-14, ASAC Cincinnati.

Goodyear Atomic Corporation

P.O. Box 628

Portsmouth, Ohio

PLANT SITE:
PIKE COUNTY, OHIO

ACTING UNDER U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION CONTRACT AT-(33-2)-1

TELEPHONE:
WAVERLY, OHIO

TELEGRAMS:
WUX-PORTSMOUTH, OHIO

February 21, 1961

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

Seminar - Concentrated Communism
Our Lady of Cincinnati College
February 20, 1961

Yesterday, in company with several of my Goodyear Atomic Corporation associates and members of the Atomic Energy Commission from both Portsmouth and Oak Ridge, I had the opportunity to attend the Seminar on Concentrated Communism, which was given at Our Lady of Cincinnati College.

This program was conducted under the direction of Special Agent E. D. Mason, of the Cincinnati Office of the FBI. In attendance, along with the invited guests, was a large number of students from the College, nuns who had charge of the students, as well as visiting nuns and visiting members of the Catholic clergy.

The television stations in Cincinnati cooperated to the extent that a closed circuit TV arrangement was made for those people who were unable to get into the assembly hall, and a large number of people were able to enjoy the proceedings because of the accommodations, which were so thoughtfully arranged by the College and the television stations.

The College authorities very graciously served a very fine lunch to all the attendees of the conference, and this gesture was very much appreciated.

The program was excellent and extremely well managed by Mr. Mason. Everyone who took part, Messrs. Sullivan, Youngs, and Tully, are to be commended for the work that was done. Messrs. Thornberg and Black, editors of the Cincinnati newspapers, acted as moderators for the panel discussions which were held for the

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover

-2-

Feb. 21, 1961

morning and the afternoon sessions. Participation in the form of submitting questions was very good, and the students of OLC submitted so many intelligent questions that it was impossible, within the limits of time, to answer them all. The quality of the questions reflects in large measure the excellence of the instruction and training which these young ladies have had.

It is my firm conviction that this means of combating communism in the halls of learning, among the young people, is one of the most effective means that we have available, and whoever conceived the idea of this particular medium is deserving of our appreciation.

Again, let me say I enjoyed the Seminar very much. I wish to commend the Bureau for having conducted it and, in particular, I want to say that your men handled the affair capably.

Sincerely,

GOODYEAR ATOMIC CORPORATION



G. H. Reynolds
General Manager

GHR/vm

cc Mr. E. D. Mason, Special Agent
FBI, Cincinnati, Ohio

Sister Mary Virginia, President
Our Lady of Cincinnati College
Cincinnati, Ohio

February 17, 1961

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

On February 6, 1961, I saw Assistant Special Agent in Charge Edwin R. Tully of the Cincinnati Field Division, who was attending the Criminal In-Service Training Class in Washington.

I discussed with Mr. Tully some of the matters in the Cincinnati Office, particularly the fact that I noted there was a large number of classes of cases in excess of 8% in delinquency and this was undesirable and should be immediately corrected.

I called Mr. Tully's attention to the fact that I noted the Cincinnati Office had dropped 46% in Fines, Savings, and Recoveries for the first six months of the current fiscal year when compared with a similar period of the previous fiscal year and he should look into this situation.

I discussed with Mr. Tully the fact that I noted the Agents were spending too much of their time in the office, it being noted that for December it was 25.57%.

I stressed to Mr. Tully the necessity for developing quality informants, both in the security and criminal fields and in connection with the latter, the necessity for intensifying intelligence coverage of the activities of racketeers and hoodlums.

I called Mr. Tully's attention to the fact that I noted there were 15 violations of the Federal Bank Robbery Statute which were unsolved and this is most undesirable and he should bear down on these to bring about an improvement.

Mr. Tully left with me the attached report which had been prepared on the Cincinnati Office.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

249

SENT FROM D. O.	
TIME	8:30 PM
DATE	2/17/61
BY	

Tolson _____
Parsons _____
Mohr _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

Attachment (with original)

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

JEH:EDM (5)

March 8, 1961

Sister Mary Virginia, R.S.M.
President
Our Lady of Cincinnati College
Edgecliff
Walnut Hills
Cincinnati 6, Ohio

My dear Sister:

Your letter of February 21, 1961, with enclosures, has been received. It was very thoughtful of you to send me the religious articles, and you were also kind to send me the other material pertaining to the program conducted at your College on February 20. My associates enjoyed being with you on this occasion, and you may be sure they will share my pleasure in your generous remarks.

I appreciate your interest in "Masters of Deceit." This book was intended as a basic text on communism, and it is most reassuring to know that it is being utilized by your students. Please accept my thanks for your prayers and kind sentiments. I hope you will feel free to call on us whenever we can be of service to you.

Sincerely yours,

3 - Cincinnati - Enclosures (3)


1 - Chief Inspector Sullivan - Enclosure

① - Personnel File of SA Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure

1 - Personnel File of SA Eugene W. Youngs - Enclosure

CJH:njs:hwg:edm (10)

SEE NOTE NEXT PAGE



Sister Mary Virginia, R. S. M.

NOTE: Bufiles contain no information identifiable with correspondent. She enclosed newspaper clippings concerning the program conducted in her institution on 2/20/61, a religious card and a Sacred Heart badge. SA Edwin R. Tully, EOD 8/20/41 as temporary clerk, 10/20/41 as permanent clerk, 1/4/43 as SA, assigned ASAC at Cincinnati in GS-14. SA Eugene W. Youngs, EOD 5/1/39, assigned Cincinnati in GS-13. The badge which correspondent enclosed is correctly described as a "Sacred Heart badge."

OUR LADY OF CINCINNATI COLLEGE

EDGECLIFF, WALNUT HILLS

CINCINNATI 6, OHIO

February 21, 1961

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

The initial Institute on Communism, conducted Monday February 20, 1961 at Our Lady of Cincinnati College by members of your Department, drew praise and enthusiasm from the entire audience. Your men held the attention of their listeners throughout the day-long panel.

We are deeply grateful to you for making this type of program possible. Mr. Ed Mason glowingly referred to you as "the inspiration" of the entire Bureau.

The business, industrial, and other educational leaders who joined our Faculty and students during the day were deeply impressed with the excellent manner in which Mr. William Sullivan and Mr. Mason handled the program. Mr. Edwin Tully and Mr. Eugene Youngs gave very fine talks, and the key citizens whom Mr. Mason called upon the stage also acquitted themselves admirably.

You will be interested in knowing that our College, under the guidance of Mr. Irwin S. Rhodes, offers a two-hours a week, two-semester course on "Communism," and uses the best textbook available - Masters of Deceit.

Be assured of the continued prayers of all the Sisters at Our Lady of Cincinnati College that God will bless and protect you and your colleagues, Mr. Hoover, and grant each of you success in your wonderful work.

Sincerely,

Sister Mary Virginia, R.S.M.
Sister Mary Virginia, R.S.M.
President

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson _____
 Parsons _____
 Mohr _____
 Belmont _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Malone _____
 Rosen _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 W.C. Sullivan _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

TO : Mr. A. H. Belmont

DATE: 3/2/61

FROM : Mr. W. C. Sullivan

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
 ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
 CINCINNATI DIVISION
 ADMINISTRATIVE

Recently, I spent an entire week in the Cincinnati Division. I saw a great deal of Assistant Special Agent in Charge Edwin R. Tully. I talked with him at great length. Also, he participated with me in seminars and I had a chance to observe the way he handled some of his personnel. I consider this man to be a very superior Assistant Special Agent in Charge and one who is right now able to run his own office and most successfully. He has a high intelligence, possesses excellent judgment, makes an outstanding personal appearance and is quick, precise, accurate and forceful in his thinking and in handling himself. Generally, he is a real asset to the Bureau.

RECOMMENDATION:

For the information of the Administrative Division.

- 1 - Mr. J. P. Mohr
- 1 - Mr. John Malone
- 1 - Mr. Callahan
- 1 - Mr. Belmont
- 1 - Mr. Sullivan

WCS:paf
 (6)

REC-135

67- 211 - 250
8 MAR 9 1961

40

3-6-61

Mr. A. H. Belmont

3/2/61

Mr. W. C. Sullivan

SEMINARS ON COMMUNISM
CINCINNATI DIVISION
FEBRUARY 20 THROUGH 27
INFORMATION CONCERNING
(CENTRAL RESEARCH MATTER)

I just returned from participating in some 11 seminars, lectures and conferences in the Cincinnati Field Division. They were excellently organized. Additionally, there was participation on various radio and television programs.

I was most favorably impressed by the talent, ability and effectiveness of three Bureau employees who participated in the seminars. These employees are: 1) Special Agent Eugene W. Youngs; 2) Senior Resident Agent [redacted]; and 3) Assistant Special Agent in Charge Edwin R. Tully. These men all presented outstanding lectures and were excellent in answering questions. It was very obvious that they had put a tremendous amount of work into the preparation of these lectures and had the mature talent necessary for presenting material in a very interesting and effective manner. The response to their lectures on the part of the audiences was most enthusiastic and prolonged.

I do believe that these men who performed in such a superior manner should be considered for letters of commendation.

I wish to call attention to the very real possibility of the seminar approach to get across the Bureau's viewpoints where large audiences are present. By this, I mean audiences made up of 500 persons and above. In Cincinnati, we had five Bureau speakers participating. This gives the audience a change in personalities which is a good thing. It is more interesting to listen to four or five well prepared speakers, each with his own speciality, than to listen to just one. Additionally, members of the audience seem to like addressing their questions to different individuals. I do think

- 1 - Mr. J. F. Mohr
 - 1 - Mr. John Malone
 - 1 - Mr. Callahan
 - 1 - Mr. DeLoach
 - 1 - Mr. Belmont
 - 1 - Mr. Sullivan
 - 1 - Personnel File (Edwin R. Tully)
 - 1 - Personnel File [redacted]
 - 1 - Personnel File (Eugene W. Youngs)
- NCS:paf (9)

IN LIEU OF YELLOW

Memorandum Sullivan to Belmont
RE: SEMINARS ON COMMUNISM
CINCINNATI DIVISION
FEBRUARY 20 THROUGH 27

that this seminar approach should be kept in mind. We would present the subject of communism, crime or the general organization and work of the FBI. Of course, it requires more organization and effort, but the time and effort can be well spent.

RECOMMENDATION:

That consideration be given to commending the three men mentioned above.

March 8, 1961

PERSONAL

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Mr. Tully:

It is a pleasure to commend you for your splendid participation in a series of seminars recently held in the Cincinnati Division.

You did an unusually fine job in the preparation and delivery of your lecture and your performance was a credit to you and to the Bureau. I am aware of the excellent impression made on those attending these seminars and I want you to know I certainly appreciate your superior services.

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

- 1 - SAC, Cincinnati (Personal Attention)
1 - Miss Usilton (Sent Direct)

AFH:cmt cmt
(5)

Tolson _____
Parsons _____
Mohr _____
Belmont _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
W.C. Sullivan _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 25
MAR 8 - 1961
COMM-FBI

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

67-211900 - 257
9 MAR 9 1961

REC-131

mem

eps

MAR 7 5 04 PM '61
FBI

msc
inc

March 15, 1961

Mr. William E. Reynolds
Post Office Box 38
West Carrollton, Ohio

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

Your letter of March 7, 1961, with enclosure, has been received. I was indeed pleased with the favorable response afforded the program at the University of Dayton on February 21, and your generous comments regarding the participation of my associates are deeply appreciated.

I thought you might like to know that I have written to Dr. Huth concerning this matter. In view of the interest you indicated, I am enclosing some material which you may like to read.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures (3)

SEE NEXT PAGE

3 - Cincinnati - Enclosures (3)

1 - Mr. W. C. Sullivan - Enclosure

① - Personnel file of SA Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure

1 - Personnel file of SA [redacted] - Enclosure

NOTE: Bufiles contain no derogatory information regarding correspondent.

It is noted that Dr. Edward A. Huth is on our Special Correspondents' List, and we wrote to him concerning the program at the University of Dayton on 3-6-61. SA Edwin R. Tully, EOD 8-20-41 as temporary clerk, 10-20-41

as permanent clerk, 1-4-43 as SA, assigned ASAC Cincinnati in GS-14. SA [redacted] EOD 2-14-55, assigned Cincinnati in GS-12.

67-NOT RECORDED
10 MAR 17 1961

DUPLICATE YELLOW

b6
b7c

Mr. William E. Reynolds

Enclosures (6)

Director's Speeches of 10/3 & 10/18/60

What You Can Do To Fight Communism

3/60 LEB Introduction & 17th Convention CP USA

Communist Target--Youth

Expose of Soviet Espionage

THE WILLIAM E. REYNOLDS AND SON CO., INC.

GENERAL CONTRACTORS

POST OFFICE BOX 36
ELM STREET AND N. Y. C. R. R.
WEST CARROLLTON, OHIO

March 7, 1961

b6
b7c

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

Dear Director Hoover,


Please find attached hereto, program in regard to recent seminar on Concentrated Communism conducted by Dr. Edward A. Huth, Head of Department of Sociology University of Dayton.

Mr. Ed Mason along with W.C. Sullivan, [REDACTED] and E.R. Tully of your department did an outstanding job. Many persons were forced to stand on account of the outstanding acceptance of this seminar.

You and your department are to be congratulated again. If you have the time, I would deem it a favor if you would drop Dr. Huth a note.

With best wishes and kind regards, I remain

Very truly yours,


William E. Reynolds

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati (80-636)

SUBJECT: COMMUNISM SEMINARS
CINCINNATI DIVISION

DATE: 2/27/61

BACKGROUND

The Bureau approved a series of all-day seminars on Communism, featuring Chief Inspector WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN as principal speaker on such topics as "Dialectic Materialism," "How the Communists Operate," and "Communist Intelligence Activities."

Additional lectures were approved for ASAC EDWIN R. TULLY on "Fact Finding -- Why and How," and for Special Agent EUGENE W. YOUNGS at the Cincinnati seminars (Senior Resident Agent [redacted] at the Dayton seminar) on the topic, "Security of Government Employees and Other Clearance Programs." SAC was the master of ceremonies at each seminar and spoke on "Philosophy of Government under Law," as well as "Why Be Concerned with Communism." In each instance there was a question and answer period, wherein newspaper officials served as Moderators.

Where the seminars were held:

- (1) 2/20, Our Lady of Cincinnati College, with a full auditorium and proceedings relayed by closed circuit television to corridors and lobbies to handle an overflow crowd of several hundred.
- (2) 2/21, University of Dayton, Dayton, Ohio, Whilleben Auditorium, completely filled with an overflow crowd, with even newspaper officials seated on stone steps after all chairs were used; several hundred movable chairs

2 - Bureau
4 - Cincinnati (80-636)

EDM:ESG
(6)

XEROX
MAR 28 1961

pushed in for maximum seating and close to 300 people standing up from 9 A.M. until after 3 P.M., when the seminar ended. The interest was so great that approximately one-third of the people refused to leave their seats at lunchtime and skipped lunch in order to be assured of seating for the afternoon session.

- (3) Several hundred Sisters of Charity and Sisters of Notre Dame brought in from hundreds of miles by their Superiors for a two-hour lecture by Chief Inspector SULLIVAN morning of 2/22.
- (4) Meeting of 1,000 clergymen, all faiths, afternoon of 2/22.
- (5) Cincinnati Rotary Club--500 Rotarians and wives, noon, 2/23.
- (6) Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, 3 P.M., 2/23--an overflow crowd with many standees.
- (7) 7:30 P.M., 2/23, audience of approximately 500 doctors, attorneys and various reservists of all branches of the armed forces--Cincinnati.
- (8) 2/24, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio, two hours on "Communism and Intelligence," with every auditorium seat taken and many standees.
- (9) 2/25 (Saturday), Cold War Strategy Seminar, Columbus, Ohio.
- (10) 2/27, University of Cincinnati, a six-hour seminar similar to the first ones mentioned.

RESULTS

Overwhelming acceptance. Some persons who had planned to stay only for the first hour or so remained all day. Highly favorable press coverage. Glowing comments of commendatory

nature from heads of educational institutions, clergy and attendees. Very warm comments concerning the abilities of Chief Inspector WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN and the characteristic cooperation of Director HOOVER in arranging the seminars.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Chief Inspector WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN--strong commendation for his scintillating performance and the extremely high prestige he has brought to FBI among highest levels of educational and clergy leaders.
2. Letters of commendation for the speaking participants, including ASAC EDWIN R. TULLY, SA EUGENE W. YOUNGS (two seminars), and [redacted] (Dayton seminar). Each of these men did an outstandingly fine job and carefully prepared himself to uphold the highest traditions of the Bureau and make strong impact on the audience.
3. Letter of appreciation to SAC Contact IRWIN S. RHODES, Attorney at Law, Union Central Building, Cincinnati 2, Ohio, who participated throughout all seminars and served as a member of the panel during the question and answer period. The presence of RHODES made it possible for him to answer questions which the FBI could not in good taste handle.
4. Letters of appreciation to the Moderators of the various Panels, as follows:

b6
b7c

*Recs 3 & 4 being handled in Corr. + Town on copy. 7/6/64
RVA*

CI 80-636

Mr. BRADY BLACK, Executive Editor, The Cincinnati Enquirer,
617 Vine Street, Cincinnati 2, Ohio;

Mr. DICK THORNBURG, Editor, The Cincinnati Post and Times-Star,
800 Broadway, Cincinnati 2, Ohio;

Mr. CHARLES E. SCRIPPS, Chairman of the Board, The E. W.
Scripps Company, The Cincinnati Post and Times-Star, 800
Broadway, Cincinnati 2, Ohio;

Mr. LAWRENCE H. ROGERS, Executive Vice-President, Taft
Broadcasting Company (WKRC), 1906 Highland Avenue, Cincinnati 19,
Ohio;

Mr. R. MARSHALL STROSS, Assistant Managing Editor, The
Dayton Journal-Herald, 111 East Fourth Street, Dayton, Ohio;

Mr. CARL ROBERTS, City Editor, The Dayton Daily News,
Fourth and Ludlow Streets, Dayton, Ohio.

JRH
ADDENDUM: JRH:mbb 3-6-61

b6
b7C

The Crime Records Division concurs in the recommendations of SAC,
Cincinnati that Chief Inspector William C. Sullivan, ASAC Edwin R. Tully and
Special Agents Eugene W. Youngs and be commended for their
excellent work in this outstanding FBI public relations project. In addition, it is
recommended that SAC Mason also be commended for his role in promoting,
organizing and carrying out the over-all arrangements.

Edmund D.

DM
3-8-61
aff

ms

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Handwritten signature/initials
Hester

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY, #05143

Where Assigned: Cincinnati Headquarters City
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Assistant Special Agent in Charge, GS-14

Rating Period: from 4/1/60 to 3/31/61

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Handwritten initials: ERT

Rated by: *Handwritten signature* Special Agent 3/31/61
Signature Title Date

Reviewed by: *Handwritten signature* Assistant Director APR 12 1961
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: *Handwritten signature*
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

(X) Official
(X) Annual

RLC-146

() Administrative
() 60-Day
() 90-Day
() Transfer
() Separation from Service
() Special

APR 13 1961 60

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION.
UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLYTitle Assistant Special Agent in Charge, GS-14Rating Period: from 4/1/60 to 3/31/61

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
 - E Excellent.
 - ✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
 - Unsatisfactory.
 - 0 No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>E</u> (17) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>+</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>+</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>E</u> (20) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>+</u> (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>+</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) As leader <u>+</u> (b) As participant |
| <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | <u>+</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. |
| <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>+</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> Dictation ability _____ |
| <u>+</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | |
| <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | |
| <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | |
| <u>E</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. | |
| <u>+</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>0</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>+</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>0</u> (e) Accounting cases | |
| <u>E</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Assistant Special Agent in Charge.B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): Administrator - desk man.

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
 Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

ERT

RE: EDWIN R. TULLY
ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
ANNUAL PERFORMANCE RATING

PART I GENERAL COMMENTS

Mr. TULLY is the Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Cincinnati Division and he is now in his second office as an ASAC. The partnership relation he has with the Special Agent in Charge is close, harmonious, effective, and of the type which has given Mr. TULLY every conceivable aspect of experience in the management of a field division to such a degree that he is, to all intents and purposes, not only SAC material, but experienced in every facet. There is no question but what he could effectively operate a field division as SAC, and his capacity is so broad that this evaluation need not be limited to small offices.

Mr. TULLY makes an outstandingly fine personal appearance. His poise, intelligence, knowledge, executive capacity, dress, intimate knowledge of FBI policies and procedures, contact abilities, and public relations interests can be characterized only as outstanding.

Obviously, from the above comments, Mr. TULLY is eminently qualified to handle complicated investigative matters and to supervise them. He is outstanding in ability for leading raids and dangerous assignments, and has effectively, repeatedly, demonstrated this characteristic in on-the-scene supervision. Although adept at paper work management, he has been top-notch in providing on-the-scene leadership in many hazardous and delicate undertakings. There are no limitations on his ability; no physical limitations.

He is without peer in serving as a creditable representative of the FBI. He is judicious in speech,

Employee's Initials: BRT

businesslike in demeanor, aggressive in performance, personable in relationship, polished and smooth in all undertakings. He handles personnel splendidly. His broad perspective of policies and procedures, accumulated over the years, makes him adept at application and excellent results inevitable. He has developed personnel under him into a smooth, hard-hitting team, with a highly favorable record.

He is an excellent team player and thinks on a broad plane, rather than with the narrow perspective which dims the vision of some executives. He has no such defect.

Mr. TULLY was commended by the Director August 5, 1960, for the excellent manner in which he discharged his responsibilities of Supervisor of ITSMV matters in the Cincinnati Division during the fiscal year which ended June 30, 1960. The Director characterized TULLY's accomplishments as "splendid" and cited Mr. TULLY's aggressiveness and highly competent supervision, exemplary leadership, and performance in keeping with the FBI's finest traditions.

TULLY is an approved Police Instructor and a public speaker. In the latter capacity he has distinguished himself as one of the finest speakers in the Cincinnati area. He is in constant demand as a speaker and there have been numerous commendatory communications to the office following his appearances before local organizations. He is used to a lesser degree as an Instructor. Nevertheless he has affirmatively participated in the instructional program, also with commendable evaluations.

He is in excellent physical condition and within desirable weight limits.

In connection with an anniversary of importance to the FBI, TULLY did a fine job of creative writing, later used by media. His press contacts and public relations services have been unstinting, effective, and productive.

Employee's Initials: ERT

Shares the Director's commendation of June 13, 1960, for zero delinquency as catalogued at end of May.

Promoted to GS-14, July 24, 1960, at which time he was designated Assistant Special Agent in Charge.

Shares Director's commendation December 22, 1960, for excellent skill, teamwork and devotion exhibited in the successful handling of [redacted] a Bank Robbery subject.

During February, 1961, the Cincinnati Division set up a series of Communism Seminars at colleges and universities. Principal speaker, Chief Inspector WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN, was excellently supported by ASAC TULLY, who spoke at each, resulting in high praise and enhancement of the FBI's prestige. There are numerous favorable letters on record from highly placed persons who were among the 9,000 attending the Seminars and each favorably mentions the work of Mr. TULLY.

The Director commended Mr. TULLY March 8, 1961, for his splendid participation in these Seminars and his unusually fine job in the preparation and delivery of his lectures. The Director's comments have been echoed by school superintendents, college presidents, and other officialdom of this locale.

Commended September 23, 1960, for excellent work in the Bank Robbery case involving [redacted]. Director mentioned splendid skill, intelligence, resourcefulness and leadership.

Shares the Director's commendation of October 12, 1960 for effective arrangements and preparation for a cook-out for law enforcement officials, held within the Cincinnati Division. This affair was well received, and the subject of many complimentary remarks, with resulting enhanced FBI prestige.

Employee's Initials: ART

I am particularly pleased with his progress in the development of contacts who can be of service to the Bureau. Certain of these prominent personalities developed by him have been of inestimable value, not merely from a local aspect, but from a national-contributor standpoint. He has appeared in radio broadcasts and television programs with versatility and credit.

TULLY is the strongest investigative employee, supervisor and executive in this division.

Rating: EXCELLENT.

Employee's Initials: ERT

PART II SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given

Not applicable.

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide

Qualified, but not used during rating period.

3. Participation in Informant Program

Excellent.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability

Excellent.

Employee's Initials ERT

5. Disciplinary Action

Not applicable.

6. Accounting Information

Not applicable.

7. Police Instruction

Outstanding; frequently used; monitored by SAC.

8. Sound Training

Not applicable.

9. Resident Agents

Not applicable.

Employee's Initials ERT

10. Foreign Language Ability

Not applicable.

(a) Specific language in which proficient:

(b) Did Agent complete language school?

Yes _____ No _____

(c) Is Agent fluent to the extent that he can handle typical investigative problems in

(1) conversation form?

Yes _____ No _____

(2) written form?

Yes _____ No _____

(d) Rate Agent excellent, very good, good, fair, or unsatisfactory in ability to

(1) read

(2) write

(3) speak

(4) understand

(3) Frequency of use during rating period

11. Administrative Advancement

Agent is

(a) Interested in

Yes X No _____

(b) Completely available for

Yes X No _____

(c) Considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement including experience, ability, personality, and appearance

Yes X No _____

(d) Would you consider qualifications Very Good, Excellent, Outstanding?

OUTSTANDING*

(e) If not completely qualified at present, does he have potential for future administrative advancement

Yes _____ No _____

*Please see supplemental page.

Employee's Initials ERT

11. Administrative Advancement (cont.)

Comments:

Unquestionably this man could immediately assume the position of SAC here or elsewhere without further training. He could also effectively serve the Bureau as an Inspector. I think it is to his benefit and to that of the Bureau to give him at least a couple more months in Cincinnati, during which time he will engage in intensified participation in radio and television programs, thus enabling him to do an outstanding job in each if transferred to a position providing such an opportunity.

Employee's Initials: ERT

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: March 15, 1961

FROM : J. F. Malone

SUBJECT: INSPECTION - CINCINNATI OFFICE
INSPECTOR JOSEPH L. KISSIAH
FEBRUARY 17 - MARCH 1, 1961

Tolson	_____
Parsons	_____
Mohr	_____
Belmont	_____
Callahan	_____
Conrad	_____
DeLoach	_____
Evans	_____
Malone	_____
Rosen	_____
Tavel	_____
Trotter	_____
W.C. Sullivan	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Ingram	_____
Gandy	_____

SYNOPSIS

Officials: Edmund D. Mason, SAC since 8/27/56. Edwin R. Tully, ASAC since 7/29/60. Eldon C. Williams, now member of Inspection Staff, ASAC from last inspection until 7/29/60. Last inspection: January 16 - February 5, 1960.

Physical Condition and Maintenance - Very Good. Office on 3 floors in U. S. Post Office and Court House. Will be in contiguous space within next 6 months. Bureau approved plans will make Cincinnati Office space excellent. Present space immaculate, secure, accessible. Bureau cars very good condition. Repair costs below field average. Operating costs per mile slightly above field average.

Investigative Operations - Good. Case load 2/1/61, 1838 cases, delinquency below field average. Cases closed and assigned above field average. As of 2/1/61, accomplishments up in convictions, fugitives, and automobiles recovered, down in fines, savings and recoveries. 4 substantive errors for 0.33% (field-wide - 0.23%). Security Informant Program rated very good; Criminal Informant Program rated excellent. Since last inspection Cincinnati has had 15 bank robberies, 10 solved. Cases receiving close supervisory and investigative attention.

Administrative Operations - Good. Case supervision and administrative responsibilities equitably distributed. Time in office, January, 1961, was 27.19%, excessive; SAC instructed to reduce. Resident agency survey revealed average cases assigned and closed above field average. Form errors 6.11%, slightly above field average. Chief Clerk's Office and stenographic pool operating satisfactorily. Communications and travel costs have increased. SAC ordered to reduce.

Personnel Matters - Very Good. All available employees passed required tests. Two Agents on limited duty - justified. No Agents overweight or on probation. Bureau applicant quota exceeded. Voluntary overtime above field average, equitably shared, necessary and productive. Personnel adequate. Employees working hard; morale excellent.

Enclosure *sub 3-16-61*

1 - Mr. Callahan (Attention: C. R. Davidson) (sent separately)

JLK:mbk/dlh

(6)

61-14000-1-172X1
APR 27 1961
APR 28 1961
Hyde

Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Cincinnati Office

Contacts - Excellent. Cincinnati has 25 SAC Contacts, all developed by Mason but one. Inspector met 5 and found them impressive. During 1960, SAC Mason made more speeches than any other SAC. Now has 4 radio shows and 2 television programs each week. Enjoys extremely favorable press relations and has submitted 30 contributions to "The Investigator" which Bureau considers outstanding. Police training program diversified and effective. Liaison program effective. During inspection several seminars on communism held in Cincinnati Division, organized by SAC Mason with Chief Inspector William C. Sullivan as principal speaker, and most favorable impression made.

RECOMMENDATIONS: 1. SAC Edmund D. Mason, GS-16 @ \$16,295, not on probation, SAC, Cincinnati since 8/27/56. SAC Mason makes an impressive personal appearance, dominates any given situation, is completely dedicated and loyal to the Director and the Bureau, has made most dynamic impact on Cincinnati Division, enjoying high status as Bureau official and private citizen. States completely available but professes strong preference to remain in Cincinnati where believes he can most effectively operate not only for that division but for the Bureau through his contacts. Director is aware of family health problems involving wife and son. SAC Mason rated outstanding in 1960 Annual Performance Rating and given Incentive Award for high degree of competence. If approved, the attached letter summarizing inspection findings will be sent.

2. ASAC Edwin R. Tully; GS-14 @ \$12,470, has been in this position since 7/29/60; previously served as ASAC, Oklahoma City, 7/16/56 to 6/20/58, when removed for bad judgment and transferred as agent to Cincinnati. Mr. Tully makes an excellent personal appearance, has a winning personality, is a dedicated, loyal Bureau employee with excellent prospects for advancement. SAC Mason believes Tully capable of assuming position of SAC in office the size of Cincinnati now but would like to give him additional training, particularly in public relations field, for next 3-4 months prior to any transfer. Inspector concurs with Mason's evaluation of ASAC Tully's capabilities but believes he should have inspection experience prior to SAC appointment. 2 substantive errors found on ASAC Tully's desk, both attributed to others. He has been strongly admonished to insure closer supervision by subordinates and no censure warranted.

3. Recommendations regarding other personnel handled separately.

Memorandum to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Cincinnati Division

DETAILS

(1) PHYSICAL CONDITION AND MAINTENANCE VERY GOOD

Cincinnati Office located on Floors 3, 4 and 6 of U. S. Post Office and Court House Building but contracts let to make Bureau-approved improvements which will provide contiguous space on 4th Floor within estimated 6 months. This should make Cincinnati Office space excellent. Current space immaculate, secure, and accessible to local and Federal officials. Bureau cars inspected and found in very good condition; no deficiencies affecting safety. Average cost of repairs per mile .010¢ as compared with average of Bureau cost of .014¢. Cincinnati costs per mile to operate Bureau automobiles .068¢ as compared with .062¢ for all Bureau cars. SAC instructed to reduce cost of operation.

(2) INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS GOOD

Case load as of 2-1-61 was 1838 (1461 active, 377 inactive) with 41 active matters delinquent, or 2.81%. From January, 1960, through January, 1961, office has closed from 767 to 1227 cases per month and case load has been as high as 2273 cases in November, 1960, indicating heavy volume. Case load should again rise as soon as employees now involved in important security investigations and other expedite matters are free.

4 fugitive files containing missed deadlines out of 120 cases, or 3.33% (field average 4.09%). No pending civil rights cases and no integration problems in Cincinnati Division. Average cases closed and average case load, November, 1960, through January, 1961, above field average.

As of 2-1-61 accomplishments up in convictions (plus 4%), fugitives (plus 6%), and autos recovered (plus 8%), down in fines, savings and recoveries (minus 69%) attributable to extraordinarily large recoveries realized in Veterans Administration, Antitrust, and Miscellaneous classifications during comparable period in 1960. Major statistics-producing classifications of cases being given aggressive investigative and supervisory attention in effort to make over-all statistical improvement.

4 substantive errors found in 4 out of 1195 files for 0.33% (field-wide 0.23%). Errors involved improperly prepared signed statement, failure to meet reporting fugitive deadline, omission of complete title, and failure to submit extortion note promptly. (Administrative action handled separately.)

Security program rated very good. 15 security informants now, 4 less than last year; however, potential security informants increased by 3 to 8 and examination of program indicates Cincinnati making concentrated efforts to obtain

Memorandum to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Cincinnati Division

information of foreign intelligence nature. Prosecutions in past and lack of Communist Party leadership have disrupted Communist Party in Cincinnati Division. Membership consists of 15 positively identified and 3 tentatively identified. No information indicating existence of underground in Cincinnati Division which has key informants in position to follow such activities. Reserve Index Program receiving adequate attention and Bureau deadlines being met.

Criminal Informant Program rated excellent; criminal informants increased 8, or 14.81% since last inspection, and potential criminal informants increased 111, or 144.16%; good turnover. All resident agencies have at least one criminal informant and program receiving close attention. Since last inspection, Cincinnati has had 15 bank robbery violations, 10 solved. Cases receiving close supervisory and investigative attention.

(3) ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS GOOD

Case supervision, administrative duties and contact responsibilities equitably shared by SAC and supervisory staff. Time in office, January, 1961, was 27.19%, considered excessive and SAC instructed to reduce. Resident agency survey reveals cases closed, case load, and voluntary overtime above field average and assignments fully justified. Form errors slightly above field average at 6.11%. Chief Clerk's Office operations steadily improving and should improve further when approved additional space obtained. Stenographic work satisfactory although production 3.43 pages per hour compared with field average 3.45; retypes low.

Communications and travel costs have increased, attributable to major cases, In-Service, applicant recruiting, and transfers. SAC ordered to reduce. Employee work records have small number of errors due to SAC's close supervision and demand for accuracy; no errors found affecting fringe benefits.

(4) PERSONNEL MATTERS VERY GOOD

All available employees passed required tests. 2 agents on limited duty justified and both sharing work load. No agents overweight or on probation. Bureau applicant quota exceeded by 17. Voluntary overtime 3:38 in November, 1960; 3:13 in December, 1960, and 3:19 in January, 1961, as compared to field average of 2:55, 2:43, and 2:51, respectively. Equitably shared, necessary, and productive.

Clerk-agent ratio 56% (89 Special Agents and 50 clerks) as compared with field-wide average of 60%. SAC requests no additional Agents at present time and none believed necessary. Employees working diligently under hard-driving, competent SAC and morale excellent.

Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Cincinnati Division

(5) CONTACTS EXCELLENT

Cincinnati has 25 SAC Contacts, all developed by SAC Mason but one. Inspector met 5 and found them impressive. During 1960, SAC Mason made more speeches than any other SAC, has 4 radio shows and 2 television programs each week. Enjoys favorable press relations, has submitted 30 contributions to "The Investigator" which Bureau considers outstanding. Police Training Program diversified and effective; liaison programs effective. During inspection seminars on communism held at various colleges and universities in Cincinnati Division. These were organized by SAC Mason, were the first of such activities in the field, and principal speaker was Chief Inspector William C. Sullivan, who made very favorable impression. SAC Mason is doing an excellent job in selling the Bureau in the Cincinnati Division.

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

1. LAST NAME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE NAME TULLY, Edwin Raymond			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Civilian (Age 41)		3. IDENTIFICATION NO. -				
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5754 Eula Dr. Cincinnati 11, Ohio			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION FBI Annual Physical		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 29 Mar 61				
7. SEX Male		8. RACE Cauc.		9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE MILITARY - CIVILIAN 18		10. AGENCY Justice Dept.		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT - FBI	
12. DATE OF BIRTH 30 Nov 19 (41)		13. PLACE OF BIRTH New York, N.Y.		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN (Wife) Same as #4					
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS USAF Hospital W/P, USAF, WPAFB, Ohio				16. OTHER INFORMATION -					
17. RATING OR SPECIALTY -				TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total) -		LAST SIX MONTHS -			

CLINICAL EVALUATION		
NOR- MAL	(Check each item in appropriate column; enter "NE" if not evaluated.)	ABNOR- MAL
X	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP	
X	19. NOSE	
X	20. SINUSES	
X	21. MOUTH AND THROAT	
X	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)	
X	23. DRUMS (Perforation)	
X	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 59, 60 and 67)	
X	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC	
X	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)	
X	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)	
X	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)	
X	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)	
X	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)	
	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)	X
X	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulae) (Prostate, if indicated)	
X	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	
X	34. G-U SYSTEM	
X	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)	
X	36. FEET	
X	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Excerpt feet) (Strength, range of motion)	
X	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL	
X	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS	
X	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS	
X	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)	
X	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)	
-	43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)	
<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL		

NOTES (Describe every abnormality in detail. Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

#31. 2 1/2 inch appendectomy scar.

ENCLOSURE
H

67-11-253	
Searched	Numbered
4 APR 13 1961	

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)
O—Restorable teeth X—Missing teeth (6X8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments
—Nonrestorable teeth XXX—Replaced by dentures

REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES

R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	X
I	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	L
G																	E
H																	F
T																	T

Type 3
Class 1

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.020			46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result)		
B. ALBUMIN Neg.		D. MICROSCOPIC Neg.		W/P AFB, Ohio 29 Mar 61	
C. SUGAR Neg.				Film #3-178-0 Negative	
47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result)		48. EKG		49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR	
28 JUL 1961					
Cardiolipin - Negative		Normal		-	

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

51. HEIGHT 71 1/2"	52. WEIGHT 164	53. COLOR HAIR Brown	54. COLOR EYES Blue	55. BUILD: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SLender <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE	56. TEMPERATURE 98.6																											
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level) A. SITTING SYS. 136 DIAS. 84 B. RECUM-BENT SYS. - DIAS. -			58. PULSE (Arm at heart level) 84 HR 17 100 20 88																													
59. DISTANT VISION RIGHT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/- LEFT 20/ 20 CORR. TO 20/-		60. REFRACTION BY - S. - OX -		61. NEAR VISION at 20 inches 20/20 CORR. TO - BY -																												
62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance) 20 Ft. ES° - EX° - R. H. - L. H. - PRISM DIV. - PRISM. CONV. CT Ortho - PC - PD -																																
63. ACCOMMODATION RIGHT - LEFT -		64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result) Passes VTS-CV		65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score) - UNCORRECTED - CORRECTED -																												
66. FIELD OF VISION Normal		67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score) NIBH		68. RED LENS TEST - 69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION Normal																												
70. HEARING RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV - /15 LEFT WV 15 /15 SV - /15		71. AUDIOMETER <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>250 256</td> <td>500 512</td> <td>1000 1024</td> <td>2000 2048</td> <td>3000 2896</td> <td>4000 4096</td> <td>6000 6144</td> <td>8000 8192</td> </tr> <tr> <td>RIGHT</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>10</td> <td>30</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LEFT</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>10</td> <td>25</td> <td>20</td> </tr> </table>					250 256	500 512	1000 1024	2000 2048	3000 2896	4000 4096	6000 6144	8000 8192	RIGHT	5	5	5	5	5	10	30	40	LEFT	5	5	5	5	5	10	25	20
	250 256	500 512	1000 1024	2000 2048	3000 2896	4000 4096	6000 6144	8000 8192																								
RIGHT	5	5	5	5	5	10	30	40																								
LEFT	5	5	5	5	5	10	25	20																								
72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score) -																																

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

Usual childhood diseases. No comp. or seq.
Occasional epigastric cramps - relieved by antacids - NSA
Appendectomy at age 15 - No comp. or seq.
Denies all else.

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

75. RECOMMENDATIONS - FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

77. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. ☒ IS QUALIFIED FOR General Service.
B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

M.Z. ROTMAN, CAPT. USAF(MC)

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

P	U	L	H	E	S

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

A	B	C	E

NUMBER OF AT-TACHED SHEETS

bevc

CLINICAL RECORD						ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD						PREVIOUS ECG <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
CLINICAL IMPRESSION						MEDICATION						<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY <input type="checkbox"/> BEDSIDE <input type="checkbox"/> ROUTINE <input type="checkbox"/> AMBULANT	
AGE	SEX	RACE	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	B. P.	SIGNATURE OF WARD PHYSICIAN						DATE	
41	Male	Cauc.	71 1/2"	164	136/84							29 March 61	
RHYTHM Normal Sinus Rhythm						AXIS DEVIATION (QRS)						RATES AURIC. 82 VENT.	
INTERVALS PR .16 QRS .07 QT						P WAVES							
QRS COMPLEXES						RS-T SEGMENT						T WAVES	
UNIPOLAR EXTREMITY LEADS (Specify)													

PRECORDIAL LEADS (Specify)

SUMMARY, SERIAL CHANGES, AND IMPLICATIONS:

Within Normal Limits

(Continue on reverse)

NO.	SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
ECG	M. ROTMAN	CAPTAIN, USAF (MC)	
PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade; date; hospital or medical facility)		REGISTER NO.	WARD NO.
TULLY, Edwin R. Civ. F.B.I.			

USAF Hospital W/P, USAF, WPAFB, Ohio

ENCLOSURE

ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORD

Standard Form 520

(Attach tracings to S. F. 507)

AF-WP-O-JUN 60 3M

253

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME TULLY, EDWIN R.				2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION GS-14		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.	
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 5754 Eula Dr., Cincinnati 11, Ohio				5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual physical		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 3/29/61	
7. SEX Male	8. RACE White	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE 18 MILITARY CIVILIAN	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE U.S. Dept. of Justice		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT FBI		
12. DATE OF BIRTH 11/30/19		13. PLACE OF BIRTH New York, New York		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN Mildred Tully, same address			
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS USAF Hosp., Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio				16. OTHER INFORMATION			
17. STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists) Good							

18. FAMILY HISTORY					19. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE			
RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	YES	NO	(Check each item)	RELATION(S)
FATHER	68	Fair	Pneumonia	38			HAD TUBERCULOSIS	
MOTHER		Deceased					HAD SYPHILIS	
SPOUSE	37	Good					HAD DIABETES	
BROTHERS	42	"					HAD CANCER	
AND							HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	
SISTERS							HAD HEART TROUBLE	
CHILDREN	13	Good					HAD STOMACH TROUBLE	
	11	"					HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)	
	16mo	"					HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, HIV'S	Wife
							HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)	
							COMMITTED SUICIDE	
							ELLEN INSANE	

20. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Place check at left of each item)				21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)				22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER— B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:			
YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)	YES	NO	(Check each item)
		SCARLET FEVER, FRYSPILLAS			GOUT			TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER			"TRICK" OR LOCKED KNEE
		DIPHTHERIA			TUBERCULOSIS			RUPTURE			FOOT TROUBLE
		ERYTHRAIC FEVER			BOILING SWABS (Nasal swabs)			APPENDICITIS			NEURITIS
		SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS			ASTHMA			PUSS OR RECTAL DISCHARGE			PARALYSIS (Inc. infantile)
		HUMPS			SHORTNESS OF BREATH			FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION			EPILEPSY OR FITS
		CHOPPING COUGH			PAIN OR TENDRNESS IN CHEST			HAEMATURIA OR BLOOD IN URINE			EAR, THROAT, OR AIR SICKNESS
		FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHE			CHRONIC COUGH			SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE			PROFOUND TROUBLE SLEEPING
		DIZZINESS OR FAINTING SPELLS			PERITATION OR FOUNDING HEART			BOILS			FREQUENT OR HURRYING NIGHTMARES
		EYE TROUBLE			HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE			VENEREAL DISEASE			OFFENSE OR EXCESSIVE WORRY
		EAR, NOSE OR THROAT TROUBLE			CRAMPS IN YOUR LEGS			RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT			LOSS OF MEMORY OR AMNESIA
		RUNNING EARS			FREQUENT INDIGESTION			ARTHRITIS OR RHEUMATISM			RED WEETING
		CHRONIC OR FREQUENT COLDS			STOMACH, LIVER OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE			CONJ. JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY			NERVOUS TROUBLE OF ANY SORT
		SEVERE TOOTH OR GUM TROUBLE			GALL BLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES			LAMENESS			ANY DRUG OR NARCOTIC HABIT
		SINUSITIS			JAUNDICE			LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE			EXCESSIVE DRINKING HABIT
		HAY FEVER			ANY REACTION TO SERUM, DRUG OR MEDICINE			PAINFUL OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW			HOMOSEXUAL TENDENCIES

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)		22. FEMALES ONLY: A. HAVE YOU EVER— B. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:	
WORN GLASSES	ATTEMPTED SUICIDE	BEEN PREGNANT	AGE AT ONSET OF MENSTRUATION
WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE	OPEN A SLEEP WALKER	HAD A VAGINAL DISCHARGE	INTERVAL BETWEEN PERIODS
WORN HEARING AIDS	HAD WITH ANYONE WHO HAD TUBERCULOSIS	BEEN TREATED FOR A FEMALE DISORDER	DURATION OF PERIODS
STUTTERED OR STAMMERED	COUGHED UP BLOOD	HAD PAINFUL MENSTRUATION	DATE OF LAST PERIOD
WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT	BEEN EXCESSIVELY AFER INJURY OR TOOTH EXTRACTION	HAD IRREGULAR MENSTRUATION	QUANTITY: <input type="checkbox"/> NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> EXCESSIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SCANTY
23. HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS? One	24. WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? 18 yrs.	25. WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? FBI Agent	26. ARE YOU (Check one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RIGHT HANDED <input type="checkbox"/> LEFT HANDED

ENCLOSURE

253

YES	NO	CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED "YES" MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT
		27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCE?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COLDS? (If yes, which illnesses)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge: whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)

Bursitis in right shoulder & elbow about 5 yrs. ago.
Dr. Heil, Glenway Ave,
Pencarnate

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE

EDWIN R. TULLY

SIGNATURE

Edwin R. Tully

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in items 20 thru 39)

COTD - 100%
no other significant conditions
rehabilitating - age 15 - 20 yrs

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

M. Z. ROTMAN, CAPT. USAF (M)

DATE

29 Mar '61

SIGNATURE

M. Z. Rotman

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

**Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner**

Name of Examinee TULLY EDWIN RAYMOND
(Type or print) Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	62
3	65
4	67
9	68
11	69
14	72
17	76

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No ☐ Yes If "yes" please specify defects. _____

If examinee has defective vision, should he wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☒ No

ENCLOSURE

Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

Height	Small Frame	Medium Frame	Large Frame
5' 4"	117 - 125	123 - 135	131 - 148
5' 5"	120 - 129	126 - 139	134 - 152
5' 6"	124 - 133	130 - 143	138 - 157
5' 7"	128 - 137	134 - 148	143 - 162
5' 8"	132 - 141	138 - 152	147 - 166
5' 9"	136 - 146	142 - 156	151 - 170
5' 10"	140 - 150	146 - 161	155 - 175
5' 11"	144 - 154	150 - 166	160 - 180
6'	148 - 158	154 - 171	164 - 185
6' 1"	152 - 163	158 - 176	169 - 190
6' 2"	156 - 167	163 - 181	174 - 195
6' 3"	160 - 171	168 - 186	178 - 200
6' 4"	169 - 180	178 - 196	188 - 210
6' 5"	174 - 185	182 - 202	192 - 216

3. Examinee's frame is ☐ small ☒ medium ☐ large
4. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☒ Satisfactory ☐ Excessive ☐ Deficient
5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should ☐ lose 0 pounds ☐ gain 0 pounds

Remarks: _____

W. J. D. D.
(Signature of Medical Examiner)

29 May 61
(Date)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: 6/14/61

FROM : J. P. MOHR

SUBJECT: PERSONNEL CHANGES

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Malone _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

A vacancy presently exists on the Inspection Staff and it is, therefore, recommended:

That ASAC Edwin R. Tully be transferred from the Cincinnati Office to the Training and Inspection Division to serve a trial assignment as Inspector at no change in grade or salary. ASAC Tully entered on duty 8/20/41 as a clerk and 1/4/43 as a Special Agent. He is in Grade GS-14, \$12,470, 41 years old, married with four children, has a Bachelor of Science Degree and attended law school. He was born in Brooklyn, New York. He served in the Domestic Intelligence Division prior to his first assignment as Assistant Special Agent in Charge on 7/16/56 in the Oklahoma City Office. He was transferred on general assignment to the Cincinnati Office on 6/29/58 after being censured, placed on probation and demoted from Grade 14 to Grade 13 on 6/20/58 and ordered under transfer. This action was for his failure to immediately advise the Bureau when he received information concerning a planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby. He was designated as Assistant Special Agent in Charge in Cincinnati on 7/28/60 and has served since that time. The Director saw him on 2/6/61 and made no personal comments concerning Tully. He was last censured on 3/2/60 for deficiencies in performance of his supervisory duties. He has been commended on three occasions since that time, the latest being 3/8/61 for his splendid participation in a series of seminars held in the Cincinnati Division. He was rated "Excellent" in his performance rating of 3/31/61, being described as making an outstandingly fine personal appearance and having outstanding poise, intelligence, knowledge of Bureau policies, contact abilities and public relations interests. SAC Mason described him as interested in, completely available for and completely qualified at present for administrative advancement with outstanding qualifications. He clearly indicated Tully had performed his Assistant Special Agent in Charge duties in a far above-average manner. During the inspection of the Cincinnati Office in March, 1961, Inspector stated Tully makes an excellent personal appearance, has a winning personality, is a dedicated, loyal Bureau employee and has excellent prospects for advancement. The Inspector felt Tully would profit from inspection experience prior to being appointed a Special Agent in Charge. Ratings on this inspection were: Physical Condition and Maintenance and Personnel Matters - Very Good; Investigative Operations and Administrative Operations - Good; Contacts - Excellent. The statistics of the Cincinnati Office as of 3/31/61 indicate that the office is down 7% in convictions and 62% in fines, savings and recoveries. It is up 6% in fugitives apprehended and 3% in automobiles recovered. Tully is within desirable weight limits.

PERMANENT BRIEF ATTACHED

WSH:hif

(2)

ENCLOSURE JUN 23 1961

67-211-254
 Searched _____
 13-60019

Dear Sir:

Your headquarters are changed from [redacted] to [redacted] effective upon your arrival there on or after this date. This change is made for official reasons and you will be allowed transportation expenses and per diem at the rate of \$12.00 per day within the U. S., \$6.00 per day for air travel, rail travel, and ocean travel by steamship outside the continental limits of the U.S., transportation expenses for your immediate family, and transportation cost of household goods and personal effects as provided for in Public Law 600 dated August 2, 1946, and Executive Order 9805, dated November 25, 1946, as amended. You are authorized to use your privately owned automobile and you will be reimbursed at the rate of ten cents per mile plus incidental expenses, not to exceed the cost by common carrier over the most direct route for all persons officially traveling therein. Should your dependents travel separate and apart from you, expenses will be allowed under the same conditions as above.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director

1 - Mr. Tolson (Personal Attention) (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Clegg (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Glavin (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Ladd (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Nichols (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Rosen (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Tracy (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Egan (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Gurnea (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Harbo (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Hendon (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Jones (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Mumford (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Quinn (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Nease (Special Agent in Charge)
1 - Mr. Gandy (Special Agent in Charge)

1 - Mr. Tolson
1 - Mr. Clegg
1 - Mr. Glavin
1 - Mr. Ladd
1 - Mr. Nichols
1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Tracy
1 - Mr. Egan
1 - Mr. Gurnea
1 - Mr. Harbo
1 - Mr. Hendon
1 - Mr. Jones
1 - Mr. Mumford
1 - Mr. Quinn
1 - Mr. Nease
1 - Mr. Gandy

1 - Mr. Tolson
1 - Mr. Clegg
1 - Mr. Glavin
1 - Mr. Ladd
1 - Mr. Nichols
1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Tracy
1 - Mr. Egan
1 - Mr. Gurnea
1 - Mr. Harbo
1 - Mr. Hendon
1 - Mr. Jones
1 - Mr. Mumford
1 - Mr. Quinn
1 - Mr. Nease
1 - Mr. Gandy

W. J. [redacted]

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE7-10-61

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~returned~~
SOG Inspector's Manual #16
Key to Room 5254

FILE

3-M

PER NSREAD

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

JUL 10 1961

Very truly yours,

(Written
Signature)Edwin R. Tully(Typed
Signature)Edwin R. Tully

July 10, 1961

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

Today I saw Special Agent Edwin R. Tully, who was reporting to the Training and Inspection Division on trial assignment from his position as Assistant Special Agent in Charge at Cincinnati. Mr. Tully makes an excellent personal appearance, seems to be enthusiastic about his new assignment, and I would rate him above average.

I discussed generally with Mr. Tully the responsibilities which an Inspector must face up to and I believe he will endeavor to do so.

Very truly yours,
J. E. H.

John Edgar Hoover
Director

JEH:EDM (5)

SENT FROM D. O.	
TIME	7:25 AM
DATE	7/11/61
BY	JEH

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

REC-136

256

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Malone _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

TO : Mr. Callahan

DATE: 7-10-61

FROM : C. R. Davidson *CRD*

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
 Supervisor (On trial assignment as Inspector)
 Inspection Section
 Training and Inspection Division
 EOD 8-20-41 (Under Clerk)
 1-4-43 (Special Agent)
 Grade GS-14, \$12,470

The following is a brief summary concerning Mr. Tully for the Director's use. He reported on 7-10-61 to the Seat of Government to serve on trial assignment as an Inspector in the Training and Inspection Division.

He entered on duty 8-20-41 as a Clerk and 1-4-43 as a Special Agent. He is in Grade GS-14, \$12,470 per annum, 41 years of age, is married and has 4 children. He has served in the Washington Field, Miami, Knoxville and New York Offices, and as a Resident Agent in Chattanooga and Winchester, Tennessee. He was a supervisor in the Domestic Intelligence Division until he was designated ASAC at Oklahoma City on 7-16-56. He was transferred on general assignment to the Cincinnati Office on 6-29-58 after being censured, placed on probation, demoted from Grade 14 to Grade 13 and ordered under transfer. This action was for his failure to immediately advise the Bureau when he received information concerning a planned kidnaping of Mrs. Harry L. Crosby. He was designated ASAC at Cincinnati on 7-28-60 where he served until such time as he was ordered under transfer to the Seat of Government.

The Director saw him on 2-6-61 while he was attending Criminal In-Service Training and made no personal comments concerning Mr. Tully.

He was last CENSURED on 3-2-60 for deficiencies in performance of his supervisory duties and has been COMMENDED on 3 occasions since that time, the latest being 3-8-61 for his splendid participation in a series of seminars held in the Cincinnati Division.

On 3-31-61 SAC Mason rated him EXCELLENT and described him as making an outstandingly fine personal appearance, having outstanding poise, intelligence, knowledge of Bureau policies, contact abilities and public relations interests. He was interested in, completely

REC-136 67-2117-257

JUL 11 1961

Enclosure - Permanent Brief

FDH:bjp
 (3)

Mr. Tolson
7/10/61
W. H. R.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
RE: Edwin R. Tully

available for and had outstanding qualifications for administrative advancement. He had performed his ASAC duties in a far above average manner.


During the inspection of the Cincinnati Office in March, 1961, Inspector Kissiah stated he made an excellent personal appearance, had a winning personality, was a dedicated, loyal Bureau employee and had excellent prospects for advancement. The Inspector felt he would profit from inspection experience prior to being appointed a Special Agent in Charge. Two substantive errors found on his desk, both were attributed to others, and he was strongly admonished to insure closer supervision by subordinates and no further administrative action taken.

The latest information available, dated 3-29-61, reflected his weight is within the desirable limits.

As of February, 1961, he listed Cincinnati, Miami and Denver as his offices of preference.

It is noted his wife, Mildred, is a former Bureau employee having entered on duty 12-10-40, resigned 2-1-44, reinstated 4-19-44 and resigned 6-9-45. She was a Stenographer in the New York Office and her services were entirely satisfactory. Her sister, Wilma E. M. Semon was also employed by the Bureau as a Clerk in the New York Office from 11-22-48 until 5-31-57 and her services were satisfactory.

His daily average overtime exceeded the office average 5 of the last 6 months and was 3 hours 39 minutes per day as compared with the office average of 3 hours 10 minutes for the same period.

Two handwritten signatures are present at the bottom left of the page. The first signature is a stylized, cursive 'T' followed by a long horizontal stroke. The second signature is a more complex cursive script, possibly reading 'E. R. Tully'.

July 11, 1961

Mr. John D. Stewart
Vice President and Inspector
American Express Company
65 Broadway
New York 6, New York

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Your letter of July 3, 1961, has been received.

Letters such as yours are always most encouraging, and I am indeed pleased that the efforts of my associates in our Cincinnati Office contributed to the successful conclusion of these matters. You may be sure that they will share my appreciation of your favorable remarks.

I hope you will always feel free to call on us whenever we can be of service to you.

Sincerely yours,

DUPLICATE YELLOW

3 - Cincinnati - Enclosures (3)

1 - Mr. Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure

- ① - Personnel File of SA Edwin R. Tully - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Henry W. Goodson, Jr. - Enclosure
- 1 - Personnel File of SA Clifford G. Anderson - Enclosure

NOTE: Correspondent, who is on the Special Correspondents' List, is a former Bureau Agent who EOD 10-14-40 as SA, resigned 6-14-46. Mr. Edwin R. Tully, EOD 8-20-41 as Temporary Clerk, 10-20-41 as Permanent Clerk, 1-4-43 as SA. He formerly served as ASAC at Cincinnati. On 6-18-61, he was ordered to the Training and Inspection Division, scheduled to report on 7-10-61. Mr. Henry W. Goodson, Jr.,
SEE NEXT PAGE.

CJH:pja (8)

July 11, 1961

Mr. John D. Stewart

NOTE continued:

EOD 4-14-52, assigned Cincinnati in GS-12. Mr. Clifford G. Anderson, EOD 8-18-39 as Temporary Clerk, 11-21-39 as Permanent Clerk, 7-30-51 as SA, assigned Cincinnati in GS-12.

AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY



JOHN D. STEWART
VICE PRESIDENT
AND
INSPECTOR

O. H. MONTGOMERY
ROBERT T. ROCHE
BEN W. CUNNINGHAM, JR.
ASSISTANT INSPECTORS

TRAVELERS CHECKS
MONEY ORDERS FOREIGN REMITTANCES
TRAVEL FOREIGN SHIPPING
CREDIT CARDS

65 BROADWAY
NEW YORK 6, N. Y.

TELEPHONE: WHITEHALL 4-2000

WHEN ANSWERING
PLEASE REFER TO

FILE

July 3, 1961

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

For the past several months my assistant, Robert T. Roche, has been keeping me advised regarding the various cases in which the F.B.I. office in Cincinnati has been forwarding information to us regarding the fraudulent encashment of our financial paper.

As you know, the operation involves several individuals, and as a result of the information furnished to us, several arrests, prosecutions, and convictions were obtained by both Federal and local authorities.

On August 21, 1960, one of our sub-agents, the Seville Party Store, was burglarized and a considerable amount of American Express Money Orders were stolen. As a result of the information furnished by the Cincinnati F.B.I. office, a [redacted] was arrested in Richmond, Ohio, in April of 1961, and subsequently led to the arrests of [redacted]

and [redacted]

In another case involving a burglary at the Holtkamp Park View Market in Cincinnati in 1960, the information furnished resulted in the arrest of one [redacted]

In a case involving a burglary at the Southern Supply of Louisville, Kentucky, the Cincinnati F.B.I. office furnished information and as a result, a [redacted] was arrested, prosecuted, and sentenced in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Another outstanding case involved a stolen in blank credit card of [redacted] and resulted in the arrest of one [redacted] in Denver, Colorado. [redacted] a parole violator from New York, had an extensive criminal record.


b6
b7C

As a result of these twelve arrests, we were able to recover approximately \$13,000.00 in blank financial paper and currency.

It is true that several of your offices were involved in the investigations of these cases; however, the information on the activities of these individuals was obtained and disseminated by your Cincinnati office.

I want to take this opportunity to express our sincere appreciation for the outstanding work done by your Special Agents Henry Goodson and Clifford Anderson of the Cincinnati office under the excellent guidance and supervision of Assistant Special Agent in Charge Edwin R. Tully and Special Agent in Charge E. D. Mason. I wish you would also convey the same appreciation to any other agents involved whose names are not available to me.

Very truly yours,


John D. Stewart
Vice President & Inspector

RTR:im

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

50 - 106 - 13

1. NAME (LAST [CAPS]—First—Middle—Mr.—Miss—Mrs.)

TULLY, EDWIN R. (MR.)

2. DATE OF BIRTH

11-30-19

3. IDENTIFICATION (optional)

05143 160

4. THIS IS AN OFFICIAL NOTICE OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION DESCRIBED BELOW, WHICH AFFECTS YOUR EMPLOYMENT. GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING YOUR EMPLOYMENT APPEARS ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM.

5. NATURE OF ACTION (standard terminology must be used)

REASSIGNMENT

6. EFFECTIVE
DATE OF ACTION

7-9-61

7. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY

EXCEPTED BY LAW

FROM—

**Supervisory Special Agent
(Assistant Special Agent in
Charge)
FBI#61-F-101**

**Series 1811, GS 14
\$12,470 per annum**

8. POSITION TITLE
AND NUMBER

9. SERIES, GRADE,
SALARY

10. NAME AND
LOCATION OF
OFFICE BY
WHICH
EMPLOYED

11. DUTY
STATION

TO—

**Supervisory Special Agent
FBI#61-F-101**

**Series 1811, GS 14
\$12,470 per annum**

☐ Yes

12. APPORTIONED
POSITION

☐ Yes
STATE:

☐ Apportionment Waived
☐ Proved

13. VETERAN PREFERENCE

No	5-pt.	10-pt. Disab.	10-pt. Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

14. TENURE GROUP

15. POSITION OCCUPIED IS IN THE:

<input type="checkbox"/> Competitive Service	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excepted Service
---	---

16. APPROPRIATION

From: S. & E., FBI
TO: SAME

17. PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

CSR	FICA	FEGLI
-----	------	-------

18. DATE OF APPOINTMENT
AFFIDAVITS (accessions only)

19. REMARKS:

☐ a. Subject to completion of 1 year probationary (or trial) period commencing _____
☐ b. Service counting toward career (or permanent) tenure from: _____
Separations: Show reasons below, as required. Check, if applicable: ☐ c. During probation ☐ d. From appointment of 6 months or less


20. EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

21. OFFICE MAINTAINING OFFICIAL PERSONNEL FOLDER (if different than
item 10, above) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

22. SIGNATURE (or other authentication) AND TITLE

J. E. Hoover
Director
7-13-61

23. DATE:



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 7-10-61

FROM : SAC, CINCINNATI

SUBJECT: ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF TRANSFER ORDERS

ReBulet 6-16-61

*McGuire
ack
movement*

Name	EDWIN R. TULLY	Contemplated departure date
Title	ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	Annual leave en route
Transfer to	WASHINGTON D. C.	Contemplated arrival date
Departed on transfer	7-9-61 3:50 p. m.	Destination
Arrival on transfer (time and date)		Reported for duty

Person to be notified in case of an emergency (to be furnished when such person and address differ from information previously furnished the Bureau)

Name	Relationship
Address	

Comments:

1- Bureau
1- Adm. file
(2)
dap

*noted
7-13-61
prep.
7-13-61
for
att*

10/1
RECEIVED

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI
Attention: Personnel Section

DATE: 7-7-61

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

PERSONAL

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
SPECIAL AGENT

1. Axtell *for*
2. Property *for*
3. Movement *cin*
4. Payroll *W*
5. Hayes *for*

There are submitted herewith the following items referring to the above-named employee who was recently transferred to your office:

1. Personnel file
2. Field firearms record (In file.)
3. Duplicate property record (In file.)
(properly verified prior to departure)
4. Certified overtime record (Forwarded separately.)
5. ☐ Health record card ☒ No health record card
6. ☒ Electrocardiogram tracings (In file.)

Date of last physical 3/29/61.

T&A card and leave slips forwarded under separate cover.

Technical training: ☐ Completed for first half 19__
☒ Completed for 19 61.
☐ Delinquent technical training

Transfer performance rating enclosed.

- 1 - Bureau (Encls.-2) RM
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:ESG
(2)

REC-141
ENCLOSURE

REC-141 67- 211 100 - 258
Searched 9 JUL 18 1961

1 JUL 21 1961
Enclosures

Registered Mail

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: July 13, 1961

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
FORMER ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
CINCINNATI

Mr. EDWIN R. TULLY, now assigned to the Training and Inspection Division, was Assistant Special Agent in Charge, Cincinnati, and in that capacity supervised interstate transportation of stolen property cases.

Consequently, copies of letter 7/3/61 to the Director from JOHN D. STEWART of the American Express Company, highly commending the handling of money order cases under TULLY's supervision, and the Director's response of July 11 are attached for inclusion in TULLY's Seat of Government personnel file.


- ② - Bureau (Enclosures - 2)
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:rlw

(3)

ENCLOSURE

REC-111



JUL 13 1961

July 11, 1961

Mr. John D. Stewart
Vice President and Inspector
American Express Company
65 Broadway
New York 6, New York

Dear Mr. Stewart:

Your letter of July 3, 1961, has been received.

Letters such as yours are always most encouraging, and I am indeed pleased that the efforts of my associates in our Cincinnati Office contributed to the successful conclusion of these matters. You may be sure that they will share my appreciation of your favorable remarks.

I hope you will always feel free to call on us whenever we can be of service to you.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

S - Cincinnati - Enclosures (3)

67-211900-259

ENCLOSURE

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

with pay/hall
Agreement
Hoyes

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY, #05143

Where Assigned: Cincinnati Headquarters City
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Assistant Special Agent in Charge, GS-14

Rating Period: from 4/1/61 to 7/7/61

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

ET

Rated by: *E. O. Mason* Special Agent in Charge 7/7/61
Signature Title Date

Reviewed by: _____
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: *H. P. Callahan* Assistant Director JUL 18 1961
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

() Official
() Annual

REC-100

Administrative

() 60-Day
() 90-Day
(X) Transfer
() Separation from Service
() Special

67-21199-260

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION.
UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLYTitle Assistant Special Agent in Charge, GS-14Rating Period: from 4/1/61 to 7/7/61

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

Rate items as follows:

- + Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>E</u> (17) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>+</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>+</u> (19) Reporting ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Investigative reports <u>+</u> (b) Summary reports <u>+</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires (Consider: <u>+</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>+</u> organization; <u>+</u> thoroughness; <u>+</u> accuracy; <u>+</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>+</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>E</u> (20) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>+</u> (21) Executive ability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Leadership <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel <u>+</u> (c) Planning <u>+</u> (d) Making decisions <u>+</u> (e) Assignment of work <u>+</u> (f) Training subordinates <u>+</u> (g) Devising procedures <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability <u>+</u> (i) Promoting high morale <u>+</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>+</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) As leader <u>+</u> (b) As participant |
| <u>+</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | <u>+</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| <u>+</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. |
| <u>+</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>+</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> Dictation ability _____ |
| <u>+</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | |
| <u>+</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | |
| <u>+</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | |
| <u>E</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. | |
| <u>+</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>+</u> (a) Internal security cases <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases <u>+</u> (d) Applicant cases <u>+</u> (e) Accounting cases | |
| <u>E</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Assistant Special Agent in Charge.B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker): Administrator - desk man.

C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS



RE: EDWIN R. TULLY
ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
TRANSFER PERFORMANCE RATING

PART I GENERAL COMMENTS

Mr. TULLY is under transfer to Seat of Government assignment and is completing a tour of duty in his second office as Assistant Special Agent in Charge. He is a clear-thinking, hardworking, impressive partner, gifted with exceptional leadership qualities and the ability to separate unimportant matters from those which have significance. He has done a splendid job in a true partnership operation in the management of the Cincinnati Division. He has supervised major criminal cases and has participated often and freely in on-the-scene supervision. He has made numerous public appearances as a speaker and with highly favorable results. He has appeared repeatedly on television and radio presentations and has done a fine job.

Of the four supervisory desks in the Cincinnati Division, Mr. TULLY's was the most notable in favorable statistical accomplishments during the fiscal year which ended June 30, 1961. He exceeded the accomplishments of the previous year in each category.

He has built high morale through the division, expanded the FBI's prestige in the area; contributed greatly to the effective training of personnel assigned to him, and repeatedly demonstrated adeptness and ability to handle matters of complex and delicate nature. He has no physical defects and is fully qualified for assignment anywhere.

Mr. TULLY makes an outstandingly fine personal appearance, is gifted with a warm and pleasant personality and makes friends easily, but has the ability to let friendship not deter him from a charted course of business relationships or operations.

Employee's Initials: ERT

PART I - continued

Unquestionably he is capable of operating a field office as a Special Agent in Charge.

He is within desirable weight limits.

Shares the Director's commendation of April 13, 1961, for achieving a zero delinquency throughout the Cincinnati Division end of March, 1961.

Shares the Director's commendation of April 27, 1961, for the increase in speaking engagements in this division.

The favorable remarks contained in Annual Performance Rating, March 31, 1961, continue in full force.

Rating: EXCELLENT.

Employee's Initials: ERT

PART II SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given

Not applicable.

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide

Qualified, but not used during the rating period.

3. Participation in Informant Program

Excellent.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability

Excellent.

Employee's Initials BR

5. Disciplinary Action

Not applicable.

6. Accounting Information

Not applicable.

7. Police Instruction

Outstanding; monitored by SAC.

8. Sound Training

Not applicable.

9. Resident Agents

Not applicable.

Employee's Initials

ERT

10. Foreign Language Ability

Not applicable.

(a) Specific language in which proficient:

(b) Did Agent complete language school?

Yes _____ No _____

(c) Is Agent fluent to the extent that he can handle typical investigative problems in

(1) conversation form?

Yes _____ No _____

(2) written form?

Yes _____ No _____

(d) Rate Agent excellent, very good, good, fair, or unsatisfactory in ability to

(1) read

(2) write

(3) speak

(4) understand

(3) Frequency of use during rating period

11. Administrative Advancement

Agent is

(a) Interested in

Yes X No _____

(b) Completely available for

Yes X No _____

(c) Considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement including experience, ability, personality, and appearance

Yes X No _____

(d) Would you consider qualifications Very Good, Excellent, Outstanding?

OUTSTANDING

(e) If not completely qualified at present, does he have potential for future administrative advancement

Yes _____ No _____

Employee's Initials RT

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Callahan *HP*

FROM : J. F. Malone *JP*

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR COMPANION BRIEFCASE

DATE: 8-7-61

Tolson _____

Belmont _____

Mohr _____

Callahan _____

Conrad _____

DeLoach _____

Evans _____

Malone _____

Rosen _____

Sullivan _____

Tavel _____

Trotter _____

Tele. Room _____

Ingram _____

Gandy _____

In view of the assignment of Mr. Edwin R. Tully to the Inspection Section, Training and Inspection Division, as an Inspector, it is requested that a Companion Briefcase be issued to him for his use.

*Issued
8-7-61*

RKM:mbk
(3) *mbk*

*OK
WPC
EW
8/7*

REC-146

111	261

3/PR

(21)

Director, FBI

August 7, 1961

SAC, Cincinnati

SPEAKING ENGAGEMENTS
CINCINNATI DIVISION

The Director's letter of June 24, 1961 expressed pleasure for the general increase in the number of speeches in the Cincinnati Division for the second quarter of 1961 as compared with similar period of 1960.

Thirty-five of these speeches were made by Mr. EDWIN R. TULLY, who was ASAC in this Division. Mr. TULLY is currently a member of the Inspection Staff, Training and Inspection Division. It is requested that the Director's pleasure be brought to the attention of Mr. TULLY and that this communication be included in his Seat of Government personnel file.

- (2) - Bureau
1 - Cincinnati

EDM:rlw
(3)

Chief of Bureau	
Director	
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Serv.	
Crim. Inv.	
Ident.	
Insp.	
Intell.	
Lab.	
Legal Coun.	
Off. Cong. & Public Affs.	
Rec. Mgmt.	
Tech. Serv.	
Training	
Off. of the Chief of Police	
Other	

261X

12/29/61



Tully

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

JUL 21 1961

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

RE: SA

EDWIN R. TULLY
(Type or print plainly)

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of \$10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	WIFE	Date	7-19-61
Address	5754 EULA AVE. CINCINNATI OHIO				

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty.

Name	MILDRED TULLY	Relationship	WIFE	Date	7-19-61
Address	5754 EULA AVE. CINCINNATI OHIO				

Very truly yours,

Edwin R. Tully
Special Agent

3-mth

107-1401-1000-1000, FBI
6-1-61

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

8-7-61

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~returned~~ /

Companion Brief Case ✓

*approval attached*READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

PER

(Written
Signature)*Edwin R. Tully*(Typed
Signature)

Edwin R. Tully

FILE

3-M

25

G 1501

August 20, 1961

PERSONAL

Mr. Edwin R. Tully
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

RECEIVED
FBI
JUN 22 10 13 AM '61

Dear Mr. Tully:

Inasmuch as August 20, 1961, marks your Twentieth Anniversary of Bureau service, I wish to extend heartiest congratulations to you on this noteworthy occasion. It is a source of genuine pleasure to present to you the enclosed Twenty-Year Service Award Key.

Your years with the FBI reflect the highest credit on you and on our organization. During these years you have watched the Bureau grow gradually to its present high position in the field of law enforcement and I know it must be a source of much satisfaction to you to realize that your efforts have been a contributing factor in this development. In recognition of your abilities your responsibilities increased as you progressed through the ranks to the important position you now occupy in the Training and Inspection Division. The manner in which you have performed your duties and overcome the problems with which you have been confronted is excellent and is a source of gratification to me. At this time I should like to express my personal appreciation for your many years of devoted service and loyalty.

REC-142

900-262
144

I hope that good health and good fortune will permit us to receive the benefit of your valuable experience and talents for years to come.

With best wishes and kind regards,

Sincerely,

JPM

[Signature]

[Signature]

Enclosure

1 - Mr. Malone (Personal)

(4) UC 22 1961

NEM:mjp
67-211900

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

RECEIVED-DIRECTOR

Delivered to Mr. Malone's Office
on 8-19-61 at 5:12 p.m.

son
mont
at
oban
nd
ach
e
an
om
NEM

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Mohr *[Signature]*

FROM : J. F. Malone *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: SA EDWIN R. TULLY
INSPECTOR DESIGNATE

DATE: 8/9/61 *[Signature]*

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

Mr. Tully assisted Assistant Director J. F. Malone in the inspection of the Miami Office from July 24, 1961 to August 4, 1961. Mr. Tully handled a number of inquiries regarding the administrative operations, including operations of the SAC and ASAC. He also inquired into the stenographic and typing work, personnel files, registers, leave and communications, in addition to inspecting two resident agencies. He worked closely with the #1 Man and obtained an over-all picture of inspection procedures. In handling interviews and inspection assignments he was penetrative and thorough. He quickly learned inspection procedures and I feel he would be able to capably handle an inspection on his own after completing another inspection as observer and #1 Man.

Mr. Tully dresses neatly and conservatively, makes an excellent personal appearance and has a pleasing personality. He is poised and alert and exhibited a thorough knowledge of Bureau procedures. He is within the desirable weight limits and is interested in and available for administrative advancement. He is regarded as completely qualified and his qualifications for advancement are considered excellent.

Rating: Excellent

Reviewed by: *[Signature]*

Initials

REC-133

67-211900-263	
Searched	Numbered
AUG 12 1961	

*[Handwritten signature]**[Handwritten signature]*JFM:dlh
(2) *[Signature]*

(73)

AUG 12 1961

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

9-8-61

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~returned~~S & W Military and Police Revolver #200568
Holster and adapter

fr. Bu. ✓✓

RETURNED (to Quantico FD-222 #344)

Colt Official Police Revolver #725808
Holster and adapter

✓✓

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge
is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care
of it and returning it when its use has been completed.
DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN
ANY WAY.

NOT RECORDED
8 SEP 12 1961

Very truly yours,

3-M

PER

(Written
Signature)

Edwin R. Tully

(Typed
Signature)

Edwin R. Tully

FILE

33

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

*Memorandum*TO : Mr. Malone *J*

DATE: 9-11-61

FROM : R. K. Moore *RKM*SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
Trainee Inspector

Tolson _____
 Belmont _____
 Mohr _____
 Callahan _____
 Conrad _____
 DeLoach _____
 Evans _____
 Malone _____
 Rosen _____
 Sullivan _____
 Tavel _____
 Trotter _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Ingram _____
 Gandy _____

Mr. Tully assisted Inspector D. J. Brennan in the inspection of the Newark Office (8/16 - 9/1/61).

At Newark, Mr. Tully acted as No. 1 Man. He also analyzed the work of the ASAC's Desk, and conducted numerous personnel interviews. He performed inspections of the Morristown, Hackensack and Paterson Resident Agencies. He assisted in the preparation of the inspection report.

Mr. Tully performed his duties in a highly competent manner. He has had substantial Bureau experience as an ASAC which reflected in his work performance. His paper work was excellently done. He has a fine personality and a most cooperative attitude.

Mr. Tully is available for and interested in administrative advancement and his potential is considered excellent.

Rating: Excellent

Reviewed by *ERT*

Initials

DJB:mbk
(2)

80

SEP 19 1961

REC-13 67-211900-264
 Searched _____ Indexed _____
 1 11

3.74

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: EDWIN R. TULLY

Where Assigned: Training and Inspection Inspection
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Special Agent (Trainee Inspector)

Rating Period: from 7-10-61 to 10-10-61

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

*

Rated by: [Signature] Inspector in Charge 10-10-61
Signature Title Date

Reviewed by: [Signature] Assistant Director 10-10-61
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: [Signature] Assistant Director OCT 10 1961
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

REC-133

() Official
() Annual

(X) Administrative
() 60-Day
(X) 90-Day
() Transfer
() Separation from Service
() Special

* 328 initiated
you 1/16/62
3 copies to be signed

OCT 19 1961

67-21176-265

OCT 19 1961

3-ph

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT, and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL

(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee EDWIN R. TULLY Title SA (Trainee Inspector)
 Rating Period: from 7/10/61 to 10/10/61

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

- Rate items as follows:
+ Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
E Excellent.
✓ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

- "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "+" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
- "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
 - Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
 - An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <u>+</u> (1) Personal appearance. | <u>E</u> (17) Firearms ability. |
| <u>+</u> (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts. | <u>+</u> <u>O</u> (18) Development of informants and sources of information. |
| <u>+</u> (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load). | <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (19) Reporting ability: |
| <u>E</u> <u>+</u> (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina). | <u>O</u> (a) Investigative reports |
| <u>E</u> (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity. | <u>O</u> (b) Summary reports |
| <u>+</u> (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required. | <u>E</u> (c) Memos, letters, wires |
| <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives. | (Consider: <u>E</u> conciseness; <u>+</u> clarity; <u>E</u> organization; <u>E</u> thoroughness; <u>E</u> accuracy; <u>E</u> adequacy and pertinency of leads; <u>E</u> administrative detail.) |
| <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility. | <u>E</u> <u>O</u> (20) Performance as a witness. |
| <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (9) Planning ability and its application to the work. | <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (21) Executive ability: |
| <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail. | <u>E</u> (a) Leadership |
| <u>+</u> (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties. | <u>+</u> (b) Ability to handle personnel |
| <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control. | <u>E</u> (c) Planning |
| <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application. | <u>E</u> (d) Making decisions |
| <u>E</u> <u>O</u> (14) Technical or mechanical skills. | <u>E</u> (e) Assignment of work |
| <u>+</u> <u>O</u> (15) Investigative ability and results: | <u>E</u> (f) Training subordinates |
| <u>+</u> (a) Internal security cases | <u>E</u> (g) Devising procedures |
| <u>+</u> (b) Criminal or general investigative cases | <u>+</u> (h) Emotional stability |
| <u>+</u> (c) Fugitive cases | <u>E</u> (i) Promoting high morale |
| <u>+</u> (d) Applicant cases | <u>E</u> (j) Getting results |
| <u>+</u> (e) Accounting cases | <u>+</u> <u>O</u> (22) Ability on raids and dangerous assignments: |
| <u>E</u> <u>O</u> (16) Physical surveillance ability. | <u>+</u> (a) As leader |
| | <u>+</u> (b) As participant |
| | <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (23) Organizational interest, such as making of suggestions for improvement. |
| | <u>+</u> (24) Ability to work under pressure. |
| | <u>+</u> <u>E</u> (25) Miscellaneous. Specify and rate: |
| | <u>+</u> <u>E</u> Dictation ability |

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

Supervisor; Inspector (Trainee)

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Inspections; Surveys

- C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
 (2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

- D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? no 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? no (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)

- E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? X Yes No
 If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS _____
 Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

SA EDWIN R. TULLY
TRAINING AND INSPECTION DIVISION
90-DAY PERFORMANCE RATING

PART I -- GENERAL COMMENTS

This is a 90-day performance rating occasioned by the assignment of Mr. Tully to the Inspection Section, Training and Inspection Division, on July 10, 1961.

SA Tully, who completed 20 years' service in the FBI on August 20, 1961, has an excellent attitude, is enthusiastic, and is completely available for general or special assignment. He makes an excellent appearance, is personable, amenable, yet forceful, and has excellent contacting ability.

During the rating period, Mr. Tully assisted Assistant Director John F. Malone in the inspection of the Miami Office and performed as No. 1 Man to Inspector D. J. Brennan in the inspection of the Newark Office. Mr. Tully demonstrated an excellent basic knowledge of the Bureau's rules, regulations and procedures as they related to inspection work. He conducted numerous interviews, inspected resident agencies, and performed numerous administrative analyses, all of which were performed in an excellent fashion.

More recently, Mr. Tully inspected the Cleveland Office and is currently engaged in the inspection of the Detroit Office. His inspection assignments have been accurate and his paper work has required a minimum of supervision. He equitably shares the work load.

SA Tully is within the weight limits for his height and frame and is desirous of administrative advancement, for which his potential is considered excellent.

Initials

SA EDWIN R. TULLY
90-DAY PERFORMANCE RATING

PART II -- SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given: Not Applicable.
2. Experience and Ability as Inspectors' Aide: See Part I, General Comments.
3. Participation in Informant Program: Not Applicable.
4. Testifying Experience and Ability: Not Applicable.
5. Disciplinary Action: None
6. Accounting Information: Not Applicable.
7. Police Instruction: Not Applicable.
8. Sound Training: Not Applicable.
9. Resident Agents: Not Applicable.
10. Foreign Language Ability: Not Applicable.
11. Administrative Advancement: SA Tully is interested in, completely available for, and considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality and appearance. His potential is considered excellent.

Initials

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Belmont	✓
Mr. Mohr	✓
Mr. Callahan	✓
Mr. Conrad	✓
Mr. DeLoach	✓
Mr. Evans	✓
Mr. Malone	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Sullivan	✓
Mr. Tavel	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Mr. Ingram	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

Detroit, Michigan
October 11, 1961

Mr. John Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

During the current inspection of the Detroit Office, I inspected the Resident Agency at Grand Rapids, Michigan, on October 10, 1961. I had occasion to talk with [redacted] and during this conversation, he mentioned that his wife was suffering from arthritis in the hands. I thought the condition of [redacted] should be called to your attention.

Sincerely yours,

Edwin R. Tully

Edwin R. Tully
Inspection Staff

REC-138

67-311900-266
8 OCT 23 1961

RECEIVED

OCT 17

1 OCT 25 1961

23

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. Malone

FROM : R. K. Moore

SUBJECT: EDWIN R. TULLY
Trainee Inspector

DATE: December 11, 1961

Tolson _____

Belmont _____

Mohr _____

Callahan _____

Conrad _____

DeLoach _____

Evans _____

Malone _____

Rosen _____

Sullivan _____

Tavel _____

Trotter _____

Tele. Room _____

Ingram _____

Gandy _____

Mr. Tully assisted me in the recheck inspection of the Newark Division during December 4 - 9, 1961.

Mr. Tully makes an excellent personal appearance, is friendly, intelligent, enthusiastic, and competent. His work required no supervision.

SA Tully, during the recheck inspection, functioned as No. 1 Man, making assignments to the aides, following these assignments, and assuring that all paper work was submitted in an accurate fashion. In addition, SA Tully conducted interviews of the office personnel and some applicants for the position of Special Agent.

Mr. Tully is completely available for general or special assignment and is interested in administrative advancement, for which his potential is excellent. He is within the desirable weight limits.

Rating: Excellent

Reviewed by

[Signature]
Initials

REC-145

67- 11 900-267	
Searched	Indexed
DEC 13 1961	

RKM:mbk
(2)

[Signature]
2
3

Director, FBI

7/14/59

SAC, Cincinnati

SPECIAL AGENTS RECOMMENDED
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT

I am very pleased that the Bureau saw fit to promote Field Supervisor WILLIAM L. DONAHUE to an SOG supervisory position and as the Bureau is aware DONAHUE reported 7/13/59. In the recent past Bureau has promoted and transferred SA ARTHUR J. MARCHESSAULT to Indianapolis as ASAC and SA [REDACTED] to the Bureau as a supervisor.

In every office there are certain agents who, because of ability, talent, and competence, occupy key spots. Promotion of these agents gives an SAC opportunity to bring up newer men to shoulder responsibilities and thus develop their talents and characteristics.

The recent transfers and promotions of this division have had a most salutary affect on morale and as the Bureau is undoubtedly aware we have a number of agents keenly interested in administrative advancement.

For the Bureau's information, although the agents listed below are unaware of this communication, the men most deserving of administrative advancement are listed in order of worth below:

Supervisor RALPH J. RAMPTON
SA EDWIN R. TULLY
SA HENRY F. TOMPKINS, JR.
SA RICHARD F. LALLY
SA [REDACTED]

Each of the above is fully available and well qualified for administrative advancement. SA TULLY has previously served at the SOG as a supervisor and in the field as an ASAC. The other four men would make excellent

2 - Bureau
1 - Cincinnati
EDM:pat
(3)

SOG supervisors. SA RAMPTON, LALLY and TOMPKINS are equally experienced in security, applicant, and criminal work whereas SA [REDACTED] primary talents are in the criminal field.

Director, FBI

July 20, 1959

SAC, Cincinnati (67-956)

**BUREAU APPLICANT PROGRAM
CAREER DAY APPEARANCES**

UACB, the following named Special Agents will be utilized as approved applicant interviewers:

JOHN W. SCHNEIDER

[redacted] (newly designated Alternate
Senior Resident Agent at Hamilton, Ohio,
Resident Agency)

ERWIN R. TULLY

[redacted]

UACB, SA [redacted] will also be utilized in connection with Career Day appearances before high school classes.

5 - Bureau
3 - Cincinnati

ECB:ESC
(10)

John W. Schneider p. 138

(95)

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

DATE 9/18/59

FROM : SAC, CINCINNATI

SUBJECT: [] - FUGITIVE
 Security-First National Bank,
 Los Angeles, California,
 9/2/59
 BANK ROBBERY
 COMMENDATION MATTER

E. L. R. T. H.

PURPOSE

This memorandum is to recommend commendation covering extraordinary, capable and successful investigation leading to the arrest of [].

BACKGROUND

An armed unsub robbed captioned bank by presenting a note. Reverse side of the note contained name of a doctor. Los Angeles referred matter to Cincinnati Office. Doctor identified by Columbus Resident Agents as Dr. HOWARD D. SIRAK, Assistant Professor of Surgery and head of the Cardiovascular Service, Ohio State University, Columbus. Columbus Agents got SIRAK out of bed at 11:00 P.M., September 2, and he exhibited a wonderful attitude of cooperation. He proceeded to his office at OSU, checked his records and then offered the opinion that the sheet of writing paper came from him and was addressed to [], formerly of Columbus, but a patient on whom he had operated in January, 1958, and who had subsequently moved to California. His files revealed the last known address of [] in Los Angeles. This information was sent to the Los Angeles Office. Los Angeles identified [] and obtained Federal warrant. Meanwhile, [] departed from the Los Angeles area.

b6
b7c

- 15 - Bureau
 14 - Cincinnati
 (1 - Personnel file each Agent mentioned)
 (1 - 67-956)

EDM/maw
 (29)

51 130
 100 2 3

ORIGINAL FILED IN

CI 67-956

In view of the fact that [] was formerly from Columbus, contact was made with relatives, whereabouts of [] unknown. Agents at Columbus worked many hours during the days and nights of Labor Day, September 7, 8, and 9, in locating [] at Columbus. Motels and hotels as far away as Springfield, 40 miles distant, were checked. Stake-outs were established in an excellent manner relating to relatives. A wonderful "confidence" job was done by Columbus Agents in securing cooperation of relatives; however, none of them knew just where the subject might be.

On September 9, Special Agent [] suggested that since this was the first full day of high school operation and since the subject was known to have a 16 year old son named [], high school checks should be made. Special Agent LOREN M. BEDELL, with [] started the high school checks and determined at the South High School, a huge institution, [] had been registered a few hours earlier that day and gave his address as []

Senior Resident Agent [] did an excellent job in assigning the Columbus Agents and coordinating searches and activities up to the point of determining the subject's residence. Supervisor EDWIN R. TULLY rode herd tightly on this case and contributed greatly to the ultimate successful solution. Columbus Resident Agents [] HOWARD W. AMOS, LOREN M. BEDELL, ELYON H. DAVIS, RICHARD C. GRABILL, [] DONALD H. RATHBUN, [] and [] were all involved in the investigation and each contributed significantly.

The subject was known to be armed and dangerous. SAC proceeded to the scene with Special Agent [] of Cincinnati, joined the Columbus Agents, stationed Agents for the raid, and went into the apartment after a reasonable surveillance; determined that the subject was

CI 67-956

not there. He surrendered a few minutes after entry just outside of the apartment. Gun and clothing recovered, full confession elicited in writing. Press coverage excellent. Everything safe, simple, secure and favorable. Subject arraigned at Resident Agency immediately after arrest, confession obtained, desire to plead guilty duly noted.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that a letter of commendation be addressed to each of the Agents named herein. It is significant that there are no unsolved bank robberies in the Columbus area and that the Agents in this RA have done an excellent job in arresting bank robbery fugitives, each of whom has been armed and no untoward incident has resulted at any time.

Standard Form No. 64

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI

Date: 11/6/59-

FROM : SAC, CINCINNATI

0
TOLLY, EDWIN

SUBJECT: COMMENDATION MATTER
Special Agents
Cincinnati Division

FACTS

Reference is made to the case entitled

aka,
Farmers and Merchants Bank,
Beaver Creek Branch
Fairborn, Ohio, 10-8-59
BANK ROBBERY

This bank was robbed of \$7,100 by subject using a gun. He utilized a stolen 1957 Plymouth for his getaway and subsequently wrecked it in Dayton, Ohio, fleeing on foot into a wooded area. Investigation by Agents assigned to the Dayton Resident Agency located \$1,000 during the search of the wooded area. Thereafter subject was suggested as a suspect and when his photograph was tentatively identified by bank employees, agents proceeded to his home in Dayton where they uncovered in their search \$4600 hidden in an air conditioner and the clothing subject wore when he perpetrated the Bank Robbery.

Thereafter 24-hour stake-outs were maintained by these agents in neighborhoods of subject's relatives. On October 17, 1959, subject contacted his wife by telephone

26 - Bureau
25 - Cincinnati

EDM:VD
(51)

NOV 9 1959

but refused to reveal his location. Thereafter Senior Resident Agent HENRY F. TOMPKINS, JR., and SA MARTIN E. COX of the Dayton RA tactfully influenced subject's wife to plead with him to surrender himself to the FBI during the telephone calls between subject and his wife which ensued.

SA TOMPKINS impressed upon subject's wife the necessity for subject's surrender inasmuch as the wife was pregnant and also the subject himself had been injured and was in need of medical treatment.

On 10/22/59, SAs TOMPKINS and COX were at the subject's home when he called his wife and SA TOMPKINS talked with subject, urging his surrender to the FBI, emphasizing the fact that his wife was expecting momentarily and expressing concern over subject's physical condition. As a result thereof, subject surrendered himself to FBI Agents on October 23, 1959 at 10:15 P. M., at the Greyhound Bus Station in Cincinnati, Ohio. It was then ascertained that subject had been in Jacksonville, Florida.

RECOMMENDATION

The following agents displayed initiative and enthusiasm in handling assignments in connection with the search of the wooded area and subject's home, which succeeded in locating \$5,600 and conscientiously worked long hours on a 24-hour stake-out, which was maintained for a considerable time on relatives of subject in Dayton, Ohio.

In view of this, it is recommended that a letter of commendation from the Director be sent to the Cincinnati Office and copy be placed in the personnel files of the following agents:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
PAUL A. SCRANTON
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED],
EDWARD P. HOGAN
[REDACTED],
EUGENE I. TUGGEY, JR.

(continued)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
ALLEN G. WEST
EARL M. SMITH
EDWIN R. TULLY, Supervisor

[REDACTED]
CHARLES E. CHAPMAN
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
IC [REDACTED]

It is recommended that individual letters of commendation be sent to SA HENRY F. TOMPKINS, JR., Senior Resident Agent at Dayton, to whom this case was assigned, for the excellent manner in which he organized and directed the investigation and for his efficient, understanding and tactful handling of subject's wife and of subject himself during telephone conversation, which eventually led the subject to surrender himself.

It is further recommended that an individual letter of commendation be sent to SA MARTIN E. COX since he shares with TOMPKINS credit for tactful interviews with subject's wife and for his successful efforts in influencing [REDACTED] to urge her husband to eventually surrender to the FBI.

Agents COX and TOMPKINS had an additional problem inasmuch as [REDACTED] was expecting her baby momentarily and had to be handled in such a fashion so that her health and welfare were always paramount.

TULLY did an excellent job directing this matter and is included, therefore, in the list of agents sharing commendation.

O F F I C E M E M O R A N D U M * U N I T E D S T A T E S G O V E R N M E N T

TO : Director, FBI

FROM : SAC, Cincinnati (67-956)

Date: 12/15/60

SUBJECT :

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANK OF FAIRBORN
BEAVERCREEK BRANCH
KNOLLWOOD, OHIO
12-2-60
BANK ROBBERY
COMMENDATION MATTER

12-12-60. Rerep SA JOHN W. LILL, JR., at Cincinnati, dated

DETAILS

Captioned bank robbed 11:45 A.M., 12-2-60 - loot,
\$10,800. Following Agents assigned to investigation:

ASAC EDWIN R. TULLY

GERARD J. O'BRIEN

THOMAS B. ESTEP

KENNETH M. RAUPACH

W. DODSON HANES

PAUL A. SCRANTON

EDWARD P. HOGAN

ROBERT S. STEWART

JOHN W. LILL, JR.

EUGENE I. TUGGEY, JR.

ALLEN G. WEST

BARTEL ZANDSTRA

Above Agents conducted extensive investigations from Friday, 12-2-60, through Wednesday, 12-7-60, including Saturday and Sunday. Many Agents worked 24 and 36 hours without relief. By 12-6-60 all immediate leads had been exhausted. On afternoon of 12-6-60 individual telephonically contacted Dayton Resident Agency and asked to speak to SA EDWARD P. HOGAN. He would not

5 - Bureau
26 - Cincinnati (67-956)

EDM:ESG

(31)

FEB 6 1961

SAC, Cincinnati

April 26, 1960

Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

W. DODSON HANES
SPECIAL AGENT

Re annual performance rating dated 4-13-60 submitted on captioned employee wherein he was given the adjective rating of Excellent.

Noted that SA Hanes was censured and placed on probation while assigned to the Dallas Division on 12-3-59 for undue delay in confirming in writing a discussion with the United States Attorney. Also noted he was removed from probation 3-16-60. In view of this severe administrative action the rating of Excellent is not proper since such a rating implies an over-all excellent performance, which was clearly not the case. Accordingly, the adjective rating has been reduced to Satisfactory.

You are also advised that the excellent rating on #10, accuracy and attention to pertinent detail, on the rating guide and check list has been reduced to satisfactory in view of the action taken against SA Hanes.

You should advise SA Hanes of these changes and make the necessary changes on the copy in your office.

It is difficult to reconcile the Excellent rating which was afforded SA Hanes by Supervisor Edwin R. Tully. If the Bureau has found it necessary to take such administrative action during a rating period then such action should certainly be put in its proper perspective in preparing the employee's performance rating. You should secure an explanation from Mr. Tully concerning his failure to discharge his responsibilities in accordance with the best interests of the Bureau and submit recommendations as to any warranted administrative action. Also, submit your explanation for your action in approving this Excellent rating which was afforded SA Hanes.

Handle promptly.

- ① - Personnel file of Supervisor Edwin R. Tully
- 1 - Personnel file of SAC Edmund D. Mason

CMT
(7) 42



CI #67-956

speaking to anyone else. HOGAN contacted this individual, who identified himself as [redacted] of Dayton, Ohio. [redacted] had previously been contacted by SA HOGAN in connection with a fugitive investigation. [redacted] told HOGAN his nephew, [redacted], robbed captioned bank. [redacted] said his nephew had been drinking heavily lately and was in need of psychiatric treatment. [redacted] advised of previous record and employment of his nephew, the subject. He warned that subject should be considered extremely dangerous, as he was an excellent shot. Thereafter, investigation located photograph of [redacted], who was identified by bank witnesses. Process immediately obtained and fugitive investigation immediately commenced. Approximately 24 hours later, [redacted] located in Portsmouth, Ohio, and apprehended. He admitted bank robbery and \$9,176.97 was recovered.

Up until the time SA HOGAN received information concerning [redacted], his name and identity had never entered into the investigation. His photograph was not on file at the Dayton Police Department or Sheriff's Office, and probably would not have been developed as a suspect. HOGAN obviously made an excellent impression on [redacted] when he initially contacted him in the fugitive case previously mentioned, so that [redacted] sought out HOGAN when he had information regarding the bank robbery. We feel this reflects highly on SA HOGAN's public contacts and ability to instill confidence. This contact by [redacted] certainly resulted in tremendous savings to the Bureau in man hours of investigation. Subject was apprehended in Portsmouth, Ohio, by SAs WILFRED GOODWIN and [redacted], Resident Agents there. These two Agents received information on 12-7-60 that [redacted] had several relatives in Portsmouth. They immediately began checking Police Department records on relatives and contacting relatives. They had to utilize Portsmouth Police Department detectives in the investigation, since time was of the essence, and the subject was known to be armed and dangerous, needed psychiatric treatment, and had suicidal tendencies. In addition, there were eight relatives who had to be located and interviewed immediately. As it developed, these two Agents spotted subject's car on the street, and along with Portsmouth detectives staked out the car and apprehended the subject without incident.

CI #67-956

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. It is recommended that SA EDWARD P. HOGAN receive a strong letter of commendation from the Director for his excellent public relations work in connection with instilling confidence in [] during his initial contact with the latter, so that [] immediately thought of HOGAN when he obtained information on instant bank robbery.
2. Special Agents WILFRED GOODWIN and [] receive individual letters of commendation from the Director for their prompt and intelligent handling of leads, their alertness in spotting subject's car on the street, and their leadership qualities in bringing about the apprehension of subject without incident.
3. A letter of commendation be sent to the Cincinnati Office so that copies may be made for the files of the remaining Agents who toiled so assiduously in bringing about a successful conclusion to this important case.

A separate letter has been directed to the Bureau, requesting letters of commendation for the Portsmouth, Ohio, detectives who assisted Agents GOODWIN and [] in the investigation and subsequent apprehension.

Mr. Mohr

7/10/61

J. F. Malone

BOYD D. ADSIT, SPECIAL AGENT
EDWIN R. TULLY, SPECIAL AGENT

The above-captioned employees reported to the Training and
Inspection Division, Inspection Section, as of 9:00 a. m., 7/10/61.

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Malone _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Ingram _____
Gandy _____

JFM:meh

(3)

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Handwritten signature/initials